

## Strategies to reduce radiation risks to interventional cardiologists.

Sonya Burgess\*

Department of Cardiology, University of L'Aquila, New South Wales, Australia

### Introduction

Deterministic impacts happen when the portion surpasses a particular limit. The seriousness of deterministic impacts regularly increments with portion, as additional cells are killed or harmed. Normal instances of deterministic impacts connected with interventional cardiology are skin and hair changes waterfalls, and cardiovascular illness. radiation risks is crucial for ensuring the safety of individuals and the environment. This article aims to provide a comprehensive overview of radiation risks, types of radiation, their sources, and effective safety measures that can be adopted to minimize potential harm. By shedding light on this complex topic, we hope to empower readers with the knowledge needed to make informed decisions and protect themselves from unnecessary exposure. Radiation is the emission and transmission of energy in the form of particles or electromagnetic waves [1,2].

It exists in various forms, including ionizing and non-ionizing radiation. Ionizing radiation has enough energy to remove tightly bound electrons from atoms, resulting in ionization and potential damage to living cells. X-rays, gamma rays, and alpha and beta particles are examples of ionizing radiation. Non-ionizing radiation, on the other hand, lacks the energy to cause ionization and includes radio waves, microwaves, and visible light. Radiation can originate from both natural and man-made sources. Natural sources include cosmic radiation from the sun and outer space, as well as radioactive materials found in soil, rocks, and even our own bodies [3]. Human activities contribute to radiation exposure through medical imaging procedures, such as X-rays and CT scans, as well as nuclear power generation, industrial applications, and consumer products like smoke detectors and certain building materials. While radiation is part of our daily lives, excessive exposure can pose health risks. The effects of radiation exposure can vary depending on factors such as the type of radiation, dose, duration of exposure, and individual susceptibility [4]. Acute high-dose exposures can lead to immediate symptoms, such as radiation sickness, while long-term exposure to lower doses may increase the risk of cancer and other diseases. It's important to note that radiation risks are cumulative, meaning that repeated exposures, even at lower levels, can have a cumulative impact on health. To ensure public safety, governments, regulatory agencies,

and industries have established guidelines and regulations regarding radiation exposure. These regulations set maximum permissible dose limits for workers and the general public, taking into account potential risks and benefits. The use of personal protective equipment, shielding, and monitoring devices is common in workplaces where radiation is present. Additionally, stringent protocols are in place for medical procedures involving radiation to minimize unnecessary exposure while providing essential diagnostic and therapeutic benefits [5].

### Conclusion

Radiation risks are a concern in various aspects of our lives, and it's essential to approach them with knowledge and caution. Understanding the different types of radiation, their sources, and potential health risks empowers individuals to make informed choices and protect themselves. By adhering to safety measures and guidelines established by regulatory bodies, we can mitigate radiation risks in both professional and personal environments. In a world where radiation is omnipresent, knowledge and awareness are the keys to ensuring our well-being and that of future generations.

### References

1. Ubeda C, Vano E, Gonzalez L, et al. Scatter and staff dose levels in paediatric interventional cardiology: a multicentre study. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 2010;140(1):67–74.
2. Khong PL, Ringertz H, Donoghue V, et al. ICRP 121: radiological protection in paediatric diagnostic and interventional radiology. *Annals of ICRP*. 2013;42:1–63.
3. Limacher MC, Douglas PS, Germano G, et al. Radiation safety in the practice of cardiology. *J Americ Coll Cardio*. 1998;31(4):892-13.
4. Martin CJ. A review of radiology staff doses and dose monitoring requirements. *Radiati Protect Dosimet*. 2009;136(3):140-57.
5. ShorttCP,Al-HashimiH,etal.Staffradiationdoses to the lower extremities in interventional radiology. *CardioVascular and Interventional Radiology*. 2007;30(6):1206–09.

---

\*Correspondence to Sonya Burgess, Department of Cardiology, University of L'Aquila, New South Wales, Australia, E-mail: Sonya.Burgets@health.nsw.gov.au

Received: 29-May-2023, Manuscript No. AACC-23-100748; Editor assigned: 01-Jun-2023, Pre QC No. AACC-23-100748(PQ); Reviewed: 15-Jun-2023, QC No. AACC-23-100748;

Revised: 19-Jun-2023, Manuscript No. AACC-23-100748(R); Published: 26-Jun-2023, DOI:10.35841/aacc-7.6.174

---