

Design, Synthesis and Anti-inflammatory Activity of Novel 5-(Indol-3-yl)-thiazolidinone Derivatives as COX-2 Inhibitors.

Saad R. Atta-Allah¹, Ibrahim F. Nassar^{2*}, Wael A. El-Sayed³

¹Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Abbassia 11566, Cairo, Egypt,

²Faculty of Specific Education, Ain Shams University, 365 Ramsis street, Abassia, Cairo, Egypt.

³Photochemistry Department, National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt,

Abstract

New N-substituted 5-(oxindolyl)-2-thioxothiazolidinone derivatives were synthesized. The C2-substituted thiazolidinone derivatives with piperidinyl and morpholinyl moieties in addition to the tetracyclic [(oxindolo)pyrazino]thiazolidine, the chloro- and amino- derivatives of the (indolyl) thiazolidinone ring system were also prepared. The COX-2 inhibition activity of the synthesized compounds was investigated by studying their ability to inhibit the conversion of arachidonic acid to prostaglandin H₂ (PGH₂). Five of the tested candidates, substituted (oxonidolyl)thiazolidine derivatives (3a, 6f, 8b, 10 and 12) showed significant COX-2 inhibitory activity exhibiting IC₅₀ values better than or close to the reference celecoxib. The anti-inflammatory activity was studied revealing that a number of compounds have shown good activities and compound 10 produced no significant mucosal injury. Molecular docking study was implemented to interpret the variable inhibitory activity of the newly synthesized compounds against COX enzyme. The results suggested that some of these derivatives could be active COX inhibitors possessing a high preference for COX-2.

Keywords: Docking, 4-Thiazolidinones, COX-2 inhibitors, Catalyst, Indole-2,4-dione.

INTRODUCTION

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are considered the most excessively particular drugs for inflammation treatment including pain releasing, anti-pyretic and rheumatoid arthritis. They inhibit synthesis of prostaglandin by blocking the cyclooxygenation of arachidonic acid (AA) to prostaglandin G₂ (PGG₂)¹. This inhibition process is catalyzed by means of the enzyme cyclooxygenase (COX) of which (COX-1) and (COX-2) are two similar but diverse isoforms of the enzyme²⁻⁴. COX-2 is prompted upon inflammatory motivators and is responsible for

advancement of inflammation process, whereas COX-1 is a constitutively expressed isoform and is responsible for the servicing of physiological homeostasis, such as gastrointestinal integrity and renal function⁵. Thus inhibition of COX-2 over COX-1 enzymes selectively will be beneficial for the treatment of inflammation and related turmoil with diminished gastrointestinal toxicities when compared with the conventional NSAIDs. Current research has focused on the development of more secure NSAIDs-selective COX-2 inhibitors. Recently, several selective COX-2 inhibitors such as Celecoxib⁶, Rofecoxib⁷ and Valdecoxib⁸ have been marketed as a new generation of NSAIDs. However, Rofecoxib was banned in 2004 because of cardiac toxicity⁹. One of the important templates widely used in drug design is indole ring system which constitutes the classical nonselective NSAID indomethacin I. Several strategies have been studied on the amendment of indomethacin which included replacing the acid radical and/or the 4-chlorobenzoyl group by more bulky groups and heterocycles^{10,11}

(II-IV, Fig. 1). The previous strategies planned for production of lead compounds able to fit favorable into COX-2 active site, but less in COX-1, considering the supposition that COX-2 enzyme might have a wider active site than COX-1¹². A number of indole incorporating compounds have also been revealed as potent and selective COX-2 inhibitors^{13,14}. Furthermore, several derivatives of thiazole^{10,15} and thiazolidinedione^{16,17} derivatives have been known with their established anti-inflammatory activity and COX-2 inhibition revealing that the activity of these compounds was proved to be attributed to these moieties (V and VI, Fig. 1). Motivated by the aforementioned findings and aiming to design new selective COX-2 inhibitors and continuing the previous work for discovering of new effective indole and thiazole derivatives¹⁸⁻²², we report the synthesis of a series of hybrid compounds having two active pharmacophores namely indole and rhodanine studying their activity as anti-inflammatory agents and COX-2 inhibitors.

Experimental

Chemistry

General methods: All the solvents used were commercially purchased and distilled before use. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on silica

gel plates (60 F254), visualizing with ultraviolet light. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (230–400 mesh) using distilled petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, dichloromethane, chloroform, and methanol. Infra red spectra (KBr) were recorded

on FT-IR 5300 spectrophotometer and Perkin Elmer spectrum RXI FT-IR system (ν , cm^{-1}). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra were recorded on Varian Gemini spectrophotometer (400 MHz) in DMSO- d_6 or CDCl_3 as solvent. Proton chemical shifts (δ) are relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS, $\delta=0.00$) as internal standard and expressed in ppm. Coupling constants J are given in hertz. Melting points were determined by using melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. MS spectra were obtained on a GC-MS- QP 1000 EX mass spectrometer at 70 eV. Microanalyses were performed using a C H N S analyzer. Elemental data are within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values. All yields reported are unoptimized. The chemical reagents used in synthesis were purchased from Fluka, Sigma and Aldrich. Compounds 5a,b were prepared following a previously reported method²³.

Synthesis of 5-(5-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene)-3-Substituted-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (3a-c):

General procedure: A mixture of 5-methylindol-2,3-dione (1) (1.61 g, 0.01 mole), 3- substituted 4-thiozolidinone (2a-c) (0.01 mol) and fused sodium acetate (2.46 g, 0.02 mol) in glacial acetic acid (20 ml) was refluxed for 2 hrs. The reaction mixture was cooled and poured onto 150 mL ice-cold water, the red precipitated solid that formed was filtered off, washed with water and recrystallized from the proper solvent to give (3a-c). Compound was obtained as grey powder; m.p. > 300°C (reported m.p. >295°C)

24.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene)-3-phenyl-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (3b)

Pink crystals, recrystallized from acetic acid; yield 60%, m.p. > 300 °C. IR (KBr, cm^{-1}): ν_{max} 3208 (NH), 1711, 1680 (2 C=O), 1281 (C=S); $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO - d_6): δ ppm: 2.32 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.69-6.91 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 11.24 (s, 1H, NH exchangeable). GC-MS: m/z [M]-1 352.03 (14.5%), 189 (100%), 146 (1.5%), 133

(10.8%), 105 (4.6%), 89(0.8%); Anal. Calcd. for C₁₈H₁₂N₂O₂S₂ (352.43): C, 61.35; H,

3.43; N, 7.95; found: C, 61.66; H, 3.32; N, 7.80.

3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5-(5-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene)-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (3c)

Dark pink powder, recrystallized from ethanol, yield 75%, m.p > 300°C. IR (KBr, ν

/ cm^{-1}): ν_{max} 3175(NH), 1697, 1657 (2C=O), 1248 (C=S). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6); δ ppm: 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 8.451-7.478 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 11.16 (s, 1H, NH). GC-MS:

m/z [M]⁺ 386 (14.4 %), 189 (100%), 146 (4%), 134 (31.6%), 105 (6.3%); Anal. Calcd.

for C₁₈H₁₁ClN₂O₂S₂ (386.87) C, 55.88; H, 2.87; N, 7.24; found: C, 55.59; H, 3.02; N,

6.98.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)-3-substituted phenyl-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (4a-c).

General procedure: A mixture of the thioxothiazolidine-4-one derivatives 3a-c (0.001 mole) and 0.5 g of zinc powder and glacial acetic acid (15 ml), the mixture was warmed on steam bath till the reaction was completed (the red color of the solution is completely discharged). The mixture was cooled and poured onto 150 ml ice water; the white precipitate was collected and crystallized from proper solvent.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (4a)

White crystals, recrystallized from ethanol; yield 60%, m.p. 260-262°C. IR (KBr, / cm^{-1}): ν_{max} 3422, 3181 (NH), 1694, 1621 (2 C=O), 1250 (C=S); $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO - d_6): δ ppm 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.16, 5.33 (d, d, 2H, $J_1 = 16.6$, $J_2 = 6$, CH-CH), 7.20-6.71 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 10.49, 10.81 (2s, 2H, 2 NH); Anal. Calcd. for C₁₂H₁₀N₂O₂S₂ (278.34) C, 51.78; H, 3.62; N, 10.06; found: C, 51.42; H, 3.36; N, 9.78.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)-3-phenyl-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (4b)

White crystals, recrystallized from ethanol, yield 65 %, m.p. 240 – 242°C; IR (KBr, ν

/ cm^{-1}): ν_{max} 3210 (NH), 1760, 1700 (2 C=O), 1320 (C=S); $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ ppm 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 5.58, 4.53 (d, d, 2H, $J_1 = 16.6$, $J_2 = 6$, CH-

CH), 7.67-6.88 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 10.84 (s, 1H, NH exchangeable); Anal. Calcd. for C₁₈H₁₄N₂O₂S₂ (354.45) C, 61.00; H, 3.98; N, 7.90; found: C, 60.71; H, 3.82; N, 7.72.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (4c)

White powder, recrystallized from ethanol, yield 70%, m.p. 224 – 226°C; IR (KBr, ν

/ cm^{-1}): ν_{max} 3311 (NH), 1757, 1700 (2 C=O), 1319 (C=S); $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, DMSO - d_6); δ ppm 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 5.59, 4.53 (d, d, 2H, $J_1 = 16.6$, $J_2 = 6$, CH-

CH), 7.72-6.81 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 10.67 (s, 1H, NH exchangeable); Anal. Calcd. for C₁₈H₁₃ClN₂O₂S₂ (388.89) C, 55.59; H, 3.37; N, 7.20; found: C, 55.35; H, 3.24; N,

6.84.

5-Methyl-1-(piperazin-1-ylmethyl)-indoline-2,3-dione (5c)

To a solution of 5-methylindol-2,3-dione (1) (1.61g, 0.01mol) dissolved in DMSO (25 ml) was added formaldehyde (40 %, 1.5 ml) and piperazine (0.86 g, 0.01 mol), and the mixture was stirred for 3-4 hrs, at room temperature. The resulting solid was collected by filtration and recrystallized from suitable solvent. The physical properties of compounds 5a and 5b were in accordance with those reported earlier. Red powder; recrystallized from ethanol; yield 75%, m.p. 155-157°C; IR (KBr/ cm^{-1}): ν_{max} 3218 (NH), 3073 (C-H aromatic), 2925 (C-H aliphatic), 1754, 1733 (2C=O); $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400MHz, DMSO- d_6); δ ppm: 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.75-3.27 (m, 8H, piperazine-CH₂),

3.96 (s, 2H, CH₂), 6.81-8.83 (s, d, d, 3H, Ar-CH), 11.03 (s,

1H, NH exchangeable);

GC-MS: m/z [M]+2 261 (73.95 %), 228 (20.31 %), 218 (100 %), 144 (33.84 %), Anal.

calcd. for C₁₄H₁₇N₃O₂ (259.30) C, 64.85; H, 6.61; N, 16.20; found: C, 65.02; H, 6.82;

N, 15.86.

Synthesis of Mannich bases;5-(5-methyl-2-oxo-1-(2o amine-1-ylmethyl)indolin-3-ylidene)-3-substituted-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (6a-g)

General procedure: A solution of 5-(5-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene 3-substituted

/or 2-thioxothiazolidine-4-one derivatives 3a-c (0.01mol), formaldehyde (40%, 1.5 ml) and appropriate amine (0.01 mole) in DMSO (25 mL) was stirred for 5-6 h. at room temperature. The resulting solid was collected by filtration and recrystallized from suitable solvent.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxo-1-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)indolin-3-ylidene)-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (6a).

Yellowish Brown powder, recrystallized from toluene, yield 60%, m.p. 150-152°C. IR (KBr, ν /cm⁻¹): ν_{\max} 3116 (NH), 3013, 3066 (C-H aromatic), 2944, 2854 (C-H aliphatic), 1701, 1685 (2C=O), 1263 (C=S); 1H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ ppm

2.32 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.35-3.44 (m, 10H, piperidine-CH₂), 3.90 (s, 2H, CH₂), 6.85, 7.22,

8.60 (s, d, d, 3H, Ar-CH), 13.98 (s, 1H, NH exchangeable); 13C NMR (DMSO-d₆) : δ ppm 21.9 (CH₃), 24.2, 29.7, 56.0 (piperidiny-C), 74.1 (CH₂), 114.1-153.6 (Ar-C and C=C), 172.0, 172.1 (2C=O), 187.1 (C=S); GC-MS: m/z [M]+ 373 (0.06%), 145 (100%), 134 (13.30%), Anal. Calcd. For C₁₈H₁₉N₃O₂S₂ (373.49) C, 57.89; H, 5.13; N, 11.25; found: C, 57.61; H, 5.11; N, 11.02.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxo-1-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)-indolin-3-ylidene)-3-phenyl-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (6b).

Brownish red powder, recrystallized from toluene, yield 60%, m.p. >300°C. IR (KBr, ν /cm⁻¹): ν_{\max} 3007 (C-H aromatic), 2934 (C-H aliphatic), 1686, 1658 (2C=O), 1302 (C=S); 1H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO - d₆): δ ppm 2.32 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.44-3.35 (m,

10H, piperidine-CH₂), 3.90 (s, 2H, CH₂), 6.91-7.69 (m, 8H, Ar-CH); Anal. Calcd. for C₂₄H₂₃N₃O₂S₂ (449.59) C, 64.12; H, 5.16; N, 9.35; found: C, 63.96; H, 4.89; N, 9.71;

S, 13.80.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxo-1-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl) indolin-3-yl)-4-chlorophenyl)-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (6c).

Brown powder, recrystallized from xylene, yield 55%, m.p. 132-134°C. IR (KBr, ν

/cm⁻¹): ν_{\max} 3061 (C-H aromatic), 2920, 2856 (C-H aliphatic), 1729, 1658 (2C=O), 1224 (C=S), 1H-NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ ppm 2.30 (s, 1H, CH₃), 3.44-3.35 (m,

10H, piperidine-CH₂), 3.90 (s, 2H, CH₂), 9.29-7.31 (m, 7H, Ar-CH); GC-MS: m/z

[M]+2486 (6.55%); [M]+484 (2.59 %); 3 8 5 (8.75%), 243 (8.7%), 146 (1.84%), 118

(8.49%). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₄H₂₂ClN₃O₂S₂ (484.03) C, 59.56; H, 4.58; N, 8.68;

found: C, 59.54; H, 4.28; N, 8.39.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxo-1-(morpholin-1-ylmethyl)-indolin-3-ylidene)-3-phenyl-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (6d).

Bright Brown powder, recrystallized from toluene, yield 50%, m.p. >300°C. IR (KBr, ν /cm⁻¹): ν_{\max} 3003 (C-H aromatic), 2957, 2837 (C-H aliphatic), 1694, 1657 (2C=O), 1244 (C=S); 1H-NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ ppm 2.30 (s, 1H, CH₃), 3.55-3.30 (m,

8H, morpholine-CH₂), 4.54 (s, 2H, CH₂), 9.29-7.31 (m, 8H, Ar-H); Anal. calcd. for

C₂₃H₂₁N₃O₃S₂ (451.56): C, 61.18; H, 4.69; N, 9.31; found: C, 61.01; H, 4.37; N, 8.98.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxo-1-(morpholin-1-ylmethyl)indolin-3-ylidene)-3-(4-chlorophenyl-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (6e).

Bright Brown powder, recrystallized from toluene, yield 75%, m.p. >300°C; IR (KBr, ν /cm⁻¹): ν_{\max} 3062, 3035 (C-H aromatic), 2928, 2854 (C-H aliphatic), 1732, 1686 (2C=O), 1225 (C=S); 1H-NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ ppm 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.55-

3.30 (m, 8H, morpholine-CH₂), 4.54 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.60-6.84 (m, 7H, Ar-H); Anal. Calcd. for C₂₃H₂₀ClN₃O₃S₂ (486.01) C, 56.84; H, 4.15; N, 8.65; found: C, 56.70; H,

4.02; N, 8.32.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxo-1-(piperazin-1-ylmethyl) indolin-3-ylidene)-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (6f).

Bright pink powder, recrystallized from toluene, yield 70%, m.p. >300°C. IR (KBr, ν

/cm⁻¹): ν_{\max} 3264, 3193 (NH), 3090 (C-H aromatic), 2938, 2854 (C-H aliphatic) 1725, 1666 (2C=O), 1313 (C=S); 1H-NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ ppm 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃),

3.75-3.27 (d, 8H, piperazine-CH₂), 3.96 (s, 2H, CH₂), 6.8-8.83 (s, d, d, 3H, Ar-H), 11.96, 11.03 (2s, 2H, 2 NH exchangeable); GC-MS: m/z [M]+ 374 (1.53%), 145

(100%), 133 (10.91%), Anal. Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₈N₄O₂S₂ (374.48) C, 54.53; H, 4.85; N,

14.96; found: C, 54.61; H, 4.71; N, 14.90.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxo-1-(piperazin-1-ylmethyl)indolin-3-ylidene)-3-(4-chlorophenyl-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (6g).

Bright Brown powder, recrystallized from toluene, yield 65%, m.p. >300°C. IR (KBr, ν /cm⁻¹): ν_{\max} 3173 (NH), 2917, 2852 (C-H aliphatic), 2085 (C-H aromatic), 1697, 1656 (2C=O),

1247 (C=S), 1H-NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d₆); δppm 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃),

3.32 (m, 8H, piperazine-CH₂), 3.36 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.59-7.00 (m, 7H, Ar-H), 11.44 (s,

1H, NH exchangeable); Anal. calcd. for C₂₃H₂₁CIN₄O₂S₂ (485.02) C, 56.96; H, 4.36; N, 11.55; found: C, 56.74; H, 4.18; N, 11.30.

5-(5-methyl-1-(morpholinomethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-thioxothiazolidi-4-one (7)

a) A solution of the thiazolidinyl indole 4c (0.01mol), formaldehyde (40%, 1.5 ml) and morpholine (0.01 mole) in ethanol was stirred for 5-6 h. at room temperature. The solvent was reduced under vacuum and the resulting solid was collected by filtration and recrystallized from ethanol to give compound 7.

b) A mixture of the N-substituted thiazolidinylindole 6e (0.01 mole) and 0.5g zinc metal (powder) in acetic acid glacial (25 ml) was refluxed for 60 min. The reaction mixture was then poured into ice cold water and the formed precipitate was collected by filtration and recrystallized from ethyl acetate to give compound 7. Bright brown powder, yield 75%, m.p. 165-167°C; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 2916, 2849(C-H aliphatic), 1739, 1699 (2 C=O), 1243(C=S); 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δppm 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.50 (m, 8H, morpholine-CH₂), 4.42, 5.19 (d, d, 2H, J₁ = 16.6, J₂

=6.0, CH-CH), 7.72-6.74 (m, 7H, Ar-H); Anal. Calcd. For C₂₃H₂₂CIN₃O₃S₂ (488.02) C, 56.61; H, 4.54; N, 8.61; found: C, 56.77; H, 4.42; N, 8.94.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)-2-amine-1-yl thiazol-4(5H)-one (8a,b).

General procedure: A mixture of 5-(5-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (3a) and piperidine or morpholine (0.01 mole) in ethanol (25mL) was heated under reflux for 6 h. and the precipitated solid was filtered off and recrystallized from the suitable solvent to give compounds 8a and 8b, respectively.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)-2-piperidin-1-ylthiazol-4(5H)-one (8a)

Red crystals, recrystallized from ethanol, yield 70%, m.p. 300°C. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3197 (NH), 1703, 1687 (2C=O); 1H-NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d₆); δppm 2.31 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.99 (m, 10H, piperidine-CH₂), 6.81-9.05 (s, d, d, 3H, Ar-H), 11.25 (s, 1H, NH exchangeable); 13C NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ ppm 22.4 (CH₃), 24.2, 31.7, 45.5 (piperidinyl-C), 116.1-161.6 (Ar-C and C=C), 172.1, 172.2 (2C=O); GC-MS: m/z: [M]-1 326 (13.4%), 224 (1.7%), 189 (100%), 154 (16%), 134 (21.1%), Anal. calcd. for

C₁₇H₁₇N₃O₂S (327.40) C, 62.37; H, 5.23; N, 12.83; found C, 62.09; H, 4.98; N, 12.98.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene)-2-morpholinthiazol-4(5H)-one (8b)

able); Anal. calc Pink crystals, recrystallized from ethanol, yield 75%, m.p. >300°C. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3120 (NH), 2972(CH aliphatic), 1702, 1687 (2C=O); 1H-NMR (400 MHz,

DMSO-d₆): δppm 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.99-3.71 (m, 8H, morpholine), 6.94, 7.04, 9.04

(d, d, s, 3H, Ar-H), 11.19 (s, 1H, NH exchangeable); GC-MS: m/z [M]⁺2

331(82.02%), 189 (11.24%), 155(11.24%), 146 (65.17%), 102 (0.79%); Anal. Calcd.

For C₁₆H₁₅N₃O₃S (329.37) C, 58.35; H, 4.59; N, 12.76; found: C, 58.08; H, 4.32; N,

12.86.

5-(5-Methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)-2-morpholinthiazol-4(5H)-one (9)

Zinc powder (0.5 gm) was added to a solution of compound 8b (0.01 mole) in glacial acetic acid (20 ml) and the mixture was heated on steam bath for 6 h. till the reaction completed (the color of the solution is completely disappear). The reaction mixture was cooled and poured onto ice cold water (150 mL); then the precipitated solid was collected by filtration and recrystallized from methanol. White crystals, recrystallized from toluene, yield 55%, m.p. 260 -262°C. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3194 (NH), 1703, 1688(2 C=O), 1557 (C=N); 1H-NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d₆); δppm 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃),

4.30 (m, 8H, morpholin-CH₂), 4.80-5.08 (d, d, 2H, J₁ = 16.6, J₂ =6, CH-CH), 6.94,

7.41, 9.04 (d, d, s, 3H, Ar-H), 10.76(s, 1H, NH exchangeable); GC-MS: m/z [M]⁺ 331 (82.02%), 92 (100%), 146 (65.17%), 178 (61.80%); Anal. Calcd. For C₁₆H₁₇N₃O₃S

(331.39) C, 57.99; H, 5.17; N, 12.68; found: C, 57.71; H, 5.03; N, 12.54.

4-(9-Methyl-10b,10c-dihydro-6H-thiazolo[5',4':5,6]pyridazino[3,4-b]indol-2-yl)morpholine (10).

To a solution of compound (9) (0.01 mole) and hydrazine hydrate (0.01 mole) in ethanol (25 ml) was added few drops of piperidine and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 hrs., then poured onto 150ml ice cold water. The precipitated solid was filtered off, dried and recrystallized from ethanol to give compound 10. Orange powder, recrystallized from methanol, yield 60%, m.p. 264-265°C; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν_{max} 3229 (NH), 2921 (C-H aliphatic), 1617 (C=N); 1H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆); δppm 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.47-3.2 (m, 8H, morpholine-CH₂), 4.99-5.11 (d, d, 2H, J₁ =

16.6, J₂ =6, CH-CH), 8.42, 7.30, 6.83 (d, d, s, 3H, Ar-H), 10.7 (s, 1H, NH); 13C NMR

(DMSO-d₆): δ ppm 21.4 (CH₃), 24.2 (CH), 39.3 (CH), 49.4, 67.1 (morpholine-C),

116.4-143.5 (Ar-C), 161.7, 163.7, 167.2 (3C=N); GC-MS: m/z [M]⁺ 327 (24.3%), 189

(100%), 134 (21.1%), 110 (10.9%). Anal. Calcd. For C₁₆H₁₇N₅O₃ (327.41) C, 58.70;

H, 5.23; N, 21.39; found: C, 58.83; H, 4.99; N, 21.33.

5-(5-methyl-2-oxo-1-(piperazin-1-ylmethyl)indolin-3-yl)-2-morpholinothiazol-4(5H)-one (11)

A mixture of compound (9) (0.01 mole) and (0.01 mole) of morpholine with formaldehyde (40%, 1.5 mL) in 30 mL ethanol, the mixture was stirred for 2-3 hrs., at room temperature. The resulting solid was collected by filtration and recrystallized from suitable solvent to give (11). Yellowish brown powder, recrystallized from

ethanol, yield 71%, m.p. 233-235°C; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν_{\max} 2939 (CH₂), 1690 (C=O); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ ppm 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.36-2.08 (m, 16H,

piperidine and piperazin-H), 4.50-5.18 (d, d, 1H, 1H, J₁ = 16.6, J₂ = 6, CH-CH), 8.76- 7.02 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 9.12 (s, 1H, NH exchangeable); GC-MS: m/z [M]⁻¹ 428 (6.8%);

386 (29.9%), 189 (100%), 134 (29.1%), 75 (17.9%); Anal. Calcd. For C₂₁H₂₇N₅O₃S

(429.54) C, 58.72; H, 6.34; N, 16.30; found: C, 58.52; H, 6.15; N, 15.98.

3-(3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2,4-dithioxothiazolidin-5-ylidene)-5-methylindolin-2-one (12)

A solution of the thioxothiazolidin-4-one derivative 4c (0.01 mole) and tetraphosphorus decasulfide (0.01 mole) in toluene (30 ml) was heated under reflux for 4 hrs., then poured onto ice cold water. The precipitate solid was then collected by filtration, dried and recrystallized from ethanol to give compound 12. Yellowish brown powder, yield 70%, m.p. 187-188°C; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν_{\max} 3106 (NH), 3062, 3003 (=C-H), 1692 (C=O), 1279, 1250 (C=S); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ ppm; 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.48-4.39 (d, d, 1H, 1H, J₁ = 16.6, J₂ = 6.0 Hz, CH-CH), 7.92-

7.37 (m, 7H, Ar-CH), 10.25 (s, 1H, NH exchangeable); GC-MS: m/z [M]⁺ 406 (2.802 %), [M]⁺ 404 (4.102 %), 205 (32.98%), 169 (100%), 127 (24.62%), 76 (17.89%). Anal. calcd. for C₁₈H₁₃CIN₂O₃S₃ (404.95) C, 53.39; H, 3.24; N, 6.92; found C, 53.20; H, 3.42; N, 6.69.

5-(2-Chloro-5-methyl-3H-indol-3-yl)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (13)

The thioxothiazolidin-4-one derivatives 4c (0.01 mol, 3.52 gm), was covered by POCl₃ (0.01 mole) then refluxed on a water bath for 6 hrs. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured onto 150 ml of ice cold water. The precipitated solid substance was collected by filtration then recrystallized from ethanol

to give compound 13. Black crystals, yield 60%, m.p. 206-207°C, IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν_{\max} 2919 (C-H aliphatic), 1709 (C=O), 1249 (C=S); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ ppm: 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.96-4.15 (d, d, 1H, 1H, J₁ = 16.6, J₂ = 6, CH-CH), 8.84 -7.40

(m, 7H, Ar-H); GC-MS: m/z [M]⁺ 408 (2.14%), [M]⁺ 406 (3.15%), 205

(11.44%), 169 (100%), 153 (29.9%), 134 (9.7%); Anal. Calcd. for C₁₈H₁₂Cl₂N₂O₂S₂.

(407.33) C, 53.08; H, 2.97; N, 6.88; found: C, 52.90; H, 2.92; N, 6.80.

3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5-(2-((4-hydroxyphenyl)amino)-5-methyl-3H-indol-3-yl)-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one (14)

A solution of the thioxothiazolidin-4-one derivative 13 and p-hydroxyl aniline (0.01 mole) in ethanol (25 mL) was heated under reflux for 3 hrs.; then allowed to cool at room temperature. The formed precipitate was filtered off, dried and recrystallized from ethanol to give 14. Bright brown crystals, yield 50%, m.p. 250-251°C; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν_{\max} 3430 (OH), 3151 (NH), 3024 (C-H Aromatic), 2915 (C-H aliphatic), 1703 (C=O), 1295 (C=S); ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ ppm 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.5-5.18 (d, d, 1H, 1H, J₁ = 16.6, J₂ = 6, CH-CH), 7.92-7.37 (m, 11H, Ar-H), 9.50 (bs, 1H,

OH), 10.25 (s, 1H, NH exchangeable); MS: m/z [M]⁺ 481 (17.5%), [M]⁺ 479 (52.5%),

189 (39.8%), 161 (41.03%), 144 (51.4%), 134 (2.17%), 109 (100%). Anal. calcd. For

C₂₄H₁₈ClN₃O₂S₂ (480.0) C, 60.06; H, 3.78; N, 8.75; found: C, 59.80; H, 3.92; N, 9.06.

Docking studies

Docking studies were performed using Software version. The coordinate for the protein structure was obtained from the RCSB Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: 3kk6 and 1CX2). Protein Structure was prepared using Schrodinger Suite 2009 software package. The invalid or missing residues were added and the structures were aligned using the protein structure alignment module. Hydrogen atoms were added and the structure was minimized to relax the backbone and to remove the clashes. The protein was inspected visually for accuracy in the X₂ dihedral angle of Asn and His residues and the X₃ angle of Gln, and rotated by 180° when needed to maximize hydrogen bonding. The proper His tautomer was also manually selected to maximize hydrogen bonding. The proposed compounds were optimized by semiempirical method (AM1) using Chem3D to eliminate bond length and bond angle biases and saved to be used for docking and binding energy calculations, which were carried out by Schrodinger Suite 2009. We delineate our approach for the design of specific COX2 inhibitors. It starts with the description of generating a proposed library of indole derivatives, followed by the approaches used to optimize the chemotype requirements

for the COX2 conformations. Finally, a section on the in silico validation based on docking has been given. Grids for molecular docking with Glide were calculated with no constraints and the newly proposed compounds were docked using Glide in extra-precision mode, with up to ten poses saved per molecule. The docked poses were then minimized using the local optimization feature in Prime, and the energies were

calculated using the OPLS-AA force field and the GBSA continuum model in Maestro.

Biological assays

In vitro biochemical assays, COX-inhibition-EIA assay

The ability of the test compounds to inhibit ovine COX-1 and COX-2 (IC₅₀ values,

μM) was determined using an enzyme immunoassay (EIA) kit (catalog number 560131, Cayman Chemical, USA). Cyclo-oxygenase catalyses the first step in the biosynthesis of arachidonic acid (AA) to PGH₂. Stock solutions of test compounds were dissolved in a minimum volume of DMSO. Briefly, to a series of supplied reaction buffer solutions (950 μL, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 containing 5 mM EDTA and 2 mM phenol) with either COX-1 or COX-2 (10 μL) enzyme in the presence of heme (10 μL) were added 10 μL of two concentrations of test drug solutions (1 and 10 μM in a final volume of 1 ml). These solutions were incubated for a period of 10 minutes at 37 °C after which 10 μL of AA (100 μL) solution were added and the solutions further incubated for another 2 minutes then the COX reaction was stopped by the addition of 50 μL of 1 M HCl. Saturated stannous chloride solution (100 μL) was added to each test tube then the tubes were incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature. PGF_{2α}, produced from PGH₂ by reduction with stannous chloride, is measured by enzyme immunoassay. This assay is based on the competition between PGs and a PG-acetylcholinesterase conjugate (PG tracer) for a limited amount of PG antiserum. The amount of PG tracer that is able to bind to the PG antiserum is inversely proportional to the concentration of PGs in the wells since the concentration of PG tracer is held constant while the concentration of PGs varies. This antibody-PG complex binds to a mouse anti-rabbit monoclonal antibody that had been previously attached to the well. The plate was washed to remove any unbound reagents and then Ellman's reagent, which contains the substrate to acetylcholine esterase, was added to the well. The product of this enzymatic reaction produces a distinct yellow color that absorbs strongly at 412 nm. The intensity of this color, determined spectrophotometrically, is proportional to the amount of the PG tracer bound to the well which is inversely proportional to the amount of free PGs present in the well during the incubation; or Absorbance α [Bound PG Tracer] α 1/PGs. Percent inhibition was calculated by the comparison of the compounds treated to control

incubation. The concentration of the test compounds causing 50% inhibitions (IC₅₀,

μM) was calculated from the concentration inhibition response curve (duplicate determinations).

In vivo screening methods (carrageenan-induced rat paw edema)

Paw oedema inhibition test was performed on albino rats by adopting the method of Winter et al.²⁵ Male albino rats (120–140 g) were fasted for 16 h before the experiment. The animals were kept in the groups (control, treated, standard) under constant temperature (25°C) and 12-hours light/dark cycle. 30 min later, 0.2 mL of 1% carrageenan suspension in 0.9% NaCl solution was injected subcutaneously into the plantar aponeurosis of the hind paw, and the paw volume was measured by a water plethysmometersocrel and then measured again 3 h later. The mean increase of paw volume at each time interval was compared with that of control group at the same time intervals and percent inhibition values were calculated by the formula given below:

$$\% \text{ oedema inhibition} = \frac{V_c - V_t}{V_c} \times 100$$

Where V_c is the volume of the leg injected with carrageenan and V_t is the volume of the leg injected with the tested compounds.

Results and Discussion:

Chemistry

5-Methylisatin 1 was reacted with 3-substituted or unsubstituted 2-thioxo-4-thiazolidinone 2a-c in the presence of anhydrous sodium acetate and glacial acetic acid and gave the corresponding 5-(5-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene)-3-substituted or unsubstituted 2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one derivatives 3a-c. The spectral analyses of the afforded indolyl-thiazolidinone showed the incorporation of one molecule of isatin and one molecule of 4-thiazolidinone. The IR spectra, showed disappearance of the high frequency of ketonic carbonyl group at ν 1744 cm⁻¹ of isatin and appearance of another amidic carbonyl of 4-thiazolidine. Their ¹H NMR spectra showed the acidic protons of NH at δ 11.24 and 11.16 ppm for 3b and 3c, respectively in addition to the signals attributed to the methyl and aromatic protons (cf. Scheme 1 and the experimental section). Reduction of the exocyclic double bond of 5-(5-methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-ylidene)-3-substituted/or unsubstituted 2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one 3a-c to form 5-(5-

methyl-2-oxoindolin-3-yl)-3-substituted or/ unsubstituted 2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one 4a-c was performed using Zn/AcOH. The breakdown of the extended conjugation in 4a-c between the indole and the 4-thiazolidinone rings by reducing the exocyclic double bond, is evidenced by the fading in the color of the solution of the sample, the bathochromic shift of stretching vibration of the two amidic C=O in the IR spectra, the presence of two doublets of vicinal coupling (CH-CH) of the newly formed ethylenic protons in the ¹H NMR. The Mannich

bases 5a-c were prepared by reaction of 5-methylisatine 1 with formaldehyde and secondary amine under the Mannich conditions, which in turn condensed with 2-thioxo-4-thiazolidinone or its substituted analogs to produce 6a-g. The intermediates 5a,b are known and were prepared according to previously reported method²⁶. The IR spectrum of 5c showed high value of stretching vibration of amidic C=O group due to methylation of NH group²³. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed the singlet peak of the methylenic protons and the assigned signals of the aliphatic protons. The indolyl-thiazolidinone compounds 3a-c were also converted to the Mannich bases 6a-g via reaction with formaldehyde and secondary amine under the Mannich reaction conditions. The IR spectra of the latter products showed a high frequency of the ν C=O of the lactam bond due to methylation²⁷. The ¹H NMR spectra showed in addition to the aromatic protons, multiplet signals of the secondary amine moiety at δ 3.27–3.75 ppm as well as a singlet at 3.96 ppm of the methylene protons (CH₂). The EI-MS spectra showed that the molecular ion peak and the fragmentation pattern agreed with the suggested structure (cf. Scheme 1 & Experimental part). These mentioned findings proved evidence for confirmation of the proposed structures 6a-g. (cf. Scheme 1 & Experimental part). Reduction of compound 6e using zinc in acetic acid led to the formation of the substituted thiazolyl-indole derivative 7 for which the spectral data confirmed the assigned structure. Compound 7 was prepared by another pathway via the reaction of 4c with formaldehyde and morpholine providing additional evidence for structure confirmation (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1

Confirmation (Scheme 1). Reaction of the thiazolyl-oxindole derivative 3a with piperidine or morpholine produced the piperidinyl- or morpholinyl-thiazoline derivatives 8a,b via elimination of H₂S molecule. Compound 8b was converted to its reduced form 9 for which the IR

spectrum showed a band for NH at 3194 cm⁻¹ correlated to NH of isatin and absorption bands related to the two C=O at 1703 and 1688 cm⁻¹. Its ¹H NMR spectrum showed the ethylenic protons of vicinal coupling (CH-CH) and revealed the presence of the morpholine part signals in addition to methyl, NH and aryl signals. Reaction of compound 9 with hydrazine hydrate on steam bath gave compound 10. The IR Spectra showed complete disappearances of any absorption correlate to the carbonyl group and its ¹H NMR agreed with the assigned structure. Furthermore, reaction of 9 with either morpholine in the presence of formaldehyde furnished the corresponding Mannich base 11 for which the IR spectrum showed bands for the C=O and NH functions and its ¹H NMR showed signals for all protons in the assigned structure (Scheme 2 & Experimental part)

Scheme 2

Reaction of the 2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one 4c with tetraphosphorusdecasulfide in dry toluene produced 4-(chlorophenyl)-2,4-dithioxothiazolidin derivative 12. In

addition, compound 4c was allowed to react with phosphorous oxychloride to give the (indol-3-yl)-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-thioxothiazolidine derivative 13, which in turn was reacted with p-hydroxyaniline to form the (hydroxyphenyl)amino derivative 14. The IR spectrum of compound 13 showed the disappearance of amidic carbonyl of indole moiety and displaced by a band of C=N at 1624 cm⁻¹ and the MS spectrum showed the molecular ion peak of the structure. IR spectrum of 14 shows only one amidic C=O at ν 1703 cm⁻¹ and the MS showed the exact molecular ion peak agreeing with the proposed structure. The presence of only one carbonyl group in the IR spectrum of 12 means that the amidic C=O (NH-CO), did not react with P₄S₁₀ under applied condition and the MS spectrum showed the exact molecular ion peak (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3

Biological Evaluation

The biological assays for the newly synthesized compounds were carried out to evaluate the inhibitory activity against COX-2 and the anti-inflammatory effect on carrageenan-induced edema.

COX-2 Enzyme inhibition

The efficiency of the novel synthesized (oxindolyl) thiadiazolidine compounds to inhibit the transformation of arachidonic acid to prostaglandin H₂ (PGH₂) was investigated using a colorimetric Cox (ovine) inhibitor screening assay kit. The inhibitory effects of the tested compounds are expressed as IC₅₀ (μM) (concentrations that produce reduction of 50% of the enzymatic activity of COX control isoform) adapting reported method using Celecoxib as a reference compound (Table 1)²⁸⁻³⁰. Fourteen test candidates (3a, 4a-c, 6b, 6c, 6e, 6f, 7, 8b, 9, 10, 12 and 14) were screened for their COX-2 inhibitory activity. From the observed results (Table 1), it has been concluded that most of the screened compounds had good inhibitory activity against COX-2. Moreover, five of the tested candidates revealed potent and promising activity. These are thiadiazolidine derivatives 3a, 6f, 8b, 10, and 12 (IC₅₀ = 5.91, 5.85, 5.40, 5.63 and 5.87 μM, respectively) comparable to that of reference celecoxib (IC₅₀

Table 1: *In vitro* enzyme inhibition, docking scores and binding energy data of the new synthesized compounds.

Compd. No.	IC ₅₀ (μM)	-C-DOCKER Interaction energy (kcal/mol)	-C-DOCKER Interaction energy (kcal/mol)	RMSD on COX-2(A°)
	Cox-2a	COX-1	COX-2	
3a	5.91	32.4	50.4	0.69
4a	7.09	36.5	46.2	0.89
4b	10.02	39.9	39.6	1.02
4c	7.67	34.5	47.2	1.1
6b	7.32	36.1	48.3	0.75
6c	9.31	37.5	41.2	1.2
6e	15.40	35.1	32.7	1.35
6f	5.85	33.4	51.7	0.77
7	6.77	32.2	48.1	0.84
8b	5.40	27.2	53.2	0.66

9	9.49	35.2	41.2	1.12
10	5.63	28.9	51.8	0.74
12	5.87	31.2	51.0	0.65
14	10.63	-32.5	38.9	0.77
Celecoxib	5.94	37.1	55.1	0.45
Indomethacin	-	59.1	49.5	0.64

aValue are means of two determinations using an ovine COX-2 assay kit and deviation from the mean is <10% of the mean value.

= 5.94 μ M). Other derivatives as 7, 4a and 6b were possessed moderate inhibitory action compared to the reference IC50 values are 6.77, 7.09 and 7.32 μ M, respectively.

Structure activity relationships (SAR)

Based on the observed COX-2 inhibitory activity of the synthesized compounds it was concluded that, replacement of 2-thione in compound 3a (IC50=5.91 μ M) with morpholine as in compound 8b (IC50=5.40 μ M) had no significant effect on the inhibitory action. However, reduction of these compounds to the 5-(indol-3-yl)-2-thioxothiazolidinone as exhibited in pairs 9 and 4c (lower inhibitory effect). Introduction for additional cycle as tetracyclic derivative 10 possessed a high inhibitory action (IC50=5.63 μ M). Additionally, the bioisosteric replacement of the oxygen atom in 4c by sulfur introduce the bioisostere dithioxothiazolidine derivative 12 with approximately one and a half more potent than 4c (IC50 values 5.87 and 7.67 μ M), respectively. The N-substituted indole derivatives 6b-f exhibited varying degrees of COX inhibition with 6e showing low potency (IC50=15.40 μ M), while compound 6f which lacked the aryl substitution on N-thiazole was as potent as the celecoxib (IC50 = 5.85 μ M).

Table 1

Anti-inflammatory Activity

The anti-inflammatory activity of the synthesized derivatives and indomethacin on carrageenan induced oedema assay at 1, 2, 3 and 4 h, is depicted in Table 2. Percent edema inhibition (Table 2) was calculated in regard to control group and the potency (%) was calculated respect to the indomethacin response.

Table 2: *In vivo* anti-inflammatory results of the newly synthesized compounds and indomethacin on carrageenan-induced edema of the hind paw in rats.

Compd.	% Inhibition at 30 mg/kg (rat paw edema) a 1 hr	% Inhibition at 30 mg/kg (rat paw edema) a 2 hr	% Inhibition at 30 mg/kg (rat paw edema)a 3 hr	% Inhibition at 30 mg/kg (rat paw edema) a 4 hr
3a	17.2	32.5	48.84	62.63
4a	20.23	35.81	46.92	51.57
4c	-10.69	6.83	18.26	28.77
6b	3.02	5.59	3.26	4.73
6c	-11.62	8.90	20	0.7
6e	17.2	43.06	55.19	61.4
6f	10.93	19.04	23.07	45.08
7	3.25	23.39	35.96	41.57
8b	12.55	28.98	45.57	45.61
9	6.27	10.35	10.38	9.47

10	6.27	7.66	9.03	10
12	0.93	0.62	13.46	24.03
Indomethacin	20.93	42.85	52.3	73.68

aThe carrageenan-induced rat paw edema assay was carried out using six animals (male rats)/group following IP of the test compound. The results are expressed as means \pm SEM (n= 4-6) following a 30 mg/kg IP of the tested compounds.

The observed data revealed that, the activity of the tested compounds varied from moderate to significant inhibition of developing paw edema induced by carrageenan after one, two, three and four hours of treatment. Compounds 3a, 4a and 6e exhibited maximum inhibition with 62.63%, 51.57% and 61.4%, respectively after 4 hours at the end of the experiment whereas Indomethacin showed reduction in oedema volume by 73.68%. Compound 6f showed increased moderate activity with 45.03% inhibition which was weekly active after 1 hour treatment. For the inhibition of compound 6e, interestingly, after two and three hour's treatment, its results revealed inhibition activity of 43.06 and 55.19% which is higher than that of the standard Indomethacin at the same interval times. Compound 7 showed little effect on the volume of paw thickness after one hour, and then its inhibition gradually increased to achieve moderate activity after four hours treatment.

Table 2

Fig. 2-11

Molecular docking study

Molecular docking studies represent a useful approach in understanding the diverse interactions between the ligands and enzyme active sites in detail and thereby help in designing novel potent inhibitors. The important COX-2 inhibition results and anti-inflammatory activities of the prepared substituted (oxindolyl)thiazolidine derivatives prompted us to perform molecular docking studies to understand the ligand-protein interactions. Docking study was carried out for the target compounds into COX-1 and COX-2 using Discovery Studio 2.5 software (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). In the present study, celecoxib that was co-crystallized with the 3D-structure of COX-1 and COX-2 obtained from the protein data bank (Code: 3KK6)31 and (Code: 1CX2)32, respectively was used a reference compound to evaluate the molecular modeling docking study results. Interactive docking using was carried out for all the conformers of each compound of the tested set (3a, 4a-c, 6b, 6c, 6e, 6f, 7,

8b, 9, 10, 12 and 14) to the selected active site of COX-1 and COX-2, after energy minimization using prepared ligand protocol. Protein Structure was prepared and the invalid or missing residues were added³³. In order to validate the docking algorithm on the target enzyme, the RMSD value was calculated for each compound. Each docked compound was assigned a score according to its binding mode onto the binding site and listed in Table 2.

The reported molecular modeling studies based on

x-crystallography of the 3D structures of COX-1 and COX-2 indicated that COX binding site can be considered as a hydrophobic channel expanding from the membrane binding domain³⁴. In the upper region of the channel both isozymes possess a tyrosine (Tyr 385) and a serine (Ser 530), the amino acid acetylated by aspirin. The main variation between the two COX active sites is the replacement of the relatively bulky isoleucine (Ile) residue in COX-1 by Valine (Val) at position 523 of the active site of the enzyme³⁵. This opens an additional 2^o- polar side pocket which is a prerequisite for COX-2 drug selectivity; access of ligands to the 2^o- pocket is controlled by histidine (His 90), glutamine (Gln

192) and tyrosine (Tyr 355)³⁶.

The results obtained from the study showed that compounds 9 and 4b possessed high binding energy equal to -100.76 Kcal / mol and -75.5 Kcal / mol respectively; both compounds did not have substituents on the N-indole. The compounds formed a hydrogen bond interaction with Tyr 355 in the hydrophilic 2^o-pocket and compound 9 also formed a hydrogen bond with Ser 530 in the active site of COX-2 (Fig. 3). Moreover, the SAR studies results as well as the IC₅₀ value (10 μM) of compound 4b could be attributed to the phenyl ring superimposed on the p-trifluorophenyl of the co-crystallized inhibitor celecoxib, occupying the "hydrophobic pocket" along with its fitting in the lateral pocket (Fig. 5). However, compound 4c (Fig. 8), the chloro counterpart of compound 4b formed a hydrogen bond with Ser 530 and exhibited only one third of its activity. Compound 4a formed a hydrogen bond interaction between the 2-indole carbonyl and Tyr 355, (Fig. 6). While its unreduced rigid analogue 3a (IC₅₀ = 5.91 μM) did not form such hydrogen bond interactions but was embedded in the hydrophobic region, allowing the possibility of lipophilic contacts with the side chains of both Leu352 and Val523 (Fig. 7). The structural features of compound 8b obviously contributed to its docking result. The double bond linking thiazolidinone

and indole moieties restricts the rotation of the molecules in space, in spite of its interaction by means of hydrogen bonds with the backbone NH group of Phe518 as

well as hydrogen bond interaction with Tyr 355 (Fig. 9). The results obtained for compounds 4c and 12 showed that they have the same binding mode with binding energies -47.2 and -51.0 Kcal/mol, respectively (Fig. 10). Compound 10 interacts with the enzyme active sites via formation of a hydrogen bond with Arg 120 with a high binding energy (Fig. 11).

These observations are consistent with the inability of derivatives 7, 6e, 6c, 6b and 14; these structures bear a large moiety on the N-indole so they were sterically hindered, from entering into the enzyme active site. In addition, most of docked compound revealed low docking score on COX-1 enzyme indomethacin which support the selectivity of these compounds to COX-2 (Fig 12 and 13).

Conclusion

Thioxothiazolidin-4-one derivatives of the oxindoline ring system as well as their N- substituted analogs were synthesized and screened for COX-2 inhibition and anti-inflammatory activity in addition to related docking studies. Compounds which showed significant COX-2 inhibition were subjected to anti-inflammatory studies and docking studies. Compound 8b was found to exhibit optimal COX-2 inhibitory potency (IC₅₀ = 5.40 μM) comparable with celecoxib, so it appears promising in addition to 3a, 10 and 12. The structure-activity relationships (SAR) acquired showed that appropriately (morpholinyl-oxindolyl)thiazolidinone structure has the necessary geometry to provide potent and selective inhibition of the COX-2 isozyme. Furthermore analysis of the obtained results for newly prepared compounds opens the possibility for further optimization of studied compounds.

References

1. Hu W, Guo Z, Yi X, Guo C, Chu F, Cheng G. Discovery of 2-Phenyl-3- sulfonylphenyl-indole derivatives as a new class of selective COX-2 inhibitors *Bio Med Chem* 2003;11:5539–5544.
2. Allison MC, Howatson AG, Torrance CJ, Lee FD, Russell RIN. Gastrointestinal Damage Associated with the Use of Nonsteroidal Antiinflammatory Drugs. *Engl J Med* 1992; 327: 749-54.
3. O'Banion MK, Sadowski HB, Winn V, Young DA. A serum- and glucocorticoid- regulated 4-kilobase mRNA encodes a cyclooxygenase-related protein. *J Biol Chem* 1991; 266: 23261-67.
4. Kujubu DA, Herschmann HR. Dexamethasone inhibits mitogen induction of the TIS10 prostaglandin synthase/ cyclooxygenase gene. *J Biol Chem* 1992; 267:7991- 94.
5. Hayashi S, Sumi Y, Ueno N, Murase A, Takada J. Discovery of a novel COX-2 inhibitor as an orally potent anti-pyretic and anti-inflammatory drug: Design, synthesis, and structure-activity relationship *J Biochem Pharmacol* 2011;82:755- 768.
6. Penning, TD, Talley JJ, Bertenshaw SR, Carter JS, Collins PW, Docter S, Graneto MJ, Lee LF, Malecha JW, Miyashiro JM, Rogers RS, Rogier DJ, Yu SS, Anderson GD, Burton EG, Cogburn JN, Gregory SA, Koboldt CM, Perkins WE, Seibert K, Veenhuizen AW, Zhang YY, Isakson PC. Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of the 1,5-Diarylpyrazole Class of Cyclooxygenase-2 Inhibitors: Identification of 4-[5-(4-Methylphenyl)-3- (trifluoromethyl)-1H-pyrazol-1-yl]benzenesulfonamide (SC-58635, Celecoxib). *J Med Chem*. 1997; 40: 1347– 1365.
7. Prasit P.; Wang Z, Brideau C, Chan CC, Charleson S, Cromlish W, Ethier D.; Evans JF, Ford-Hutchinson AW, Gauthier JY, Gordon R, Guay J, Gresser M, Kargman S, Kennedy B, Leblanc Y, Leger S, Mancini J, O'Neill G. P, Ouellet M, Percival MD, Perrier H, Riendeau, D, Rodger I, Tagari P, Therien M, Vickers P, Wong E, Xu L.-J, Young RN, Zamboni R, Boyce S, Rupniak N, Forrest M, Visco D, Patrick D. The discovery of rofecoxib, [MK 966, VIOXX®,

- 4-(4'- methylsulfonylphenyl)-3-phenyl-2(5H)-furanone], an orally active cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 1999;9:1773–1778.
8. Talley JA, Brown DL, Carter JS, Masferrer MJ, Perkins WE, Rogers RS, Shaffer AF, Zhang YY, Zweifel BS, Seibert K. 4-[5-Methyl-3-phenylisoxazol-4-yl]- benzenesulfonamide, Valdecoxib: A Potent and Selective Inhibitor of COX-2. *J Med Chem* 2000; 43: 775–777.
 9. Scheen, A. J. Retrait du refocoxip (VIOXX): A Propos DeLaSecuriteCardiovasculai Des Anti-Inflammatoires Non STerpenoids COX-2 Selectifs. *Rev. Med. Liege* 2004; 59: 565–569.
 10. Woods KW, McCroskey RW, Michaelides MR, Wada CK, Hulkower KI, Bell RL, Thiazole analogues of the NSAID indomethacin as selective COX-2 Inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2001; 11: 1325-1328.
 11. Al-Turki DA, Abou-Zeid LA, Shehata IA, Al-Omar MA. Therapeutic and Toxic Effects of New NSAIDs and Related Compounds A Review and Prospective Study. *Int J Pharmacol* 2010; 6:813-825.
 12. Khanna S, Madan M, Vangoori A, Banerjee R, Thaimattam R, JafarSadikBasha SK, Ramesh M, Castouri S. R, Pal M, Evaluation of glycolamide esters of indomethacin as potential cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2006; 14:4820-4833.
 13. Olgen S, Nebioglu D. Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of N- Substituted Indole Esters as Inhibitors of Cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) *Il Farmaco*, 2002; 57:677-683.
 14. Ramana Reddy MV; Billa VK; Pallela V. R.; Mallireddigari MR; Boominathan R; Gabriel JL; Reddy EP. Design synthesis biological evaluation of 1-(4- sulfamylphenyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-5-indolyl pyrazolines as cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and lipooxygenase (LOX) inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2008; 16:3907- 3916.
 15. Olgen S, Guner E, Crespo MI, Fabregat AM, Nebioglu D. Syntheses and Biological Evaluation of Indole-2 and 3-Carboxamides: New Selective Cyclooxygenase-2 Inhibitors. *Die Pharmazie* 2002; 57:238-241.
 16. Gilbert AM, Bursavich MG, Lombardi S, Georgiadis KE, Reifenberg E, Flannery CR, Morris EA. 5-((1H-Pyrazol-4-yl)methylene)-2-thioxothiazolidin-4-one inhibitors of ADAMTS-5. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2007; 17:1189–1192.
 17. Ma L, Xie C, Ma Y, Liu J, Xiang M, Ye X, Zheng H, Chen Z, Xu Q, Chen T, Chen J, Yang J, Qiu N, Wang G, Liang X, Peng A, Yang S, Wei Y, Chen L. Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Novel 5-Benzylidene-thiazolidine-2,4- dione Derivatives for the Treatment of Inflammatory Diseases. *J Med Chem* 2011; 54:2060–2068.
 18. Nassar IF, Atta-Allah SR, Elgazwy A-SSH, A convenient synthesis and molecular modeling study of novel pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine and pyrazole derivatives as anti-tumor agents *J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem* 2015; 30:396-405.
 19. El-Sayed WA, Abd El Monem YA, Yousif NM, Tawfek N, Shaaban MT, Abdel- Rahman AAH, Antimicrobial Activity of New 2,4-Disubstituted Thiazolidinone Derivatives. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung* 2009;64C:785-790.
 20. El-Sayed WA, Abdel Mageed RE, Abbas HS. Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of new 1-[(tetrazol-5-yl)methyl] indole derivatives and their 1,2,4-triazole thioglycosides and acyclic analogs. *Arch Pharm Res* 2011; 34:1085-1096.
 21. Ali OM, El-Sayed WA, El-Sayed HA, Abdel-Rahman AAH. Synthesis and Antimicrobial Activity of New Thiazole Derivatives and Their Glucoside and Acyclic Nucleoside Analogues. *J Het Chem* 2012; 49:106-12.
 22. El-Sayed WA, Abbas HS, Abdel Magid RE, Magdziarz T. Synthesis, Antimicrobial Activity and Docking Studies of New 3-(Pyrimidin-4-yl)-1Hindole Derivatives and Their Derived N- and S-glycoside Analogs. *Med Chem Res* 2016; 25:339-355.
 23. Elgazwy A-S.S.H. Attallah S. R. Synthesis and Reactivity of Isoindigo: A Revisit *Afinidad* 2008; 56:148-155.
 24. Pardasani R T, Pardasani P, Sherry D, Chaturvedi V. Synthetic and antibacterial studies of rhodanine derivatives with indol-2,3-diones. *Indian Journal of Chemistry* 2001; 40B:1275-1278
 25. Winter, CA., Risley, EA., Nuss, GW., Carrageenin-induced edema in hind paw of the rat as an assay for anti-inflammatory drugs, *Pro-ceedings of the Society for Experimental. Biology and Medicine* 1968;111:544-547
 26. Varma RS, Nobles WL, substituted N-aminomethylisatins. *J Med Chem* 1967; 10: 510-510
 27. Long DR, Richards CG, Ross MSF. The stereochemistry of 2-oxoindolin-3- ylidene derivatives. *J Heterocycl. Chem* 1978; 15:633-637.
 28. Winter CA, Risley EA, Nuss GW. Carrageenin-induced edema in hind paw of the rat as an assay for antiinflammatory drugs. *Proc Soc Exp Biol* 1962: 111: 544-547.
 29. Biava M, Porretta GC, Cappelli A, Vomero S, Manetti F, Botta M, Sautebin L, Rossi A, Makovec F, Anzini M. 1,5-Diarylpyrrole-3-acetic Acids and Esters as Novel Classes of Potent and Highly Selective Cyclooxygenase-2 Inhibitors. *J Med Chem* 2005; 48: 3428-3432.
 30. Navidpour L, Shafaroodi H, Abdi K, Amini M, Ghahremani MH, Dehpour AR, Shafiee A. Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of substituted 3-alkylthio- 4,5-diaryl-4H-1,2,4-triazoles as selective COX-2 inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2006; 14:2507–2517.
 31. El-Sayed MA, Abdel-Aziz NI, Abdel-Aziz AA, El-Azab AS, Asiri YA, El Tahir KE. Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of substituted hydrazone and pyrazole derivatives as selective COX-2 inhibitors: Molecular docking study. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2011; 19:3416–3424.
 32. Gierse JK, McDonald JJ, Hauser SD, Rangwala SH, Koboldt M, Seibert KA. Single Amino Acid Difference between Cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) and –2 (COX-2) Reverses the Selectivity of COX-2 Specific Inhibitors. *J Biol Chem* 1996; 271: 15810-15814.

33. Garavito RM, DeWitt DL. The Cyclooxygenase isoforms: structural insights into the conversion of arachidonic acid to prostaglandins. *Biochem BiophysActa* 1999; 1441: 278-287.
34. Rimon, G, Sidhu RS, Lauver DA, Lee JY, Sharma NP, Yuan C, Frieler RA, Trievel RC, Lucchesi BR, Smith WL. Coxibs interfere with the action of aspirin by binding tightly to one monomer of cyclooxygenase-1. *ProcNatlAcadSci USA* 2010; 107: 28-33
35. Kurumbail, RG, Stevens AM, Gierse JK, McDonald JJ, Stegeman RA, Pak JY, Gildehaus D., Miyashiro JM, Penning TD, Seibert K, Isakson PC, Stallings WC. Structural basis for selective inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 by anti-inflammatory agents. *Nature* 1996; 384: 644-648
36. Discovery Studio 2.0. Accelrys, Inc, San Diego, CA. 2003.