CT and MRI analysis of primary osteosarcoma in children.

Jun Li¹, Changhai Liu², Tao Ding³, Bin Wu¹, Feng Chen⁴, Biao Jin^{1*}

¹Department of Radiology, the Chongming Branch of Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, PR China

²Department of Orthopaedic, the Chongming Branch of Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, PR China

³Department of Pediatric, the Chongming Branch of Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, PR China

⁴Department of Pathology, the Chongming Branch of Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, PR China

Abstract

Background: The present study was aimed to study the CT and MRI features of primary osteosarcoma in children and their diagnostic values.

Methods: 56 patients with primary osteosarcoma diagnosed by pathology were examined using both CT and MRI.

Results: CT technique confirmed 52 cases (92.86%) of osteosarcoma whereas, MRI confirmed 49 cases (87.50%). The remaining cases were diagnosed as benign bone tumor. The maximum diameter diagnosed by CT was (2.2 ± 0.8 cm) on average and 2.1 ± 0.7 cm was diagnosed with MRI. There were 43 cases (82.69%) with bone destruction, 36 (69.23%) with soft tissue mass, 25 (48.08%) with bone tumors and 37 (71.15%) with periosteal reaction diagnosed CT. Further, there were 49 cases (100%) with medullary cavity invasion, 40 (81.63%) with bone cortical breakthrough, 36 (73.47%) with soft tissue mass and 38 (77.55%) with peritumoral edema diagnosed by MRI. On the other hand, enhanced scanning showed that there were 42 cases with obvious edge enhancement, 7 cases with obvious internal, 43 cases in obvious heterogeneous enhancement and 6 cases with mild-moderate enhancement.

Conclusion: The diagnostic accuracy of CT and MRI of children with primary osteosarcoma is relatively high and both techniques are complimentary to each other.

Keywords: Primary osteosarcoma in children, CT, MR.

Accepted on July 13, 2017

Introduction

Osteosarcoma is the most common primary malignant bone tumor amongst adolescents and children. manifestations of osteosarcoma include pain and restricted clinical activity with a high degree of malignancy, early metastasis and poor prognosis [1]. The origins of osteosarcoma lie in the interstitial cells of sclerotin and osteoid. Furthermore, it is generated from bone and soft tissues, whose pathological features include sarcoma and tumor osteogenesis [2]. Among osteosarcoma cell components, the common type could be divided into three types, viz. chondrogenic, fibroblastic and osteoblastic cellular type [3]. Clinical diagnosis is mainly dependent on immunological examination, but X-ray diagnosis of giant cell tumor of bone is of high value [4]. The CT or CT combined with SPECT imaging diagnosis of osteogenic and ossifying primary bone tumor is highly accurate [5]. The MRI diagnosis of neurogenic and primary bone tumors like Ewing's

sarcoma and bone neurilemmoma is highly accurate [6]. According to clinical studies [7], primary osteosarcoma in children shows atypical manifestations. So, the present study is the first of its kind to study the characteristics of both CT and MRI in pediatric primary osteosarcoma cases at our center.

Material and Methods

Sample selection

A total of 56 cases that were admitted to our hospital from January 2015 to June 2016 diagnosed with primary osteosarcoma by X-ray and biopsy pathology utilized as study subjects. Study subjects included 32 males and 24 females with an average age of $(13.5 \pm 4.8 \text{ y})$ old. There were 28 cases with tumors in femur, 25 cases with tumors in the tibia, 3 cases with tumors in other places, 40 cases with tumors in metaphysic and 16 cases with tumors in the backbone.

Study methods and observation indexes

The CT and MRI were used to perform examinations respectively. The GE Light speed 64-slice spiral CT scanner was used in various scopes including whole lesion and adjacent joints. The layer was 5 mm in thickness with a 5 mm space between each one. The voltage was 120 kV and the current was 300 mA. The size of the matrix was 512×512 , the FOV was 400 mm and the reconstructed layer was 3 mm in thickness. The enhanced contrast agent Youwei 370 was utilized and was administered as a bolus injection under high pressure through the elbow vein at the rate of 3.0 ml/s in 100 ml of blood. The tumor diameter, bone destruction, soft tissue mass, tumor bone, periosteal reaction and CT enhanced form were observed. The types of bone destruction were divided into three types: bony, osteolytic and mixed type and tumor bones included compact type, dense ivory type, flocculent type and radial type. The periosteal reactions include Codman triangular type, lamellar type and acicular type.

SIEMENS AG and Germany MAGNETOM Verio3.0T magnetic resonance scanner was used to conduct routine examination on T1WI and T2WI. The T1WI and T2WI of cross-sections and sagittal planes were conventionally scanned, and coronal planes of some were scanned. Coils were arrayed in the somatic parts of joints and limbs, which stayed in an advanced supine position. The examination sites were aimed at the coil center. The Gd-DTPA contrast agents were used to conduct enhancement scan, which was realized through injecting 0.2 mmol/kg via veins. 3 min later, the T1WI scanning was performed in three directions and the scanning range, scanning thickness and interlaminar space were consistent with those of the conventional plain scan. The diameter of the tumor, invasion of the medullary cavity, breakthrough of the cortical bone and soft tissue mass, the peritumoral edema, the intensity of the lesion and the enhancement form were observed. Two senior physicians read the radiographs while a third physician analysed final results.

Statistical methods

The SPSS20.0 software was used for statistical analysis and the measurement data was expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. An independent sample t-test was used to compare the groups and the count data was expressed as the number of cases or (%). Intra-group comparison was conducted by χ^2 test. p<0.05 represented a statistically significant result.

Results

CT diagnoses of osteosarcoma and imaging features

CT confirming the accuracy of 92.86% diagnosed 52 cases of osteosarcoma and the remaining cases were diagnosed with benign bone tumors. The maximum diameter of osteosarcoma was (2.2 ± 0.8) on average and that of the benign bone tumor was (2.3 ± 0.7) . There were no differences after comparison (t=0.065, p=0.954). The various degrees of bone destruction were observed in 43 cases (82.69%) with osteosarcoma, among

which there were 10 cases with osteogenic destruction, 11 cases with osteolytic destruction and 22 cases with mixed damage. Osteosarcoma often results in invasive growth, which is easy to break through the soft tissue, thus forming a cortical bone mass. There were a total of 36 cases (69.23%) with soft tissue masses. Osteoma was found in 25 cases (48.08%), including 13 cases with flocculent bone tumor, 8 cases with radial bone tumor and 4 cases with dense ivory bone tumor. The outward growth of osteosarcoma stimulated periosteum, thus forming the periosteal reaction. Periosteal reaction was found in a total of 37 cases (71.15%). In terms of the enhancement form, there were 29 cases with early enhancement, 15 cases with delayed enhancement and 8 cases with no enhancement. There were 11 cases with even enhancement and 33 cases with heterogeneous enhancement. Finally, there were 18 cases with central enhancement and 15 cases with peripheral enhancement.

MRI diagnoses of osteosarcoma and imaging features

There were 49 cases that were diagnosed by MRI with an accuracy rate of 87.50%. The remaining cases were diagnosed with benign bone tumors. There were no significant differences compared to CT (χ^2 =0.907, p=0.341). The maximum diameter diagnosed was $(2.2 \pm 0.7 \text{ cm})$ and that of benign bone tumor was $(2.1 \pm 0.6 \text{ cm})$. No statistically significant differences were noticed between the maximum diameter of osteosarcoma diagnosed by MRI and that diagnosed by CT (t-0.097, p=0.924). There were 49 cases (100%) with medullary cavity invasion, 40 cases (81.63%) with bone cortical breakthrough, 36 cases (73.47%) with soft tissue mass and 38 cases (77.55%) with peritumoral edema. In the T1WI sequence, 17 cases hyperintensity, demonstrated 18 cases demonstrated isointensity, 14 cases demonstrated hypointensity, among which signals in 40 cases were heterogeneous and in 9 cases were even. In the T2WI sequence, 6 cases demonstrated hyperintensity, 2 cases demonstrated isointensity and 41 cases demonstrated hypointensity, which are mixed signals. Through enhanced scanning, it could be seen that there were 42 cases with obvious edge enhancement, 7 cases with obvious internal, 43 cases in obvious heterogeneous enhancement and 6 cases with mild-moderate enhancement.

Discussion

The density resolution of CT is higher than that of X-ray, which could help to determine lesions with smaller diameters in an earlier period. CT scans are able to detect osteosarcoma with the smallest diameter of 3mm, and display soft tissue mass in a clearer way [8]. In the follow-up process, it could detect recurrent lesions and metastases more comprehensively in an earlier period [9]. It could be seen as an important reference for a surgical resection plan [10]. The shortcoming is that it needs to use a large dosage of radiation. MRI has a relatively high resolution in soft tissues, helping in accurate estimation of tumor invasion. This helps in diagnosis of distant leap-lesions and epiphyseal invasion [11]. The only shortcoming reported earlier is that it is not sensitive to the

display of tumorous bone or manifestation of osteosarcoma [12]. However, X-ray films remain the preferred method for examining osteosarcoma [13]. Therefore, CT could be taken as an important supplementary method to X-ray examination.

The occurrence rate of bone destruction is higher in osteosarcoma and osteolytic destruction is the main common type [14]. The formation of soft tissue masses also reflects the invasiveness of the tumor [15]. Tumor cells in osteosarcoma have the capacity of directly forming a neoplastic osteoid and bone. So rapid tumor bone formation has become one of the important signs of osteosarcoma for imaging diagnosis [16]. The Codman triangle (namely, periosteum triangle) is a relatively common type of osteosarcoma periosteal reaction. suggesting that disease progresses rapidly [17]. On the other hand, in terms of lamellar periosteal reaction, there is no tumor tissue to infiltrate in between the layers of new periosteum at the early stage. Periosteal new bone is not destroyed, which suggested the slow growth of the tumor [18]. In general, when the bone destruction is greater than 30% X-ray examination is possible, but the MRI technique has ability to detect abnormal signal even in the early period [19]. Compared with X-ray and CT scans, the sizes of lesions measured by MRI are closer to the measurement values of gross specimen after resection [20]. The present study revealed that there is no significant difference in the detection of maximum diameter of osteosarcoma by CT scans and MRI. The accuracy rate of diagnosing epiphyseal violations by MRI reaches to as high as 90 to 100% [21]. Spina et al. [22] holds that there are certain advantages to determine the degree of necrosis by MRI after chemotherapy, which might be taken as an important examining method to monitor chemotherapy sensitivity. Some studies have suggested that T1WI in the SE sequence could be the preferred imaging modality to determine the scope of osteosarcoma invasion [23]. Moreover some authors believe that the STIR is clearer and closer to the actual lesion than the SE sequence [24]. Therefore, T1WI could be the best way to show epiphyseal invasion [25]. So, earlier reports are also in sync with our results confirming their complimentary nature.

Conclusions

The diagnostic accuracy of CT and MRI of children with primary osteosarcoma is relatively high and complementary as well. However, future studies with large sample sizes are essential for concreter conclusion.

Acknowledgment

We are thankful to the contribution of each author.

Conflict of Interest

None

References

- Yung KW, Yung TT, Chung CY, Tong GT, Liu Y, Henderson J, Welbeck D, Oseni S. Principles of cancer staging. Asian Pac J Surg Oncol 2015; 1: 1-16.
- 2. Osasan S, Zhang M, Shen F, Paul PJ, Persad S. Osteogenic sarcoma: a 21st century review. Anticancer Res 2016; 36: 4391-4398.
- 3. Zheng YF, Tan LK, Tan BH, Sterling H, Kane R. Principles of surgical oncology. Asian Pac J Surg Oncol 2015; 1: 17-26.
- Futamura N, Urakawa H, Tsukushi S, Arai E, Kozawa E. Giant cell tumor of bone arising in long bones possibly originates from the metaphyseal region. Oncol Lett 2016; 11: 2629-2634.
- Byun BH, Kim SH, Lim SM, Lim I, Kong CB. Prediction of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in osteosarcoma using dual-phase (18) F-FDG PET/CT. Eur Radiol 2015; 25: 2015-2024.
- 6. Huang L, Chen X, Li L. Image and pathological features of Ewings sarcoma in the oral and maxillofacial region. Zhong Nan Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban 2016; 41: 637-643.
- Quartuccio N, Fox J, Kuk D, Wexler LH, Baldari S, Cistaro A, Schoder H. Pediatric bone sarcoma: diagnostic performance of 18F-FDG PET/CT versus conventional imaging for initial staging and follow-up. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2015; 204: 153-160.
- 8. Vijayakumar V, Collier AB 3rd, Ruan C, Zhang X, Lowery R, Barr J, Hicks C, Megason G, Vijayakumar S. Multimodality imaging in pediatric osteosarcoma in the era of image gently and image wisely campaign with a close look at the CT scan radiation dose. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2016; 38: 227-231.
- 9. Muheremu A, Niu X. Positron emission tomography/computed tomography for bone tumors (Review). Oncol Lett 2015; 9: 522-526.
- 10. Haddox CL, Han G, Anijar L, Binitie O, Letson GD, Bui MM, Reed DR. Osteosarcoma in pediatric patients and young adults: a single institution retrospective review of presentation, therapy, and outcome. Sarcoma 2014; 2014: 402509.
- 11. Kubo T, Furuta T, Johan MP, Adachi N, Ochi M. Percent slope analysis of dynamic magnetic resonance imaging for assessment of chemotherapy response of osteosarcoma or Ewing sarcoma: systematic review and meta-analysis. Skeletal Radiol 2016; 45: 1235-1242.
- 12. Chaudhry AA, Gul M, Gould E, Teng M, Baker K, Matthews R. Utility of positron emission tomographymagnetic resonance imaging in musculoskeletal imaging. World J Radiol 2016; 8: 268-274.
- 13. Kramer JA, Gubitz R, Beck L, Heindel W, Vieth V. Imaging diagnostics of bone sarcomas. Unfallchirurg 2014; 117: 491-500.
- 14. Kogay M, Thariat J, Benisvy D, Dufour M, Gastaud L, Saada E, Iannessi A, Thyss A. Is FDG TEP CT practice

- changing in the management of sarcomas in adults? Bull Cancer 2016; 21: 165-166.
- 15. Dancheva Z, Bochev P, Chaushev B, Yordanova T, Klisarova A. Dual-time point 18FDG-PET/CT imaging may be useful in assessing local recurrent disease in high grade bone and soft tissue sarcoma. Nucl Med Rev Cent East Eur 2016; 19: 22-27.
- 16. Liu F, Zhang Q, Zhu D, Li Z, Li J, Wang B, Zhou D, Dong J. Performance of positron emission tomography and positron emission tomography/computed tomography using fluorine-18-fluorodeoxyglucose for the diagnosis, staging, and recurrence assessment of bone sarcoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015; 94: 1462.
- 17. Denecke T, Hundsdorfer P, Misch D, Steffen IG, Schönberger S, Furth C, Plotkin M, Ruf J, Hautzel H, Stover B, Kluge R, Bierbach U, Otto S, Beck JF, Franzius C, Henze G, Amthauer H. Assessment of histological response of paediatric bone sarcomas using FDG PET in comparison to morphological volume measurement and standardized MRI parameters. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2010; 37: 1842-1853.
- 18. Benz MR, Czernin J, Tap WD, Eckardt JJ, Seeger LL, Allen-Auerbach MS, Dry SM, Phelps ME, Weber WA, Eilber FC. FDG-PET/CT imaging predicts histopathologic treatment responses after neoadjuvant therapy in adult primary bone sarcomas. Sarcoma 2010; 2010: 143540.
- 19. Messiou C, Bonvalot S, Gronchi A, Vanel D, Meyer M, Robinson P, Morosi C, Bloem JL, Terrier PH, Lazar A, Le Pechoux C, Wardelman E, Winfield JM, Boulet B, Bovee J, Haas RL, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer-Soft Tissue and Bone Sarcoma Group; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer-Imaging Group. Evaluation of response after preoperative radiotherapy in soft tissue sarcomas; the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer-Soft Tissue and Bone Sarcoma Group (EORTC-STBSG) and Imaging Group recommendations for

- radiological examination and reporting with an emphasis on magnetic resonance imaging. Eur J Cancer 2016; 56: 37-44.
- 20. Kenneally BE, Gutowski CJ, Reynolds AW, Morrison WB, Abraham JA. Utility of opposed-phase magnetic resonance imaging in differentiating sarcoma from benign bone lesions. J Bone Oncol 2015; 4: 110-114.
- 21. Thawait SK, Marcus MA, Morrison WB, Klufas RA, Eng J, Carrino JA. Research synthesis: what is the diagnostic performance of magnetic resonance imaging to discriminate benign from malignant vertebral compression fractures? Systematic review and meta-analysis. Spine 2012; 37: 736-744.
- 22. Spina V, Torricelli P, Manfrini M, Picci P, Romagnoli R. Osteosarcoma magnetic resonance imaging of the effects of preoperative chemotherapy. Radiol Med 1993; 85: 725-732.
- 23. Kransdorf MJ, Bridges MD. Current developments and recent advances in musculoskeletal tumor imaging. Semin Musculoskelet Radiol 2013; 17: 145-155.
- 24. Kohl CA, Chivers FS, Lorans R, Roberts CC, Kransdorf MJ. Accuracy of chemical shift MR imaging in diagnosing indeterminate bone marrow lesions in the pelvis: review of a single institutions experience. Skelet Radiol 2014; 43: 1079-1084.
- 25. Ragab Y, Emad Y, Gheita T, Mansour M, Abou-Zeid A, Ferrari S, Rasker JJ. Differentiation of osteoporotic and neoplastic vertebral fractures by chemical shift (in-phase and out-of phase) MR imaging. Eur J Radiol 2009; 72: 125-133.

*Correspondence to

Biao Jin

Department of Radiology

The Chongming Branch of Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine

PR China