

# Slow vs. Fast metabolizers: How nicotine processing affects smoking habits.

Wanwan Pang\*

Institute of Advanced Clinical Medicine, Peking University, China

## Introduction

Nicotine metabolism plays a critical role in smoking behavior, addiction, and the ability to quit smoking. Individuals process nicotine at different rates, classifying them as either slow or fast metabolizers. This distinction impacts nicotine dependence, cigarette consumption, and the effectiveness of cessation strategies. Understanding how nicotine is metabolized can help tailor smoking cessation treatments and public health strategies [1].

Nicotine metabolism primarily occurs in the liver, where the enzyme cytochrome P450 2A6 (CYP2A6) converts nicotine into cotinine, its primary metabolite. The rate at which this process occurs varies among individuals due to genetic and environmental factors [2].

These individuals process nicotine at a slower rate, leading to prolonged nicotine presence in the body. Consequently, they may smoke less and have a lower risk of nicotine dependence [3].

Genetic variations in the CYP2A6 enzyme largely determine whether an individual is a slow or fast metabolizer. However, external factors such as diet, age, sex, and medication use can also influence nicotine metabolism. For instance: Women, particularly those taking oral contraceptives, tend to metabolize nicotine faster than men [4].

Ethnic variations exist, with some populations having a higher prevalence of slow metabolizers. Nicotine metabolism rate directly influences smoking habits and addiction levels: Since nicotine leaves their system quickly, they tend to smoke more cigarettes per day and may find it harder to quit due to stronger cravings [5].

Higher doses of nicotine replacement therapy (e.g., patches, gum) may be needed to compensate for rapid nicotine breakdown. Medications like varenicline (Chantix) have shown greater success in this group [6].

Lower doses of nicotine replacement or non-nicotine-based therapies like behavioral counseling may be sufficient for quitting. Understanding nicotine metabolism can help public health officials and healthcare providers develop personalized smoking cessation plans [7].

With longer nicotine retention, they often smoke fewer cigarettes and may have an easier time quitting. Genetic

testing for nicotine metabolism markers could guide treatment decisions, increasing the likelihood of quitting success [8].

These individuals break down nicotine quickly, leading to lower nicotine levels in the bloodstream. As a result, they may smoke more frequently to maintain their desired nicotine effect. The effectiveness of smoking cessation treatments varies between slow and fast metabolizers [9].

Certain medications and liver conditions can slow nicotine metabolism. Future research in genetic markers and tailored treatment approaches could revolutionize smoking cessation programs, ultimately reducing smoking-related health risks [10].

## Conclusion

The rate at which individuals metabolize nicotine significantly affects their smoking behavior and ability to quit. Recognizing whether someone is a slow or fast metabolizer can lead to more effective and personalized smoking cessation strategies.

## References

1. Chinman M, George P, Dougherty RH, Daniels AS, Ghose SS, Swift A, Delphin-Rittmon ME. Peer support services for individuals with serious mental illnesses: assessing the evidence. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2014;65(4):429-41.
2. Goodley G, Pearson D, Morris P. Predictors of recidivism following release from custody: A meta-analysis. *Psychol Crime Law*. 2022;28(7):703-29.
3. White WL. Peer-based addiction recovery support: History, theory, practice, and scientific evaluation executive summary. *Counselor*. 2009;10(5):54-9.
4. Philippe TJ, Sikder N, Jackson A, et al., Digital health interventions for delivery of mental health care: systematic and comprehensive meta-review. *JMIR Ment Health*. 2022;9(5):e35159.
5. Cooper RE, Saunders KR, Greenburgh A, et al., The effectiveness, implementation, and experiences of peer support approaches for mental health: a systematic umbrella review. *BMC Med*. 2024;22(1):72.
6. Shalaby RA, Agyapong VI. Peer support in mental health: literature review. *JMIR mental health*. 2020;7(6):e15572.

\*Correspondence to: Wanwan Pang, Institute of Advanced Clinical Medicine, Peking University, China. E-mail: Wan.pang@bjmu.edu.cn

Received: 03-Mar-2025, Manuscript No. AARA-25- 163814; Editor assigned: 04-Mar-2025, PreQC No. AARA-25- 163814 (PQ); Reviewed: 18-Mar-2025, QC No. AARA-25- 163814; Revised: 23-Mar-2025, Manuscript No. AARA-25- 163814 (R); Published: 30-Mar-2025, DOI: 10.35841/aara-8.2.265

7. Liu Y, Kornfield R, Shaw BR, et al., Giving and receiving social support in online substance use disorder forums: How self-efficacy moderates effects on relapse. *Patient Educ Couns.*2020;103(6):1125-33.
8. McLuhan A, Hahmann T, Mejia-Lancheros C, et al., Finding help and hope in a peer-led reentry service hub near a detention centre: A process evaluation. *Plos One.* 2023;18(2):e0281760.
9. Eddie D, White WL, Vilsaint CL, Bergman BG, Kelly JF. Reasons to be cheerful: Personal, civic, and economic achievements after resolving an alcohol or drug problem in the United States population. *Psychol Addict Behav.* 2021;35(4):402.
10. Dekkers A, De Ruyscher C, Vanderplasschen W. Perspectives of cocaine users on addiction recovery: A qualitative study following a CRA+ vouchers programme. *Drugs: Educ, Prev and Policy.* 2020;27(4):282-96.