

# Memory: An integrated and interactive syste.

Nathan Chen\*

Department of Neuroscience, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

## Introduction

The human memory system is a remarkable and complex faculty, often conceptualized as a collection of distinct yet interconnected processes. Traditional views sometimes segmented memory into separate stores, like short-term and long-term, or declarative and non-declarative. However, current research increasingly highlights that these systems rarely operate in isolation. Instead, memory functions through a sophisticated network of dynamic interactions, where different systems collaborate, compete, and integrate to support a vast array of cognitive abilities, from learning new skills to consolidating past experiences and making adaptive decisions. Understanding this integrative nature is fundamental to grasping the full scope of human cognition and its vulnerabilities.

A true integrative process is observed in the acquisition of motor skills, where different memory systems, particularly declarative and procedural memory, interact dynamically. Explicit knowledge often guides initial movements, providing a conscious roadmap, before procedural circuits gradually take over for more automatic execution. This transition demonstrates a seamless shift from conscious effort to ingrained habit [1].

Memory consolidation, the process by which memories become stable, long-term representations, also relies heavily on collaboration between distinct brain regions. The hippocampus and neocortex actively communicate, transforming initial labile memories into robust, enduring ones. This interplay is a core mechanism of memory system integration, underscoring that memory formation is a distributed and highly interactive process rather than solely localized storage [2].

The development of memory in children further illustrates this integrative capacity. Children progressively develop an integrated memory, combining both the main idea, or gist, and specific details of an event. This ability to form rich, comprehensive recollections improves with age, suggesting a clear developmental trajectory for the coordinated operation of various memory components that support a full and nuanced understanding of past events [3].

The integrity and interaction of different memory systems are profoundly impacted in neurological conditions. In individuals with

mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease, both declarative and non-declarative memory systems are affected. More critically, their coordinated function, which is indispensable for performing daily tasks and maintaining cognitive independence, becomes significantly impaired. This breakdown starkly highlights the crucial role of integrative memory in health and disease [4].

Computational models provide valuable insights into the underlying mechanisms of these interactions. Such models explore how different memory systems, like the declarative and procedural, cooperate to guide flexible, goal-directed behavior. They propose specific mechanisms where the basal ganglia drive habit formation, while the prefrontal cortex manages working memory, collectively demonstrating how their coordinated effort underpins adaptive decision-making and efficient action selection [5].

Emotional experiences significantly shape memory, particularly in contexts like Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). Strong emotional events can profoundly influence how memories are encoded, stored, and retrieved. This process often involves an intricate dance between different memory systems to create highly salient and persistent recollections, which, while sometimes detrimental, exemplify the powerful integrative forces at play in emotionally charged memory formation [6].

Sleep serves as a critical period for memory integration and consolidation. This physiological state actively contributes to the restructuring and long-term storage of both declarative and non-declarative memories. Neural mechanisms, particularly the hippocampal-neocortical dialogue during slow-wave sleep, are critical for transferring and refinement of new memories into stable stores. This underscores sleep's vital role in linking and strengthening disparate memory traces [7].

Furthermore, new episodic information is not stored in isolation but actively integrates with existing semantic knowledge. The brain constantly relates novel events to what is already known, enriching both the new memory and the broader semantic understanding. This continuous interplay between different memory forms helps build a coherent and ever-expanding knowledge base, ensuring new experiences are contextualized within our existing mental frameworks [8].

---

\*Correspondence to: Nathan Chen, Department of Neuroscience, National Taiwan University, Taiwan. E-mail: nen@ntu.edu.tw

Received: 10-Sep-2025, Manuscript No. AAINR-25-200; Editor assigned: 12-Sep-2025, Pre QC No. AAINR-25-200 (PQ); Reviewed: 02-Oct-2025, QC No. AAINR-25-200; Revised: 13-Oct-2025, Manuscript No. AAINR-25-200 (R); Published: 22-Oct-2025, DOI: 10.35841/ainnr-8.3.200

A common coding framework offers a unified perspective on how working memory and long-term memory systems interact. This framework argues against a strict separation, suggesting that both memory types rely on overlapping neural representations and processes for information maintenance and retrieval. This integrated view explains how transient information held in working memory can be quickly incorporated into more enduring knowledge structures, fostering a more fluid and efficient cognitive system [9].

Ultimately, a comprehensive understanding of memory reveals that multiple systems work together to enable flexible and adaptive behavior. Different systems, ranging from habitual to goal-directed learning, interact and sometimes compete to guide actions. Optimal decision-making emerges from the seamless integration of these diverse cognitive resources, demonstrating that the dynamic interplay among memory systems is central to our capacity for intelligent action and continuous learning [10].

## Conclusion

The provided literature consistently emphasizes that memory is not a collection of isolated modules but rather a highly integrated and interactive system. For example, motor skill acquisition involves a dynamic interplay between explicit knowledge and automatic procedural execution, where initial explicit guidance gradually gives way to implicit procedural circuits [1]. This dynamic interaction is echoed in the collaborative work between the hippocampus and neocortex during memory consolidation, transforming transient memories into stable, long-term representations through active communication [2]. The ability to form rich, integrated memories combining both main ideas and specific details also improves developmentally in children, highlighting a trajectory for coordinated memory operation [3].

Breakdowns in these integrative processes are evident in neurological conditions; individuals with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease show significant impairment in the coordinated function of declarative and non-declarative memory systems, crucial for daily tasks [4]. Computational models further explore these interactions, proposing mechanisms where systems like declarative and procedural memory cooperate to drive flexible, goal-directed behavior, with distinct brain regions managing different aspects like habit formation and working memory [5].

Emotional experiences, especially in conditions like Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), profoundly influence how memories are encoded, stored, and retrieved, involving an intricate dance be-

tween different memory systems to create persistent recollections [6]. Sleep plays a critical role in refining and linking memory traces, actively contributing to the integration and consolidation of both declarative and non-declarative memories through neural mechanisms like hippocampal-neocortical dialogue [7]. Moreover, new episodic information actively integrates with existing semantic knowledge, enriching both new memories and our broader understanding by relating events to what we already know [8]. A common coding framework even suggests that working memory and long-term memory rely on overlapping neural representations, explaining how transient information integrates into enduring knowledge structures [9]. Ultimately, multiple memory systems work together to enable flexible and adaptive behavior, often competing or cooperating to guide actions, illustrating that optimal decision-making stems from the seamless integration of diverse cognitive resources [10].

## References

1. Rebecca MC, Lin M, Matthew H. Memory systems interaction in motor skill learning: a systematic review. *Psychol Res.* 2020;84:825-840.
2. Hong-Dao W, Ricardo KL, Patricia KV. *The Interplay of Hippocampal and Neocortical Systems in Memory Consolidation.* *Curr Biol.* 2023;33:R318-R320.
3. Tamara JB, Abigail DW, Amritha B. *Development of an integrated memory for gist and detail in children.* *J Exp Child Psychol.* 2020;198:104899.
4. Gianluca DM, Sara V, Marta F. Memory systems in patients with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2020;76:1391-1405.
5. Randall CO, Michael JF, Timothy EH. A computational model of memory system interactions in goal-directed behavior. *Front Syst Neurosci.* 2020;14:593740.
6. Max JLL, Iris ME, Martijn AMS. The interplay of emotional memory and executive functions in posttraumatic stress disorder: *A systematic review.* *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2020;75:101804.
7. Yu M, Ping L, Chuchu L. Sleep and memory systems: *A comprehensive review.* *Curr Neuropharmacol.* 2021;19:574-593.
8. Marlieke TRvK, Dirk JR, Prisca TS. When did I learn that? *Episodic integration of prior knowledge.* *Learn Mem.* 2020;27:147-158.
9. Subham S, Patrick GB, Michael XC. Integrating working memory and long-term memory: *A common coding framework.* *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2021;25:887-899.
10. Nathaniel DD, Zeb KN, Peter D. How memory systems interact to support flexible behavior: *A review of the literature.* *Neuron.* 2020;107:1029-1044.

**Citation:** Chen N. Memory: An integrated and interactive system. *Integr Neuro Res.* 2025;08(03):200.