

2nd World Congress on **CARDIOLOGY** & 39th Annual Congress on **MICROBIOLOGY AND MICROBIAL INFECTION**

July 23 - 24, 2018 | Rome, Italy

Marta Noemi Monari et al., Biomed Res 2018, Volume 29 | DOI: 10.4066/biomedicalresearch-C1-002

SHAH VS. BACKMAN VS. ABBOT'S CUT OFF FOR HSTNL SHOWED DIFFERENT POSSIBILITIES IN PATIENTS WITH CHEST PAIN PROFILE?

Marta Noemi Monari¹, L Motta², A Molteni^{2,3}, A Voza⁴, P Bianchi¹, F Maura¹, Barbieri B¹, M Pedretti¹ and M F Rossi¹

¹Humanitas Clinical and Research Center, Italy

²IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Italy

³ASST Lecco, Italy

⁴Humanitas University, Italy

BIOGRAPHY

Marta Noemi Monari is working in Humanitas clinical and research center, Italy

marta_noemi.monari@humanitas.it

Background: Chest pain is a common cause of worldwide hospital admission and is a major burden on health-care resources. Cardiac troponin has substantially improved the accuracy of diagnosis and prognostic assessment of patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome (ACS). We wanted to investigate the use of a high sensitive assays for cardiac troponin (hsTnl) in the emergency department and its influence in patients admission or discharge (according to assigned color code and pain during triage), in order to identify the best one in terms of accuracy between the gap from the cut off and the need of hospitalization.

Methods: We have conducted a retrospective analysis based on 1758 (three month) accessions in emergency department (ED). We have focused our attention between 1014 patients (534 men, 480 women) having cardiological profile, excluding thoracic trauma or other non cardiological pain. We compared three different possible scenarios to ruled in chest pain patients to interpretate the hsTnl: from literature Shah 12 ng/L, for Abbott hsTnl 34 ng/L for men, 15 ng/L for woman; and Beckman Coulter hsTnl 19.8 ng/L for men and 11.6 ng/L for woman.

Results: The need of hospitalization was associated with a value above the cut-off of each method taken into in a statistically significant way (Abbott, $p < 0.001$; Beckman, $p < 0.001$; Shah, $p < 0.001$). Moreover, the gap from the cut-off is associated with an increased probability of admission, corrected for age, gender and color code (Abbott OR 7.74, 95% CI 2.89-20.75, $p < 0.001$; Beckman 3.93, 95% CI 1.89-8.18, $p < 0.001$; Shah 5.06, 95% CI 2.51-10.22, $p < 0.001$). The hospitalization is highly associated with the color code ($p < 0.001$) given during the triage.

Conclusion: In this population, there is not a statistically significant difference between the three different interpretative cut off taken into consideration in identifying hospitalized patients. There is a statistically significant association between the color code given during the triage, the hsTnl level and the hospitalization, so the real key of the use of this marker is strongly related to the correct diagnosis.