Understanding the costs of cosmetic resurfacing: What to expect.

Alexa Dover*

Department of Dermatology, Skin Care Physicians, USA

Introduction

Cosmetic resurfacing procedures, such as chemical peels, laser resurfacing, microneedling, and dermabrasion, have gained popularity for their ability to treat skin imperfections like fine lines, wrinkles, acne scars, and hyperpigmentation. However, while the aesthetic benefits are widely publicized, the financial aspects of these treatments are often less discussed [1].

Understanding the costs involved including procedure types, geographic variations, post-treatment care, and hidden expenses can help patients make informed decisions. The primary determinant of cost is the type of resurfacing technique used. For example: Chemical Peels range from superficial to deep peels. Superficial peels can cost \$150–\$300 per session, while deeper phenol peels may exceed \$3,000 due to the need for anesthesia and clinical oversight [2].

Laser Resurfacing is typically the most expensive. Ablative lasers (e.g., CO₂, Er:YAG) cost between \$2,000–\$4,000 per session, while non-ablative fractional lasers cost around \$1,000–\$2,500. Microneedling, often combined with PRP (platelet-rich plasma), ranges from \$200–\$700 per session [3].

Dermabrasion costs approximately \$1,500–\$2,000, depending on the extent of the area treated and practitioner expertise. Many resurfacing procedures require multiple treatments to achieve optimal results. For instance, microneedling and fractional lasers typically involve 3–6 sessions spaced weeks apart. This repetition can significantly raise the overall cost [4].

A board-certified dermatologist or plastic surgeon will likely charge more than a general practitioner or aesthetician, but the higher cost often reflects increased safety, precision, and satisfaction. Prices vary significantly by region. Treatments in metropolitan or high-demand areas cost more due to overhead expenses and higher demand [5].

Some providers charge initial consultation fees, which may or may not be deducted from the final procedure cost. Aftercare is critical for healing and results. Patients may need specialized moisturizers, sunscreens, serums, and topical antibiotics adding \$50–\$200 to the total cost [6].

Some procedures require downtime. For example, ablative laser treatments can need up to two weeks of recovery, potentially resulting in income loss for working individuals. Monitoring recovery and managing side effects may involve

follow-up appointments that are not included in the base price [7].

Procedures like fractional laser resurfacing offer long-term improvement in texture and tone and may reduce the need for future skincare products or makeup, providing a longer-term return on investment. Deeper resurfacing procedures, particularly deep chemical peels or CO₂ laser treatments, may require sedation or general anesthesia, increasing costs through facility or anesthesiologist fees [8].

When evaluating cosmetic resurfacing, it's essential to weigh the costs against expected outcomes. Patients should also consider alternatives that might be less invasive or more costeffective depending on their goals. For example, non-ablative treatments may be more affordable upfront but require more sessions for similar results [9].

Most cosmetic resurfacing procedures are considered elective and not medically necessary, meaning insurance typically does not cover them. However, exceptions exist in cases where resurfacing is required for medical conditions such as post-traumatic scarring or treatment of precancerous skin lesions. Patients should consult with both their provider and insurer for clarification [10].

Conclusion

Cosmetic resurfacing can be a worthwhile investment for those seeking healthier, rejuvenated skin. However, understanding the range of potential costs—from the procedure itself to aftercare and follow-up—is crucial to avoid financial surprises. By researching procedure types, consulting with qualified professionals, and planning for additional expenses, individuals can approach their skincare goals confidently and wisely.

References

- 1. Woodruff PW, Higgins EM, Du Vivier AW, et al. Psychiatric illness in patients referred to a dermatology-psychiatry clinic. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 1997;19(1):29-35.
- 2. Bowe WP, Leyden JJ, Crerand CE, et al. Body dysmorphic disorder symptoms among patients with acne vulgaris. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2007;57(2):222-30.
- 3. Kittler JE, Menard W, Phillips KA. Weight concerns in individuals with body dysmorphic disorder. Eat Behav. 2007;8(1):115-20.

Received: 03-Apr-2025, Manuscript No. AADRSC-25-163877; Editor assigned: 04-Apr-2025, PreQC No. AADRSC-25-163877(PQ); Reviewed: 17-Apr-2025, QC No AADRSC-25-163877; Revised: 22-Apr-2025, Manuscript No. AADRSC-25-163877(R); Published: 28-Apr-2025, DOI:10.35841/aadrsc-9.2.262

Citation: Dover A. Understanding the costs of cosmetic resurfacing: What to expect. Dermatol Res Skin Care. 2025; 9(2):262

^{*}Correspondence to: Alexa Dover, Department of Dermatology, Skin Care Physicians, USA. E-mail: adover@skincarephysicians.net

- 4. Phillips KA, Menard W, Fay C, et al. Demographic characteristics, phenomenology, comorbidity, and family history in 200 individuals with body dysmorphic disorder. Psychosom. 2005;46(4):317-25.
- 5. Picavet V, Gabriëls L, Jorissen M, et al. Screening tools for body dysmorphic disorder in a cosmetic surgery setting. The Laryngoscope. 2011;121(12):2535-41.
- 6. Mezzana P. Clinical efficacy of a new chitin nanofibrils-based gel in wound healing. Acta Chir Plast. 2008;50(3):81-4.
- 7. Nasu A, Otsubo Y. Rheology and UV protection properties of suspensions of fine titanium dioxides in a silicone oil. J

- Colloid Interface Sci. 2006;296(2):558-64.
- 8. Oberdoester G. Nanotoxicology: an emerging discipline evolving from studies of ultrafine particles (vol 113, pg 823, 2005). Environ Health Perspect. 2010;118(9):A380.
- 9. Nohynek GJ, Lademann J, Ribaud C, et al. Grey goo on the skin? Nanotechnology, cosmetic and sunscreen safety. Crit Rev Toxicol. 2007;37(3):251-77.
- 10. Gamer A, Leibold E, van Ravenzwaay B. The in vitro absorption of microfine ZnO and TiO2 through porcine skin. Toxicol In Vitro. 2006;20(3):301–307.