# Understanding the concept of natural and artificial sweeteners in food as food additives.

## Michelle Pearlman\*

Department of Medicine, University of Texas, Dallas, USA

#### Abstract

Sweeteners are substances used to sweeten food and drinks, replacing sugar or providing a sweet taste without the calories or negative health effects associated with sugar. There are two main types of sweeteners: natural and artificial. Natural sweeteners include honey, maple syrup, and stevia, which are derived from plants. Artificial sweeteners, also known as non-nutritive sweeteners, are chemically derived and include aspartame, saccharin, and sucralose. One of the most commonly used artificial sweeteners is aspartame, which is used in many sugar-free products such as diet sodas, sugar-free gums and candies. However, it has been linked to potential health risks, such as headaches, migraines, and allergic reactions in some individuals. Saccharin, another artificial sweetener, has a long history of use and has been deemed safe for consumption by regulatory agencies such as the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Keywords: Sweeteners, Obesity, Diabetes, Cancer.

## Introduction

Sucralose, commonly known as Splenda, is a popular artificial sweetener used in a variety of products, including baked goods, drinks, and other low-calorie or sugar-free foods. Like other artificial sweeteners, it is calorie-free and does not raise blood sugar levels. In recent years, stevia, a natural sweetener derived from the Stevia rebaudiana plant, has gained popularity. It is calorie-free, does not raise blood sugar levels, and has a much lower glycaemic index compared to sugar. In conclusion, while natural sweeteners have been traditionally preferred due to their perceived health benefits, artificial sweeteners continue to be widely used due to their calorie-free properties and convenience. However, as with any food or substance, moderation is key and it is important to consider individual health and tolerance when choosing a sweetener [1,2].

With the rise of health consciousness, people are increasingly looking for healthier alternatives to traditional sweeteners such as sugar and artificial sweeteners. Artificial sweeteners, although calorie-free, have been linked to a range of health problems, including obesity, diabetes, and cancer. This has led to a growing interest in natural sweeteners, which are made from natural sources and have a lower impact on health. Natural sweeteners are derived from plants, fruits, and other natural sources, and they provide a healthier alternative to sugar and artificial sweeteners. These sweeteners are not only sweeter than sugar but also provide additional health benefits. Some of the most popular natural sweeteners include honey, maple syrup, stevia, and monk fruit extract. Honey is a popular natural sweetener that has been used for centuries [3,4]. It is made by bees and is rich in antioxidants, minerals, and vitamins. It has a low glycemic index, which means it doesn't cause spikes in blood sugar levels. Honey also has antibacterial properties and can be used as a natural remedy for sore throat, digestive problems, and skin conditions. Maple syrup is another natural sweetener that is derived from the sap of maple trees. It is a popular alternative to sugar and is known for its rich, caramel-like flavor. Maple syrup is rich in antioxidants and has a lower glycemic index than sugar. It is also a good source of minerals such as calcium, magnesium, and potassium. Stevia is a natural sweetener derived from the Stevia rebaudiana plant. It is a calorie-free alternative to sugar and artificial sweeteners, and has become increasingly popular in recent years. Stevia is up to 300 times sweeter than sugar and has a lower glycemic index. It has been shown to have a range of health benefits, including reducing blood sugar levels and improving heart health [5].

## Conclusion

Monk fruit extract is a new natural sweetener that is derived from the monk fruit. It is a calorie-free alternative to sugar and has become popular due to its sweetness and lack of aftertaste. Monk fruit extract is up to 200 times sweeter than sugar and has a low glycemic index. It is also rich in antioxidants and has been shown to have anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer properties. In conclusion, natural sweeteners provide a healthier alternative to sugar and artificial sweeteners. They are derived from natural sources and provide additional health benefits, such as reducing blood sugar levels and improving heart health. They are also available in a range of flavors and

\*Correspondence to: Michelle Pearlman, Department of Medicine, University of Texas, Dallas, USA, E-mail: pearlmanmi@gmail.com *Received: 25-Jan-2023, Manuscript No. AAFTP-23-88806; Editor assigned: 26-Jan-2022, PreQC No. AAFTP-23-88806 (PQ); Reviewed: 09-Feb-2023, QC No. AAFTP-23-88806; Revised: 14-Feb-2023, Manuscript No. AAFTP-23-88806 (R); Published: 21-Feb-2023, DOI:10.35841/2591-796X-7.2.167* 

*Citation:* Pearlman M. Understanding the concept of natural and artificial sweeteners in food as food additives. J Food Technol Pres. 2023;7(2):167

are suitable for a variety of cooking and baking needs. Whether you're looking to reduce your sugar intake or simply want a sweeter alternative, natural sweeteners are a great choice.

#### References

- 1. Pearlman M, Obert J, Casey L. The association between artificial sweeteners and obesity. Curr Gastroenterol Rep. 2017;19:1-8.
- 2. Debras C, Chazelas E, Srour B, et al. Artificial sweeteners and cancer risk: Results from the NutriNet-Santé populationbased cohort study. PLoS medicine. 2022;19(3):e1003950.
- 3. Suez J, Korem T, Zilberman-Schapira G, et al. Non-caloric artificial sweeteners and the microbiome: Findings and challenges. Gut micro. 2015;6(2):149-55.
- 4. Mooradian AD, Smith M, Tokuda M. The role of artificial and natural sweeteners in reducing the consumption of table sugar: A narrative review. Clin Nutr ESPEN. 2017;18:1-8.
- 5. Suez J, Cohen Y, Valdés-Mas R, et al. Personalized microbiome-driven effects of non-nutritive sweeteners on human glucose tolerance. Cell. 2022;185(18):3307-28.