

# Training a new generation of manuscript reviewers.

---

*Jawahar Abraham .T\**

Department of Aquatic Animal Health, West Bengal University of Animal and Fishery Sciences, Budherhat Road, Chakgaria, Kolkata, India

## Abstract

Peer review is a fundamental component of the scientific process. A good reviewer recognizes and acknowledges the logical advances made in a submitted original copy (assuming any), distinguishes mistakes, holes, or misinterpretations in the composition, either in the examination itself or in its introduction, and imagines and explains an away from of steps (if conceivable) to update and improve the quality and extent of the research.

## Keywords:

Peer review, Editor, Reviewer.

***Accepted on November, 24 2020***

## About the study

In that capacity, by far most of distributed science, in Journal of fisheries research or some other journals, has profited by and been improved by (regularly) mysterious reviewers who fill in as the "watchmen" of published science. While singular researchers utilize a wide scope of styles in leading review audit, a good review contains a few normal components: (a) a comprehension of the destinations, strategies, and key consequences of the examination; (b) knowledge of the more extensive setting inside which the research fits; (c) distinguishing proof of both the qualities and short comings of the examination, as led in the investigations and as coordinated and introduced in the composition; and (d) very much expressed direction on the best way to improve the original copy, inside the limits of possibility and sensibility. At the point when progressed admirably, the survey is both useful to the editor entrusted with settling on a choice on distribution and productive to the authors in driving them to an improved research. Given the basic job that review process plays in propelling science, it is worried to take note of that the gratefulness and abilities needed for good peer review are not for the most part educated in our alumni offices. While understudies get huge involvement with creating autonomous, basic

intuition, and in partaking in logical distribution as a researcher, it is considerably less basic for them to get direction and experience filling in as reviewers who must pass judgment on the nature of their fellow researchers' examination.

As publishing output keeps on expanding and specialists are over-soaked with review demands, it is significant to get commitments from another pool of excited and able companion reviewers. We discovered this to be an important and compensating encounter and accept both the understudies and science all the more by and large will profit over the long haul. We firmly urge different instructors to consider working with journal editors on peer review, and welcome educators of oceanography and fisheries science to organize their own course in peer review. As usual, we thank all our reviewers for their commitments to our journal.

\*Correspondence to

Jawahar Abraham .T

Department of Aquatic Animal Health

West Bengal University of Animal and Fishery Sciences

India

Email: abrahamtj1@gmail.com