To determine the prevalence of dyslipidemia and lipoprotein abnormalities among siblings of young acute myocardial infarction patients.
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Abstract

Introduction: Despite all available theoretical assumptions, there is no study defining the prevalence of lipid and lipoprotein abnormalities among siblings of young acute myocardial infarction (MI) patients. This is the first such study.

Methods: This was a case-control study. A total 110 siblings of young acute MI patients (Group A) and 50 healthy young controls (Group B) were studied for a duration of 2 years. Clinical profiles included age, sex, smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, abdominal obesity and dyslipidemia for both cases and controls. The primary objective was to study the prevalence of dyslipidemia and lipoprotein abnormalities. The secondary objective was to study the prevalence of conventional risk factors among siblings of young (<45 years) acute MI patients.

Results: On analyzing lipid profiles, it was found that total cholesterol, triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein, ApoB100, ApoB100: ApoA-1 ratio, and lipoprotein (a) were significantly raised in Group A in comparison to Group B. On studying conventional risk factors, it was observed that history of smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and increased waist circumference were more prevalent in Group A in comparison to Group B.

Conclusion: Conventional atherosclerotic risk factors, lipid and lipoprotein abnormalities were significantly more prevalent in siblings of young acute MI patients in comparison to healthy controls and may be an answer to the possible cause of familial clustering in young MI emphasizing the importance of familial screening. Therefore, intensive efforts should be made to identify and alter modifiable risk factors in these cases.
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Introduction

Nowadays coronary heart disease (CHD) is the main cause of death all over the world due to sedentary lifestyle [1,2]. The age group above 45 years is the most widely affected by acute myocardial infarction (MI). However, nowadays due to stressful life attributable to psychological, social and financial constraints, persons below 45 years presenting with acute MI are no exception [3]. The main causes for increasing incidence of these events in the younger age group are physical inactivity, smoking, unhealthy diet, obesity, stressful life with overlapping appointments, and family history [4]. The cut-off age of 45 has been used in most studies to define young patients with MI and the same age criteria was used in this study [5].

Family and twin studies are consistent in showing the strong influence of genetic factors on premature coronary artery disease (CAD) [6,7]. Several prospective studies indicate that a family history of premature CHD is an independent risk factor even when other risk factors are taken into account [8-10]. The relative risk for CHD in first-degree relatives has been reported to be as high as 2–12 times that of the general population [11-13].

Familial clustering may be due to genetic resemblance between members of the family, common family environment, or a probable contribution of both factors. Members of a family will tend to share similar environmental factors such as occupational classification, diet, smoking, exercise habits, and psychosocial stress, which have been shown to be related to the incidence of ischemic heart disease. This will perhaps be true of siblings rather more than of parent and child [14].

A large US study of persons developing CHD before the age of 60 years showed that low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol concentration of >130 mg/dL was more than twice as common in asymptomatic siblings under the age of 60 years as in the population at large (38% vs. 16%) [15]. Analogous but much less pronounced differences were observed in the European Atherosclerosis Research Study (EARS) [16] which investigated young adults with a paternal history of MI before the age of 55 years. In this study the best lipoprotein discriminants were plasma ApoB100 and triglyceride concentrations, which were higher in those with a positive family history of premature CHD compared to age and sex matched controls.
Methods

Study design and patient population

This case-control study was conducted at the Department of Cardiology of two premier medical institutes. A total of 123 siblings of young (age<45 years) Indian patients with acute MI and 62 healthy young controls were screened for a duration of 2 years. Out of the 123 siblings, 110 (Group A) were selected based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria and out of 62 healthy controls, 50 (Group B) were selected based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. All patients provided written informed consent.

Study inclusion and exclusion criteria

Siblings of young (age<45 years) Indian patients with acute MI were included in the study. Patients with (a) acute inflammatory illness (within the last month); (b) valvular heart disease; (c) liver disease; (d) renal failure; (e) acute infectious diseases; (f) adherence to anti-hyperlipidemia drugs; and (g) unwillingness to comply with study protocol were excluded from the study.

Study definitions

Baseline clinical characteristics of siblings of young (age<45 years) acute MI patients were evaluated. Clinical profiles included age, sex, smoking history, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, abdominal obesity and dyslipidemia for both cases and controls. Smokers were defined as patients who either smoked a cigarette daily or with a history of smoking within 2 years before study inclusion. Non-smokers were defined as patients who had not smoked a cigarette in his/her life or had quit smoking at least 2 years before inclusion in the study [17]. Hypertension was diagnosed in those patients adhering to anti-hypertensive medication or with either systolic blood pressure of ≥ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure of ≥ 90 mmHg recorded at least twice during standard examination [18]. Diabetes mellitus was diagnosed in those patients with (a) fasting blood glucose of ≥ 126 mg/dL at least twice at admission; (b) 2-hour plasma glucose ≥ 200 mg/dL during an OGTT (75 g); (c) random plasma glucose ≥ 200 mg/dL with symptoms of hyperglycemia; (d) treatment with hypoglycemic agents or insulin; or (e) hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level of 6.5% (48 mmol/mol) or higher [19]. Central obesity was defined as per waist circumference measurements. Men and women with waist circumference measurements of>102 and>88 cm, respectively, were considered to have high waist circumference [20]. Dyslipidemia was diagnosed according to Adult Treatment Panel (ATP) III criteria. It was diagnosed if plasma lipid analysis showed one or more of the following: hypercholesterolemia (total cholesterol ≥ 200 mg/dL) and/or hypertriglyceridemia (triglycerides: ≥ 150 mg/dL), and low levels of high-density lipoprotein (HDL-C: ≤ 40 mg/dL in males and ≤ 50 mg/dL in females) [21]. LDL values were said to be increased if they were: (a) ≥ 100 mg/dL in presence of CAD or CAD risk equivalent; (b) ≥ 130 mg/dL in presence of ≥ 2 risk factors; or (c) ≥ 160 mg/dL in presence of 0–1 risk factors. Lipoprotein abnormalities were considered if ApoB100 was found to be>130 mg/dL, Apo A-1 was found to be<120 mg/dL in males and<140 mg/dL in females. The ApoB100: ApoA-1 ratio>0.6 and Lp(a)>14 mg/dL were considered to be significantly associated with increased risk of CHD. Criteria for acute, evolving, or recent MI was typical rise and/or fall of biochemical markers of myocardial necrosis with electrocardiographic changes indicative of ischemia (ST-segment elevation or depression) [22].

Procedures of measurement

Venous blood was collected from the antecubital vein of patients after overnight fasting. Individuals were seated for at least 5 minutes prior to phlebotomy to avoid hemoconcentration. 4 ml of blood was collected in a plain vial for routine biochemical investigations and extended lipid profile. Serum extracted after centrifugation was stored in aliquots at -20°C and not thawed till batch analysis for serum Apo A-1, ApoB and Lp(a) was completed. Analysis was done within 6 months as per stability suggested in the literature provided with the kit. Lipid profile, Apo A-1, ApoB, and Lp(a) testing and measurement was done by auto analyzer. Apo A-1 and ApoB measurement was done by Roche Tina-quaint Apolipoprotein A-1 reagent and Roche Tina-Quant Apolipoprotein B reagent using an immunoturbidimetric assay on Roche/Hitachi 917 analyzer.

Study objectives

The primary objective was to determine the prevalence of dyslipidemia and lipoprotein abnormalities among siblings of young (age<45 years) acute MI patients. The secondary objective was to determine the prevalence of conventional risk factors such as smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and abdominal obesity among siblings of young (age<45 years) acute MI patients.

Statistical analysis

Statistical quantitative data were analyzed using the unpaired t-test or Mann-Whitney U test for comparisons of data between the different patient groups. For qualitative variables chi-square test or Fischer’s exact test was applied. A p-value of<0.05 was considered statistically significant. For statistical analysis Graph pad Instat 3 software system was used.

Results

Conventional risk profile

There was no significant difference in age and gender between Group A and Group B. Mean age of study population in Group A and Group B was 38.20 ± 5.7 years and 35.76 ± 7.9 years, respectively (p=0.06). The total number of male patients in Group A was 77 (70%) and in Group B was 36 (71%) (p=0.87) while the number of female patients in group A was 33 (30%) and in Group B was 14 (29%) (p=0.86). Conventional risk factors such as smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and increased waist circumference were statistically more prevalent.
in group A in comparison to group B as demonstrated in Table 1.

**Table 1. Conventional risk factor distribution in both groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Group A (n=110)</th>
<th>Group B (n=50)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>80 (72%)</td>
<td>26 (52%)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>69 (62%)</td>
<td>15 (30%)</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>42 (38%)</td>
<td>6 (12%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased waist circumference</td>
<td>67 (60%)</td>
<td>16 (32%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are expressed as number (percentage)

**Lipid profile abnormalities**

On analyzing lipid profile among patients in both Groups A and B, it was found that mean total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL, and HDL of cases of Group A were significantly different from the controls of Group B. Mean total cholesterol among cases (Group A) was $210.09 \pm 38.11$ mg/dL, which was significantly greater than controls (Group B) which was $186.62 \pm 31.67$ mg/dL ($p=0.0001$). Similarly, mean triglycerides among cases (Group A) was $162.82 \pm 25.08$ mg/dL which was greater than controls (Group B) which was $145.36 \pm 27.83$ mg/dL ($p=0.0002$). On the same lines, mean LDL cholesterol among cases (Group A) was $140.31 \pm 39.27$ mg/dL in comparison to Group B which was $115.82 \pm 32.46$ mg/dL ($p=0.0002$). Protective lipid i.e. HDL profile was significantly higher in Group B (controls) in comparison to Group A (cases). Mean HDL cholesterol among Group B was $42.56 \pm 6.45$ mg/dL, while in Group A (cases) mean HDL was $37.90 \pm 8.16$ mg/dL ($p=0.0002$). The lipid profile distribution is detailed in Table 2.

**Table 2. Lipid profile distribution among groups A and B.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid profile (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Group A (n=110)</th>
<th>Group B (n=50)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>210.09 ± 0.09</td>
<td>186.62 ± 31.67</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride</td>
<td>162.82 ± 25.08</td>
<td>145.36 ± 27.83</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-density lipoprotein</td>
<td>140.31 ± 39.27</td>
<td>115.82 ± 32.46</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-density lipoprotein</td>
<td>37.90 ± 8.16</td>
<td>42.56 ± 6.45</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation

It was found that total cholesterol was raised in 54% of cases in comparison to 36% of controls, triglycerides was raised in 64% of cases in comparison to 32% of controls, LDL was on the high side in 54% of cases in comparison to 36% of controls and HDL values were lower than normal in 73% of cases in comparison to 44% of controls.

**Apolipoproteins**

Mean ApoB100 and Apo A-1 were significantly different among Group A and Group B. The ApoB100: Apo A-1 ratio was statistically higher in cases of Group A in comparison to healthy controls of Group B ($p=0.004$) as shown in Figure 1.

**Figure 1. Mean values of ApoB100: Apo A-1 ratio.**

ApoB100 and increased ApoB100: Apo A-1 ratio were associated with an increased risk of future cardiovascular events and were raised in 54% and 57% of the siblings in comparison to 32% and 39% in controls, respectively. Apo A-1 which was found to be protective for cardiovascular events was lower than normal in 52% of siblings in comparison to 32% in controls. Lp(a) was found to be significantly increased in Group A as compared to Group B ($p=0.01$). Lp(a) was found to above normal range in 60% of cases in comparison to 38% in controls. The apolipoprotein profile distribution among the two groups is given in Table 3.

**Table 3. Apolipoprotein profile distribution among groups A and B.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid profile (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Group A (n=110)</th>
<th>Group B (n=50)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ApoB100</td>
<td>152.17 ± 51.09</td>
<td>122.32 ± 18.79</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo A-1</td>
<td>134.92 ± 55.61</td>
<td>172.42 ± 49.84</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoB100: Apo A-1</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.93</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipoprotein (a)</td>
<td>27.36 ± 16.24</td>
<td>16.70 ± 5.93</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation

**Discussion**

In the present study, index patients up to 45 years of age were selected, according to criteria in literature, which considers a patient with acute MI young if he or she is less than 45 years old. To determine the actual prevalence of conventional risk factors, including lipid and lipoprotein abnormalities, 110 coronary disease-free siblings of 100 Indian patients who had documented acute MI before 45 years of age were studied. To compare the results with the general population, 50 healthy controls were selected. In this study, to minimize bias, both age and sex distribution was kept equal without statistically significant differences among cases and controls. Number of male patients were more in comparison to female patients in both the groups, approximately in 2:1 fashion.
Among risk factors, smoking continues to be a predominant risk factor among siblings in comparison to healthy controls. Majority of male siblings (brothers) were smokers in comparison to female siblings (sisters). Among other risk factors hypertension, diabetes mellitus and increased waist circumference were also significantly more prevalent in siblings than controls. Of the study population, smokers comprised 72% siblings, hypertensives comprised 42% siblings, diabetics comprised 30% siblings and 41% siblings had an increased waist circumference. These findings are consistent with the results of a study done by Becker et al. [23] to determine the actual prevalence of hyperlipidemia, hypertension and diabetes, and the awareness of these coronary risk factors in unaffected family members. 150 coronary disease-free siblings of 86 people who had documented coronary disease before 60 years of age were studied. With the use of nationally established recommendations for blood pressure and lipids, which are based on coronary disease risk curves, screening revealed that 48% of brothers and 41% of the sisters were hypertensive, 45% of brothers and 22% of the sisters had a lipid abnormality, 38% of siblings were current cigarette smokers and 4.7% were diabetic.

On analysis of lipid profile among siblings and controls it was found that dyslipidemia was significantly more common in siblings as compared to healthy controls. It was found that total cholesterol were raised in 54% of siblings in comparison to 36% in controls, triglycerides were raised in 64% of siblings in comparison to 32% in controls, LDL was on higher side in 54% of siblings in comparison to 36% in controls and HDL was lower than normal in 73% of siblings in comparison to 44% in controls. Triglyceride and HDL abnormalities were markedly different in siblings and controls. Mean total cholesterol, triglyceride, LDL and HDL were also significantly different between the siblings and controls. These findings were well matched with other studies which stated that family history of premature CAD in family and familial dyslipidemia are independent future predictors of cardiovascular disease. Surprisingly, the awareness of elevated lipid levels was low in siblings with 73% unawareness which emphasizes the importance of familial screening of cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors [24].

On further analysis of apolipoprotein and Lp(a) in siblings and controls it was found that values of ApoB100, APO-A1, ApoB100: Apo-A1 ratio and Lp(a) were significantly different in siblings in comparison to healthy controls. ApoB100 and increased ApoB100: Apo-A1 ratio which were associated with an increased risk of future cardiovascular events were raised in 54% and 57% of the patients in comparison to 32% and 39% in controls, respectively. Apo-A1 which was found protective for cardiovascular events was lower than normal in 52% of siblings in comparison to 32% in controls. These findings are consistent with AMORIS study [25] which stated that increased ratio of the ApoB100: Apo-A1 which were associated with an increased risk of future cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events.

Similarly, Lp(a) values were also significantly raised in siblings in comparison to controls. Lp(a) was found to be above normal range in 60% of siblings in comparison to 38% in controls. Mean values of these parameters were significantly different in siblings than controls. Surprisingly, there was no significant difference among male and female siblings in relation to these parameters signifying that both sexes are equally at risk for future cardiovascular events in case of history of acute MI in their siblings at a young age and both brothers and sisters need equal attention in relation to risk factor modification and change in lifestyle. These findings are in accordance with the study done by Aila et al [26], in who concluded that familial hyperlipidemia was twice and familial hypertension three times as common in case as in reference families; other risk factors were equally common in both. It is concluded that most of the familial aggregation of coronary heart disease is mediated by familial aggregations of hyperlipidemia and hypertension.

**Study limitations**

This study has a few limitations. The important ones which deserve mention are outlined. First is the study design. This was a case-control non-randomized study. Second is that incidence of risk factors for atherosclerosis were higher in siblings as compared to controls, which may adversely affect the lipid and lipoprotein abnormalities. So, a randomized study with matching atherosclerotic risk factor profile in siblings and control is needed to draw a definite conclusion. Last is the sample size. A larger number of cases is required to draw a definite conclusion on this point.

**Conclusion**

Lipid and lipoprotein abnormalities in the form of raising levels of total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, triglyceride, decreased levels of HDL cholesterol, increased Apo B: Apo- A1 ratio and Lp(a) were significantly prevalent in siblings of young acute MI in comparison to the general population. Similarly conventional atherosclerotic risk factors, smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and increased abdominal obesity were also found to be significantly more prevalent in siblings of young acute MI than the general population. These findings emphasized the importance of familial screening of CAD risk factors and may be an answer to the possible cause of familial clustering in young MI and an intensive efforts should be made to identify and alter modifiable risk factors in these cases.
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