

The psychology of prejudice and discrimination.

Fransco Bezzon*

Department of Social and Political Science, La Statale, University of Milan, Italy

Introduction

Prejudice and discrimination are complex phenomena deeply rooted in human psychology and societal structures. They reflect the ways in which individuals perceive and interact with others based on social categories such as race, gender, religion, sexual orientation, or socioeconomic status. Understanding the psychology behind prejudice and discrimination involves exploring the cognitive, affective, and behavioral processes that contribute to these attitudes and behaviors. One key aspect of prejudice is its cognitive basis. Human beings have a natural tendency to categorize the world around them as a way to make sense of complex information. This categorization often leads to the formation of stereotypes—generalized beliefs about certain groups of people. Stereotypes can be both explicit (conscious) and implicit (unconscious). Even individuals who consciously reject stereotypes may still hold implicit biases that influence their perceptions and behaviors.

Schemas, mental frameworks that organize information about social groups, play a crucial role in shaping prejudiced attitudes. When encountering someone from a different social group, individuals often rely on these schemas to make quick judgments and predictions. If the schema includes negative attributes associated with that group, it can lead to prejudiced thoughts and feelings. Emotions also play a significant role in prejudice. Fear, anxiety, and insecurity can heighten prejudiced attitudes by making individuals more prone to view outgroups as threats. Prejudice can also be fueled by feelings of superiority or entitlement—when individuals believe their own group is superior, they are more likely to devalue and discriminate against others perceived as different.

Additionally, group dynamics and social identity contribute to affective processes related to prejudice. People often derive a sense of belonging and self-esteem from their group memberships. In-group favoritism—the tendency to favor one's own group over others—and out-group derogation can arise as a way to protect and enhance one's social identity and self-worth. Prejudice can manifest in various forms of discriminatory behavior, ranging from subtle microaggressions to overt acts of violence. Discriminatory behaviors can be influenced by social norms and situational factors. For instance, individuals may be more likely to express prejudiced attitudes or engage in discriminatory behaviors if they believe others in their social environment hold similar views (normative influence).

Moreover, discriminatory behaviors can be motivated by the desire to maintain or gain social status within one's group (social identity theory). Acts of discrimination can reinforce ingroup solidarity and reaffirm social hierarchies, perpetuating cycles of prejudice and discrimination. The prevalence of prejudice and discrimination is also shaped by broader social and environmental factors. Historical legacies of systemic oppression, economic disparities, and political ideologies can contribute to the perpetuation of prejudiced attitudes and discriminatory practices within societies.

Socialization processes, including family upbringing, education, and media exposure, play a critical role in shaping individuals' attitudes toward different social groups. Exposure to diverse perspectives and positive intergroup contact can help reduce prejudice by challenging stereotypes and fostering empathy and understanding. Efforts to combat prejudice and discrimination require multi-faceted approaches that target cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions. Education and awareness-raising campaigns can help challenge stereotypes and promote inclusive attitudes. Intergroup contact under positive conditions—such as cooperative activities—can facilitate empathy and reduce prejudice by emphasizing shared goals and humanity.

Institutional interventions, including anti-discrimination policies and diversity initiatives, are essential for addressing systemic inequalities and promoting equal opportunities. Encouraging critical self-reflection and promoting cultural humility can also foster personal growth and awareness of one's own biases.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the psychology of prejudice and discrimination is complex and multifaceted, involving cognitive processes, affective reactions, behavioral manifestations, and broader social influences. By understanding these underlying mechanisms, individuals and societies can work towards fostering greater empathy, tolerance, and inclusivity, ultimately striving towards a more just and equitable world.

References

1. Diop S, Turmes L, Specht, C, et al. Capacities for meta-cognition, social cognition, and alexithymia in postpartum depression. *Psychiatry Res.* 2022;309:114430.
2. Jia X, Li W, Cao L. The role of metacognitive components in creative thinking. *Front Psychol.* 2019;10:2404.

*Correspondence to Fransco Bezzon, Department of Social and Political Science, La Statale, University of Milan, Italy. E-mail: fransco.bezn@unimi.it

Received: 29-Feb-2024, Manuscript No. AAJPC-24-137018; Editor assigned: 01-Mar-2024, PreQC No. AAJPC-24-137018 (PQ); Reviewed: 15-Mar-2024, QC No. AAJPC-24-137018; Revised: 19-Mar-2024, Manuscript No. AAJPC-24-137018; Published: 25-Mar-2024, DOI: 10.35841/aaips-9.2.224

3. Zhornitsky S, Tourjman V, Pelletier J, et al. Acute effects of ketamine and esketamine on cognition in healthy subjects: A meta-analysis. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2022;110575.
4. Diop S, Turmes L, Specht C, et al. Capacities for meta-cognition, social cognition, and alexithymia in postpartum depression. *Psychiatry Res*. 2022;309:114430.
5. Meng X, Fang S, Zhang S, et al. Multidomain lifestyle interventions for cognition and the risk of dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2022:104236.
6. Fetherman DL, McGrane TG, Cebrick-Grossman J. Health Promotion for Small Workplaces: A Community-Based Participatory Research Partnership. *Workplace Health Saf*. 2021;69(1):7-14.
7. Barmania S, Reiss MJ. Health promotion perspectives on the COVID-19 pandemic: The importance of religion. *Glob Health Promot*. 2021;28(1):15-22.
8. Lee M, Lee H, Kim Y, et al. Mobile app-based health promotion programs: A systematic review of the literature. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(12):2838.
9. Mwaura J, Carter V, Kubheka BZ. Social media health promotion in South Africa: Opportunities and challenges. *Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med*. 2020;12(1):1-7.
10. Stark AL, Geukes C, Dockweiler C. Digital Health Promotion and Prevention in Settings: Scoping Review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2022;24(1):e21063.