**Article type:** Commentary

**Home Page URL:** https://www.alliedacademies.org/neurology-and-neurorehabilitation-research/

# Robotics in neurorehabilitation: current status and future perspectives.

**Samuel Peterson***

Department of Neuroscience, Harvard Institute of Brain Research, United State.

***Correspondence to:** Samuel Peterson, Department of Neuroscience, Harvard Institute of Brain Research, United State, E-mail: s.peterson@hibr.edu

**Received:** 03-Apr-2025, Manuscript No. JNNR-25-169109; **Editor assigned:** 04-Apr-2025, PreQC No. JNNR-25-1691095(PQ); **Reviewed:** 18-Apr-2025, QC No JNNR-25-1691095; **Revised:** 21-Apr-2025, Manuscript No. JNNR-25-1691095(R); **Published:** 28-Apr-2025, DOI:10.35841/ aajnnr -10.2.252

## Introduction

Robotics has become an increasingly important tool in neurorehabilitation, offering innovative approaches to assist individuals recovering from neurological conditions such as stroke, spinal cord injury, and traumatic brain injury. These robotic systems are designed to deliver precise, repetitive, and intensive training, which is essential for promoting neuroplasticity and functional recovery. Unlike conventional therapy, robotics can provide consistent motion patterns, measure patient performance in real time, and adapt the difficulty level according to the user's progress. This adaptability allows for more personalized rehabilitation programs, which can address the unique needs of each patient. Robotic devices can be used for upper and lower limb rehabilitation, as well as for gait training, and are often integrated with virtual reality or gamified environments to enhance patient engagement and motivation [1].

Upper limb rehabilitation robots are particularly valuable for individuals who have lost strength, coordination, or fine motor control due to neurological injury. These devices often use exoskeletal or end-effector designs to guide the patient's arm through controlled movements, enabling repetitive practice of functional tasks such as reaching, grasping, and lifting. The repetitive nature of these exercises helps reinforce motor pathways and improve muscle activation patterns. Moreover, upper limb robots can be programmed to provide assistance only when needed, encouraging patients to actively participate and use their own strength whenever possible. Studies have shown that such robotic-assisted training can lead to significant improvements in arm function and daily living activities, especially when combined with conventional therapy methods [2].

Lower limb robotic systems play a critical role in gait rehabilitation, enabling patients with neurological impairments to regain walking ability and improve balance. Treadmill-based robotic devices, such as those with body-weight support systems, can assist individuals in practicing walking patterns in a safe and controlled manner. These systems can provide intensive gait training without overexerting the therapist, allowing for longer and more frequent sessions. Robotic exoskeletons for overground walking have also emerged as a promising technology, offering patients the opportunity to practice walking in real-world settings while still receiving mechanical assistance. These devices can be particularly beneficial for spinal cord injury patients, as they promote muscle activation, cardiovascular health, and psychological well-being in addition to functional mobility [3].

A significant advantage of robotics in neurorehabilitation is the ability to collect and analyze quantitative data on patient performance. Robotic systems can measure parameters such as movement range, speed, force output, and symmetry, providing valuable feedback to both therapists and patients. This objective data can help guide therapy adjustments, track progress over time, and support clinical decision-making. Furthermore, integrating robotics with virtual reality or interactive gaming platforms enhances patient motivation by making therapy sessions more engaging. These immersive environments encourage patients to complete more repetitions and maintain a higher level of effort, which is essential for driving neuroplastic changes and improving outcomes [4].

Despite their many advantages, robotic systems in neurorehabilitation face several challenges that need to be addressed to maximize their potential. The high cost of robotic devices and their maintenance can be a barrier to widespread adoption, particularly in low-resource healthcare settings. Additionally, while research supports the benefits of robotic-assisted therapy, it is not always clear how these interventions compare to or complement traditional hands-on therapy in the long term. More large-scale, randomized controlled trials are needed to determine optimal training protocols, the best ways to combine robotics with other rehabilitation modalities, and the patient populations that may benefit most. Accessibility and ease of use are also important considerations, as some devices require significant training for therapists and may be too complex for certain patients to use independently [5].

## Conclusion

Robotics in neurorehabilitation represents a rapidly advancing field with significant potential to transform how patients recover from neurological injuries. By delivering intensive, repetitive, and personalized training, robotic systems can enhance neuroplasticity, restore function, and improve quality of life for individuals with motor impairments. Continued innovation, combined with efforts to make these technologies more affordable and accessible, will be key to expanding their reach. As research continues to refine best practices for integrating robotics into rehabilitation programs, these devices are likely to become an integral part of comprehensive neurorehabilitation strategies in the future.

## References


1. Maffei ME. Fibromyalgia: recent advances in diagnosis, classification, pharmacotherapy and alternative remedies. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(21):7877.
2. Van den Bos MA, Geevasinga N, Higashihara M, et al. Pathophysiology and diagnosis of ALS: insights from advances in neurophysiological techniques. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(11):2818.
3. Selvarajah D, Kar D, Khunti K, et al. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy: advances in diagnosis and strategies for screening and early intervention. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019;7(12):938-48.
4. Zhang AS, Xu A, Ansari K, et al. Lumbar disc herniation: diagnosis and management. Am J Med. 2023.
5. Carmichael J, Fadavi H, Ishibashi F, et al. Advances in screening, early diagnosis and accurate staging of diabetic neuropathy. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2021;12:671257.



**Citation:** Peterson S. Robotics in neurorehabilitation: current status and future perspectives. J Neurol Neurorehab Res. 2025;10(2):252.