

## **Rational analysis of adverse drug reactions cases in Northland of China.**

Huili Wang\*, Yunxia Li, Jing Wu

Tangshan Maternity and Child Healthcare Hospital, 14, Jianshe Nan Road, Tangshan City, Hebei Province, PR China

### **Abstract**

**Objective:** To learn about ADRs of antibacterial drugs in our Hospital and to provide references for rational drug use.

**Methods:** Conduct retrospective studies, classification statistics, analysis and assessment on 469 ADR reports of antibacterial drugs collected between January 2015 and December 2016 in the hospital. Register ADR's names, levels, results, drugs' names, routes of administration, patients' ages, gender, and his/her original disease.

**Results:** 45 kinds of drugs were involved among the 469 ADR reports, with a larger proportion of drugs for children (29.54%); the major route of administration was intravenous (73.26%); lesion of skin and its appendages were main clinical manifestations (61.35%), which was 25.00% of the total number; Levofloxacin and Azithromycin have ADR incident rates.

**Conclusion:** Causes for ADRs of antibacterial drugs are complex, so medical workers should enhance the supervision over the antibacterial drugs, deepen their understanding of ADRs, and take necessary interventions to reduce or avoid the occurrence of ADRs as much as possible.

**Keywords:** Antibacterial drugs, Survey analysis, ADRs, Infectious disease.

*Accepted on June 6, 2017*

### **Introduction**

Infectious diseases are common clinically and antibacterial drugs play an important part in various infectious diseases since they had the most clinical consumption [1-3]. With increased types of antibacterial drugs of different specifications, the incidence rate of ADR has been increasing up to now [4,5]. This research analysed 469 ADRs reports of antibacterial drugs, serving as references for rational use of antibacterial drugs. Though we have found that many ADRs reports or research had reported their own numbers or characteristics, however, the number of some antibiotics was different and the interaction of the drug and the patient was varied greatly from others, thus we make a report of ADRs in our hospital by utilizing the ADRs data from the incidence department of our hospital.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### **General materials**

469 ADR reports of antibacterial drugs from those being reported to National Center for ADR Monitoring by our Hospital between January 2015 and December 2016, all of which met the diagnostic criteria for ADRs.

#### **Methods**

Retrospective analysis was conducted by gender, age, kinds of antibacterial drugs, routes of administration, ADR-involved

organs or systems, major clinical manifestations, prognosis and alike [6,7]. The classification criteria for antibacterial drugs consult 'Clinical Guide to Pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China (Chemical Drugs and Biological Products Volume)' as reference. Types of ADR-involved organs or systems are based on WHO Adverse Reaction Terminology. Relevance evaluation of ADR under the criteria which are set by CFDA National Center for ADR Monitoring, China.

#### **Statistical methods**

SPSS 20.0 was used to process the data, and verify the enumeration data with  $\chi^2$ . The difference showed statistical significance ( $P < 0.05$ ).

### **Results**

#### **General conditions**

Among the 469 ADR cases of antibacterial drugs, there were 231 males (49.3%) and 238 females (50.7%), female patients had higher incident rate of ADRs than male patients did, the difference had statistical significance ( $P < 0.05$ ); patients' ages ranged from four months old to 86 y old, and 153 patients were at least 60 y old (32.6%) and the number was more than that of other age groups, the difference had statistical significance ( $P < 0.05$ , Table 1).

### Routes of administration

Among the 469 ADR cases of antibacterial drugs, 312 cases adopted intravenous injection (66.5%), followed by oral dosing, local application, intramuscular injection, subcutaneous injection, and vaginal medication (Table 2).

**Table 1.** General characteristic of the enrolled patients.

Gender	Ages							
	<10	10~19 y	20~29	30~39	40~49	50~59	60~69	≥ 70
Male	51	12	36	17	32	15	37	31
Female	47	23	38	29	28	36	18	19
In total	98	35	74	46	60	51	55	50

**Table 2.** Adverse reactions report by the classification of delivery system.

Delivery system	Number of cases	Proportion (%)
Intravenous	312	66.5
Oral administration	68	14.5

**Table 3.** Adverse reactions report by the classification of drugs.

Classification of drugs	Class	Number cases	Proportion (%)	Mentioned drugs (cases)
Cephalosporins	8	152	32.4	Cefathiamidine (37), ceftazidime (31), cefpiramide (25), cefprozi (18), ceftazidime (15), cefminox (14), cefdinir (7), ceftizoxime (5)
Other beta lactams and beta lactamase inhibitors	3	131	27.9	cefoperazone/sulbactam (72), piperacillin sodium/tazobactam (37), aztreonam (22)
Fluoroquinolones	3	88	18.7	Levofloxacin (45), moxifloxacin (27), ciprofloxacin (16)
Nitro ketone	3	42	8.9	Metronidazole (23), tinidazol (11), ornidazole (8)
Macrocyclic lipid	2	26	5.5	Azithromycin (14), Roxithromycin (8) Clarithromycin (4)
Glycopeptides	2	16	3.4	Vancomycin (9), norvancomycin (7)
Penicillins	3	8	1.7	Penicillin (6), Ampicillin (2)
Others	3	6	1.3	Imipenem and cilastatin (3), methacycline (2), cilindamycin phosphate (1)
In total	27	469	100.0	

**Table 4.** Adverse reactions report by the classification of organs and systems.

Organs or systems	Number of cases	Proportion (%)	Clinical manifestations
Skin and accessories	162	27.4	Rash, urticaria, itching, redness, skin ulcers, flushing
Digestive system	135	22.8	Nausea, vomiting, abdominal distension, abdominal pain, diarrhea, constipation, loss of appetite, abnormal liver function
Central and peripheral nervous system	108	18.3	Dizziness, headache, insomnia, hallucinations, irritability, tinnitus, tremor, numbness, convulsions
circulatory system	72	12.2	Chest tightness, palpitation, phlebitis

Local application	32	6.8
Intramuscular route	28	6.0
Subcutaneous injection	18	3.8
Vaginal medication	11	2.4
In total	469	

### Types of antibacterial drugs

Among the 469 ADR cases, cephalosporins was the major part, with 152 cases in total (32.4%), followed by 25 kinds, including  $\beta$ -lactams,  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitors, fluoroquinolones, nitroimidazoles, macrolides, glycopeptides, and Penicillins (Table 3).

### Adverse reactions

For this group of patients, the ADR of antibacterial drugs were clinically manifested by lesion of skin and its appendages as the ADR-involved organs or systems, with 197 cases in total (31.6% Table 4).

Respiratory system	40	6.8	Cough, wheezing, wheezing, dyspnea, laryngeal edema
Blood and hematopoietic system	37	6.3	White blood cell count increased or decreased, neutrophil count decreased, platelet count decreased
Musculoskeletal system	18	3.0	Joint swelling, joint pain, low back pain
Systemic lesion	12	2.0	Chills, fever, aches and pains, hair loss
urinary system	7	1.2	Frequent urination, urgency, dysuria, edema, abnormal renal function
In total	591	100.0	

### Prognosis

Drug use was stopped after ADR of the 469 cases of antibacterial drugs occurred. 56 cases (11.9%) were cured within 24 h after supportive treatment; 378 cases (80.6%) were better within 24 h; 5 cases (1.1%) of delayed-type were worsened, and the recovery time was more than 72 h; no death or sequela.

### Discussion

It might explain the female's higher ADR rate of the antibacterial drugs than the male that the physiological characteristics made the difference [8,9]. Since females have larger fat distribution than males, the lipid-soluble drugs are easy to be kept in the body, the female has longer drug half-life than the male under the same drug dose, and the plasma-drug concentration increased [10,11]. And adverse reactions are easy to occur during special periods of the female (such as menstrual period, gestation period, and suckling period) [12]. Besides, as the age grows, old patients have decreased organ functions, lower liver blood flow, weaker activity of liver enzyme, and reduced tissue and organ functions, which influence the drug metabolism [13-15].

Intravenous injection had more ADRs than other routes of administration, which was in light of most of the results of literature reports [16]. With quick working mechanism, intravenous injection is often used to treat infectious diseases, which can explain why clinical intravenous injection is much more popular than other ways when it comes to antibacterial drug administration [17]. Cephalosporins caused the most adverse reactions, followed by  $\beta$ -lactams,  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitors, fluoroquinolones, nitroimidazoles, macrolides, glycopeptides, and penicillins and so on [18,19].

ADRs of the antibacterials mainly involve skin and its appendages, clinically manifested as maculopapule, urticaria, pruritus, inflammation, skin ulcer, and rubeosis [20,21]. As most antibacterials have antigenicity, they are prone to immunoreactions, while the skin and its appendage can be observed easily, and the manifestations can be clearly stated to the doctor.

### Conclusion

All in all, ADRs of antibacterial drugs are relevant with many factors. The application management on the antibacterials of

medical institutes should be strengthened, an efficient ADR monitoring system should be established, more attention should be paid to ADR monitoring, and the administration of clinical antibacterials should be standardized, then ADRs can be effectively controlled and also serve as references for rational clinical administration of drugs.

### References

1. Draganescu M, Iancu A V, Firescu D. Trends in antimicrobials consumption and antimicrobial resistance in an infectious diseases hospital from the South-Eastern region of Romania. *FARMACIA* 2016; 64: 770-774.
2. Fairbairn CE, Sayette MA, Aalen OO. Alcohol and emotional contagion: an examination of the spreading of smiles in male and female drinking groups. *Clin Psychol Sci* 2015; 3: 686.
3. Suzuki K, Kurono Y, Ikeda K. Nationwide surveillance of 6 otorhinolaryngological infectious diseases and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern in the isolated pathogens in Japan. *J Infect Chemother* 2015; 21: 483-491.
4. Morimoto T, Sakuma M, Matsui K, Kuramoto N, Toshiro J. Incidence of adverse drug events and medication errors in Japan: the JADE study. *J Gen Intern Med* 2011; 26: 148-153.
5. Atkinson SD. Increasing the detection of adverse drug events in pediatric patients. *Dissertat Theses Gradworks* 2010.
6. Zhang XJ. Adverse drug reactions in our hospital: analysis of 397 cases. *Valaon Anal Drug N Hoal Hna* 2009.
7. Xu L M, Xu L. Retrospective analysis on 196 cases of adverse drug reaction reports. *Eval Anal Drug-Use Hospital China* 2015.
8. Guo-Hui LI, Wen GS, Zhang MR. Study on the differences of eco-physiological characteristics of male and female *Rhus typhina*. *Forest Res* 2013.
9. Ma Q, Liu SF, Zhuang ZM. The co-existence of two growth hormone receptors and their differential expression profiles between female and male tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*). *Gene* 2012; 511: 341-352.
10. Galanis DJ, Mcgarvey ST, Sobal J. Relations of body fat and fat distribution to the serum lipid, apolipoprotein and insulin concentrations of Samoan men and women. *Int J Obes* 1995; 19: 731.

11. Hamon J. Study of the physiological age of female Anophelines in DDT-treated and untreated zones in the region of Bobo-Dioulasso, Upper Volta. Bull World Health Org 1963.
12. Ment LR, Hirtz D, Huppi PS. Imaging biomarkers of outcome in the developing preterm brain. Lancet Neurol 2009; 8: 1042-1055.
13. Selwyn AP, Shea MJ, Foale R. Regional myocardial and organ blood flow after myocardial infarction: application of the microsphere principle in man. Circulation 1986; 73: 433-443.
14. Wei X, Yan X, Zou D. Abnormal fecal microbiota community and functions in patients with hepatitis B liver cirrhosis as revealed by a metagenomic approach. BMC Gastroenterol 2013; 13: 1-8.
15. Calzia E, Ivanyi Z, Radermacher P. Determinants of blood flow and organ perfusion. Update Intens Care Emerg Med 2005; 42: 19-32.
16. Liu W, Wang Q. Analysis of 209 reports of adverse drug reactions in our hospital. Chinese J Pharmacoepidemiol 2010.
17. LI Y, Xu S, Lu J. Clinical efficacy of levofloxacin intravenous injection joint spermatic cord in the treatment of acute epididymitis. Chinese J Human Sex 2015.
18. Yin JMP, Wang S. Different dynamic patterns of  $\beta$ -lactams, quinolones, glycopeptides and macrolides on mouse gut microbial diversity. Plos One 2014; 10: 0126712.
19. Yamaguchi T, Hashikita G, Takahashi S. In vitro activity of  $\beta$ -lactams, macrolides, telithromycin, and fluoroquinolones against clinical isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: correlation between drug resistance and genetic characteristics. J Infect Chemother 2005; 11: 262-264.
20. Roumen-Klappe EM, Janssen MCH, Rossum JV. Inflammation in deep vein thrombosis and the development of post-thrombotic syndrome: a prospective study. J Thromb Haemostas 2009; 7: 582-587.
21. Hay RJ, Johns NE, Williams HC, Bolliger IW, Dellavalle RP. The global burden of skin disease in 2010: an analysis of the prevalence and impact of skin conditions. J Invest Dermatol 2014; 134: 1527-1534.

**\*Correspondence to**

Huili Wang  
Tangshan Maternity and Child Healthcare Hospital  
Hebei Province  
PR China