Pulmonary rehab: Essential for copd recovery.

Mohamed Al Farsi*

Department of Respiratory Medicine, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman

Introduction

This systematic review and meta-analysis highlights the positive impact of early pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) for patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AE-COPD) complicated by respiratory failure. PR significantly improves lung function, exercise capacity, and quality of life, reducing readmission rates and improving survival. The findings suggest that starting PR promptly after stabilization from respiratory failure is crucial for better outcomes[1].

This systematic review assesses the benefits of pulmonary rehabilitation for COPD patients experiencing acute respiratory failure. It concludes that PR is safe and effective in improving exercise capacity, dyspnea, and quality of life following recovery from acute respiratory failure, particularly when initiated early. The review emphasizes the importance of tailored programs to address the specific needs of this vulnerable patient group[2].

This meta-analysis explores the effectiveness of pulmonary rehabilitation in older COPD patients who required mechanical ventilation during exacerbations. It reveals that PR significantly improves exercise tolerance, respiratory muscle strength, and health-related quality of life. The study supports the implementation of PR for this specific demographic, highlighting its role in post-ventilation recovery and long-term functional improvement[3].

This systematic review and meta-analysis examines the effects of pulmonary rehabilitation on COPD patients after an acute exacerbation necessitating invasive mechanical ventilation. It concludes that PR significantly improves exercise capacity, respiratory muscle strength, and functional independence, ultimately enhancing patient recovery and reducing hospital readmissions. Early initiation of PR is associated with better clinical outcomes[4].

This systematic review and meta-analysis assesses the effectiveness of pulmonary rehabilitation for COPD patients discharged from the intensive care unit (ICU). It reveals that PR significantly improves exercise capacity, dyspnea, and health-related quality of life. The findings support the benefits of post-ICU PR, emphasizing its role in facilitating recovery and preventing further deterioration in this high-risk population[5].

This systematic review and meta-analysis investigates the safety and feasibility of early in-hospital pulmonary rehabilitation for critically ill COPD patients with acute respiratory failure. It concludes that early PR is safe and well-tolerated, demonstrating improvements in exercise capacity, muscle strength, and reducing the length of hospital stay. These findings support integrating early PR into the acute care management of these complex patients[6].

This systematic review and meta-analysis evaluates the impact of early pulmonary rehabilitation on elderly patients suffering from acute exacerbation of COPD combined with respiratory failure. The evidence suggests that early PR significantly improves exercise capacity, reduces dyspnea, enhances quality of life, and decreases rehospitalization rates. The study advocates for prompt PR intervention in this vulnerable elderly population to optimize recovery[7].

This randomized controlled trial investigates the efficacy of pulmonary rehabilitation in critically ill COPD patients with acute respiratory failure. The study demonstrates that PR significantly improves six-minute walk distance, quality of life, and reduces the incidence of re-intubation and mortality in the short term. The findings support the inclusion of PR as a critical component in the recovery process for these severely ill patients[8].

This systematic review and meta-analysis evaluates the effects of early pulmonary rehabilitation on clinical outcomes for COPD patients post-invasive mechanical ventilation. It shows that early PR is associated with improved exercise capacity, reduced length of hospital stay, and lower rates of re-intubation and readmission. The evidence strongly supports implementing PR promptly after liberation from mechanical ventilation to optimize recovery and prognosis[9].

This systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials focuses on early inpatient pulmonary rehabilitation for COPD patients experiencing acute respiratory failure. It concludes that early PR significantly improves lung function, exercise capacity, and quality of life, while also decreasing the duration of mechanical ventilation and hospital stay. The findings advocate for the routine integration of early PR into the management strategy for these patients[10].

***Correspondence to:** Mohamed Al Farsi, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman. E-mail: mohamed.alfarsi@muscat.edu.om

Received: 01-May-2025, Manuscript No. AAJPCR-25-209; **Editor assigned:** 05-May-2025, Pre QC No. AAJPCR-25-209 *(PQ)*; **Reviewed:** 23-May-2025, QC No. AAJPCR-25-209; **Revised:** 03-Jun-2025, Manuscript No. AAJPCR-25-209 *(R)*; **Published:** 12-Jun-2025, DOI: 10.35841/aajpcr-8.3.209

Citation: Farsi MA. Pulmonary rehab: Essential for copd recovery. J Pulmonol Clin Res. 2025;08(03):209.

Conclusion

Pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) stands as a highly effective and safe intervention for patients grappling with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), especially when complicated by acute exacerbations, respiratory failure, or the necessity for mechanical ventilation. A comprehensive body of evidence, including numerous systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and randomized controlled trials, robustly supports its widespread application. The benefits of PR are multifaceted, encompassing significant improvements in objective measures such as lung function, overall exercise capacity, and crucial respiratory muscle strength. Beyond these physiological enhancements, patients consistently report a substantial reduction in the debilitating symptom of dyspnea, alongside a marked and measurable enhancement in their health-related quality of life. These positive effects are consistently observed across diverse patient demographics, including vulnerable older patients and those navigating recovery from Intensive Care Unit stays or periods of invasive mechanical ventilation. A pivotal finding emerging from the collective research is PR's profound impact on critical clinical outcomes. Implementation of PR demonstrably leads to a significant reduction in hospital readmission rates, a decrease in the overall length of hospital stay, and lower incidences of re-intubation, particularly for those post-ventilation. Encouragingly, some investigations also point towards improved short-term survival rates for critically ill patients who undergo PR. The overwhelming evidence strongly advocates for the early initiation of PR, whether implemented promptly after stabilization from acute respiratory failure or integrated early into inpatient care, as this timing is consistently linked with superior patient recovery and an optimized overall prognosis. The collective findings strongly advocate for the routine and tailored integration of PR programs into the comprehensive management strategy for this vulnerable patient population. This holistic approach capitalizes on PR's proven safety, feasibility, and its substantial, quantifiable benefits in accelerating recovery and preventing subsequent deterioration, ultimately improving long-term patient outcomes.

References

1. Hai Y, Yan M, Tao P. Early pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and respiratory failure: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Palliat Med.* 2023;12:331-344.
2. Marc A S, Carolyn G, Sally J S. Pulmonary Rehabilitation in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in the Context of Acute Respiratory Failure: *A Systematic Review. Chest.* 2020;157:164-177.
3. Hui L, Tao S, Pan D. Effectiveness of Pulmonary Rehabilitation in Older Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbations Requiring Mechanical Ventilation: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Med* (Lausanne). 2022;9:949392.
4. Na L, Peixing L, Qian H. Effects of pulmonary rehabilitation for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease after acute exacerbation requiring invasive mechanical ventilation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pulm Med.* 2022;22:31.
5. Jiawen X, Yaohua C, Meng W. Effectiveness of pulmonary rehabilitation after discharge from intensive care unit in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pulm Med.* 2023;23:119.
6. Shanshan G, Wenbin L, Zongjie S. Early Pulmonary Rehabilitation in Patients With Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease With Acute Respiratory Failure: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Respir Care.* 2022;67:1042-1050.
7. Jia L, Yue G, Yuchun Z. Effect of early pulmonary rehabilitation on elderly patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease combined with respiratory failure: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine* (Baltimore). 2024;103:e36814.
8. Shanshan L, Qiang F, Dongmei W. Pulmonary Rehabilitation in Critically Ill COPD Patients With Acute Respiratory Failure: *A Randomized Controlled Trial. COPD.* 2021;18:223-229.
9. Wenjuan D, Siqi G, Peng L. Effects of early pulmonary rehabilitation on clinical outcomes in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease after invasive mechanical ventilation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pulm Med.* 2023;23:445.
10. Yan W, Duo S, Hui W. Early inpatient pulmonary rehabilitation for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and acute respiratory failure: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Nurs.* 2023;32:2580-2592.

Citation: *Farsi MA. Pulmonary rehab: Essential for copd recovery. J Pulmonol Clin Res. 2025;08(03):209.*