

# Outcome of trabeculectomy with intraoperative subtenon injection of mitomycin C.

Pir Salim Mahar\*, Asma Rahman

Department of Ophthalmology, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan

## Abstract

**Purpose:** To evaluate the efficacy of trabeculectomy with intraoperative subtenon injection of Mitomycin C (MMC) in terms of control of Intraocular pressure post-operatively.

**Study design:** Retrospective, observational.

**Methods:** A total of 49 patient's medical records that underwent trabeculectomy with intraoperative subtenon injection of MMC with diagnosis of Primary Open Angle Glaucoma (POAG) from January 2017 to December 2018 were evaluated to see the post-operative outcomes in terms of control of Intraocular Pressure (IOP) with 12 months follow-up. The medical records were retrieved using the hospital information system. Age, gender, pre-operative IOP, Best-Corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA), co-morbid, previous surgery, Central Corneal Thickness (CCT), fundus findings, number of glaucoma medications and postoperative complications were obtained by using a proforma.

**Results:** Total 72 eyes of 49 patient records were evaluated to see post-operative outcomes at three; six and twelve months follow up. The mean IOP preoperatively was  $24.68 \pm 13.66$  mm Hg with maximum anti-glaucoma treatment. After the surgery at 3 months follow-up, the mean IOP was  $13.69 \pm 6.68$  mmHg, which was slightly changed at six and twelve months as  $12.68 \pm 4.04$  and  $13.33 \pm 4.8$  mmHg respectively (p-value 0.001). Preoperatively mean CCT was  $529.81 \pm 28.75$  and at 12 months follow-up after surgery was  $530.45 \pm 29.43$  with p-value 0.245. BCVA outcomes were seen at each follow-up and results were found to be statistically significant (p-value  $\leq 0.05$ ).

**Conclusion:** Twelve months follow-up of trabeculectomy show that intraoperative subtenon injection of MMC is effective in terms of control of IOP postoperatively with minimal complications in patients with POAG.

**Keywords:** Mitomycin C, Trabeculectomy, Intraocular pressure, Glaucoma.

Accepted February 09, 2021

## Introduction

Glaucoma is the second leading cause of blindness worldwide effecting as many as 6 million individuals [1]. Almost 60% of the world total glaucoma cases are from Asia [2]. According to one estimate there are more than two million people suffering from this disease in Pakistan [3]. Long-term control of Intraocular Pressure (IOP) is the mainstay of glaucoma treatment and trabeculectomy still remains the surgical procedure of choice to reduce IOP [4]. A steady rate of failure has been reported after this surgical modality because of scarring external to the scleral flap [5]. The antifibrotic agent such as Mitomycin C (MMC) has therefore been used to enhance its success rate [6], MMC is an antineoplastic/antibiotic agent isolated from soil bacterium *Streptomyces caespitosus* [7]. It inhibits the fibroblast proliferation by acting as a deoxyribonucleic acid cross-linker. It is used universally in medicine as a chemotherapeutic agent to treat a variety of cancers. Its use and implementation in ophthalmology is common practice because of its modulatory effects on wound healing [8].

Chen and coworkers [9] were the first one to use MMC topically in a group of patients with refractory glaucoma. Since then it has been regularly used during trabeculectomy. MMC inhibits the postoperative episcleral fibrosis, thus enhancing the successful outcome regarding the control of IOP [10-12]

Traditionally sponges soaked in MMC are applied over the sclerotomy site before or after the scleral flap formation. However, there is no uniformity among the surgeons on this approach in several ways. The concentration of MMC which is being used ranges from 0.1 mg/ml to 0.5 mg/ml among different patients. The exposure time of MMC is also variable from 1 minute to 5 minutes duration. There are different types of material used for sponges ranging from weck-cell to filter paper and cotton sticks with each material having different absorption property. The size of sponge used is also not uniform. The efficacy of MMC can also be affected if accidentally exposed to light in the operating room [13]. Moreover the amount of irrigating the site of MMC application with Balanced Salt Solution (BSS) is also variable [14]. All these factors contribute to surgeon's inability to determine the exact amount of drug

delivered to the tissue. Mehel and colleagues estimated that actual dose delivered in sponge soaked with MMC 0.2 mg/ml varied between 1.9 to 17.3 mg [15].

Lee and colleagues rather than using sponge on scleral surface, injected MMC into subtenon tissue at the beginning of the trabeculectomy [16]. Further investigations have shown that this technique of delivering uniform dose of MMC results in good outcome in controlling the IOP with minimal adverse effects [17,18].

With this background we conducted this study to evaluate the outcomes of trabeculectomy procedure after the use of intraoperative subtenon injection of MMC in cohort of patients with diagnosis of Primary Open Angle Glaucoma (POAG). The primary end point was control of IOP at one year postoperatively and secondary outcomes were associated complications/adverse effects with this technique and change in Central Corneal Thickness (CCT) and in Best Corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA).

### Materials and Methods

This retrospective study was carried out in section of ophthalmology, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi. The permission of study was granted by hospital Ethical Research Committee and was carried out in accordance with declaration of Helsinki.

The charts of all consecutive patients with diagnosis of POAG, who underwent trabeculectomy with intraoperative subtenon injection of MMC from January 2017 to December 2018, were included. The patients with corneal, retinal pathologies and diagnosis of other than POAG were excluded. The calculated sample size was 49 patients (72 eyes) using WHO software, taking 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error. The medical records were retrieved using hospital's information system. Patient's age, gender, Best Corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA) associated comorbid, previous surgery, preoperative applanation IOP, pachymetry, gonioscopy, fundus findings, number of glaucoma medications and postoperative complications were obtained by using a proforma. Patient follow up was assessed at 3, 6 and 12 months postoperatively.

All surgeries were performed by the same surgeon (PSM) using peribulbar 2% xylocaine local anesthesia. Surface anesthesia was achieved with topical proparacaine (Alcaine – Alcon, Belgium). A 6-0 vicryl traction suture was inserted into clear cornea superiorly. A small snip incision was given in

limbal conjunctiva at 11'O' clock position with introduction of blunt 30 guage canula mounted on tuberculin syringe. MMC (Mitomycin-C, Kyowa-Japan) was injected in dose of 0.1 ml (0.2 mg/ml) given in subtenon space 10 mm away from the limbus. A cotton tip applicator was applied over the conjunctival opening to stop any reflux of the drug. With weck-cell sponge, MMC was distributed over the large surface of conjunctiva superiorly.

Peritomy was carried out immediately from 11 'O' clock to 1 'O' clock position with undermining of conjunctiva. The exposed scleral surface was irrigated with 10 ml of BSS. After light cauterization of any bleeding point, a triangular scleral flap measuring 4 × 4 mm was fashioned. Before creating 1 × 1 mm deeper corneo-sclerotomy with Kelly's punch, anterior chamber was filled and maintained with 1% sodium hyaluronate (Provisc – Alcon, Belgium). After peripheral iridectomy, scleral flap was closed with 10-0 nylon suture one at apex and one on either side. The patency of flap is checked with BSS pushed through the paracentesis site. Conjunctiva was closed on either side with 10-0 nylon suture and one mattress suture was applied in the central area holding conjunctiva to cornea avoiding any leakage and to prevent conjunctival retraction postoperatively.

The postoperative care consisted of Moxifloxacin 0.3% (Vigamox – Alcon, Pakistan) every hourly for first 24 hours, 2 hourly for next 3 days followed by 4 times a day for 3 weeks. We used Dexamethasone drops 0.1% (Maxidex – Alcon, Pakistan) every hourly for 24 hours, 2 hourly for 4 weeks followed by 4 times a day for another 6 weeks. All conjunctival nylon sutures were removed at 3 weeks postoperatively.

### Statistical analysis

Data was entered and analyzed by using SPSS V.19.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). Categorical data were reported as frequencies and percentages and quantitative data as means and standard deviation. Paired sample t-test was applied to compute proportions for continuous variable like IOP and Pachymetry whereas Chi-square test was applied to compute proportions for categorical variables like BCVA. p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

### Results

A total 72 eyes (49 patients) went under trabeculectomy with subtenon injection of MMC. Out of 49 patients, 30 (61.2%) were male and 19 (38.8%) were female. The mean age was 52 ±

Table 1. Basic characteristics of patients.

Age distribution			Gender distribution			Eye status		
Age groups	Frequency	Percent	Gender	Frequency	Percent	Eye	Frequency	Percent
20-30 yrs	1	2	Male	30	61.2	RE	37	51.4
>30-40	3	6.1						
>40-55	16	32.7	Female	19	38.8	LE	35	48.6
>55-65 yrs	20	40.8						
>65 yrs	9	18.4	Total	49	100	Total	72	100
Total	49	100						
Diabetes			Hypertension					
Status	Frequency	Percent	Status	Frequency	Percent			
Yes	31	63.3	Yes	22	44.9			
No	18	36.7	No	27	55.1			
Total	49	100	Total	49	100			

15 years. In 72 eyes, 37(51.4%) were right and 35(48.6%) were left eyes. Basic characteristic of all patients are given in Table 1.

The mean intraocular pressure preoperatively was 24.68 ± 13.66 mm Hg with maximum anti-glaucoma treatment. After the surgery at 3 months follow-up, the mean IOP was 13.69 ± 6.68 mmHg, which was slightly changed at six and twelve months as 12.68 ± 4.04 mmHg and 13.33 ± 4.8 mmHg respectively having a p-value 0.001 (Table 2).

One eye postoperatively had a conjunctival leak with positive seidle sign and 3 eyes had shallow anterior chamber during 1<sup>st</sup> week of surgery which were all settled with appropriate medical treatment. No other unwanted side effects were seen throughout the entire 12 months follow-up.

Preoperatively mean CCT was 529.81 ± 28.75 and at 12 months follow-up after surgery was 530.45 ± 29.43 having no significant difference (p-value 0.245) (Table 3).

BCVA outcomes were seen at each follow-up. There was a positive change noticed at third month follow-up as most of the patients moved towards good vision with a significant difference (p-value 0.001). Similarly, improvement in visual acuity was also seen at sixth and 12 months follow-up as the frequency of patients with good vision increased and results were found to be statistically significant with a p-value 0.045 and 0.032 respectively (Table 4).

**Discussion**

MMC augmented trabeculectomy is the common filtration procedure routinely performed in patients with uncontrolled IOP after maximum medical treatment or when routine use

of anti-glaucoma drops cannot be guaranteed due to financial or compliance issues. Weck-cell sponges soaked in MMC applied over the scleral surface has been the standard practice with majority of the glaucoma surgeons. Due to the variation in sponge size, material and MMC concentration and exposure time, subtenon injection of MMC offers a technique where surgeons ensure exact amount of antimetabolite distributed over wide sub-conjunctival area.

Lee et al. injected MMC into subtenon tissue at the beginning of the procedure. They injected 0.15 ml of MMC in variable dose of 0.2 mg/ml to 0.5 mg/ml depending on the risk of bleb failure determined preoperatively, over superior part of the conjunctiva using 23-gauge needle [16]. After 5 minutes, the perilimbal conjunctiva was opened and saline was injected to milk out the residual amount of MMC. This was followed with conventional fornix based trabeculectomy. Their 12 months outcome data showed reduction of IOP from preoperative value of 23.6 ± 5.8 mm Hg to 12.2 ± 3.9 mm Hg in 70% of their patients. The range of complications in their cohort of 108 patients included hypotony (n=23, 21%), hyphemia (n=16, 15%) and choroidal detachment (n=17, 16%) which were all managed conservatively. Pakarvan and colleagues [19] in comparative analysis used subtenon injection of MMC in dose of 0.1 ml in 40 patients while another group of 40 patients received MMC soaked sponges (0.2 mg/ml) for 1 to 3 minutes. The injection group received subtenon injection of MMC into superior conjunctiva diffused with blunt canula followed by peritomy 1 minute later. Both groups had successful reduction of IOP in 82.5% of patients. They found the drainage bleb more diffuse, less vascularized and shallow in injection group. Both groups did not show any significant difference with regard to adverse effects. Khouri and colleagues [20] in retrospective analysis of 2 groups of patients with POAG receiving either injection or MMC soaked sponges concluded that the injection group had overall low postoperative IOP and comparative treatment success defined as achieving >30% IOP reduction without glaucoma medication.

The advantage of intraoperative subtenon injection of MMC includes a standard dosage of drug delivered to the surgical site. The wider area of application results in diffuse bleb formation, as the localized drainage bleb created by sponge application tends to be functionally limited by encapsulation described by Khaw [21] as a ring of steel. Another advantage of this technique is easy dissection of conjunctiva and tenon capsule reducing any damage or button hole of conjunctiva. By injecting the MMC, one also eliminates the risk of intraoperative sponge

**Table 2.** Intraocular Pressure (IOP) status before and after surgery.

Descriptive	No. of Eyes	Mean	Std. deviation	p-value
Baseline IOP (mm Hg)	72	24.68	13.66	
Three months IOP (mm Hg)	72	13.69	6.86	0.001
Six months IOP (mm Hg)	72	12.68	4.04	0.001
Twelve months IOP (mm Hg)	72	13.33	4.8	0.001

**Table 3.** Central Corneal Thickness (CCT) status.

Descriptive	No. of eyes	Mean	Std. deviation	p-value
Baseline CCT (micron)	72	529.81	28.75	
CCT at 12 months (micron)	72	530.45	29.43	0.245

**Table 4.** Best corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA) from baseline to 12 months' follow-up.

Baseline BCVA			BCVA at three months			p-value	BCVA at six months			p-value	BCVA at twelve months			p-value
BCVA	Count	Percent	BCVA	Count	Percent		BCVA	Count	Percent		BCVA	Count	Percent	
20/20	1	1.39	20/20	6	8.33	0.001	20/20	9	12.5	0.045	20/20	19	26.39	0.03
20/25	2	2.78	20/25	9	12.5		20/25	15	20.83		20/25	24	33.33	
20/30	4	5.56	20/30	14	19.44		20/30	20	27.78		20/30	16	22.22	
20/50	4	5.56	20/50	11	15.28		20/50	9	12.5		20/50	4	5.56	
20/60	2	2.78	20/60	4	5.56		20/60	3	4.17		20/60	2	2.78	
20/100	15	20.83	20/100	7	9.72		20/100	4	5.56		20/100	2	2.78	
20/200	23	31.94	20/200	12	16.67		20/200	7	9.72		20/200	3	4.17	
CF	4	5.56	CF	3	4.17		CF	2	2.78		CF	1	1.39	
NFI	17	23.61	NFI	6	8.33		NFI	3	4.17		NFI	1	1.39	
Total	72	100	Total	72	100		Total	72	100		Total	72	100	

loss [22]. One of the concerns raised by Grover et al. [23] for injection technique was that by using the fine needle to inject MMC superiorly, one can pierce the sclera or this may cause conjunctival leak postoperatively. In our surgical method as we enter with blunt canula at limbus, these complications were bypassed.

The limitation of our study is that it is single centered and retrospective in nature with small sample size and without any control group.

Currently a pilot study is undergoing by Caprioli and colleagues [24] at university of California Los Angeles. This compares preoperative injection of MMC at bleb site 3-4 weeks prior to trabeculectomy vs. intraoperative injection of MMC vs. topical application of MMC as conventionally used. We await results of this study with great interest.

## Conclusion

The technique of application of subtenon injection of Mitomycin C is quick and easy to perform during trabeculectomy. The 12-months follow-up showed this technique to be effective in terms of control of IOP in patients with POAG. However, further prospective randomized studies on large population and long term follow-up are needed to clarify the efficacy of this technique.

## Acknowledgement

We are thankful to Tauseef Mehmood and Muhammad Faisal for Statistical Analysis and Azfar Ali for typing the manuscript.

## Financial Interest

None financial disclosures.

## Conflict of Interest

Conflicts of interest none with any of the authors.

## References

1. Korhonen J, Honkasalo A, Seppala J. Circular economy: The concept and its limitations. *Ecological Economics*. 2018;143:37-46.
2. European Commission. European Commission-Fact Sheet. Circular economy package: Questions and Answers. Brussels. 2015.
3. European Commission. Report from the commission to the European parliament, the council, the European economic and social committee and the committee of the regions on the implementation of the circular economy action plan. 2019.
4. European Union. Directive (EU) 2018/849 of the European parliament and of the council of 30 May 2018 amending Directives 2000/53/EC on end-of-life vehicles, 2006/66/EC on batteries and accumulators and waste batteries and accumulators, and 2012/19/EU on waste electrical and electronic equipment. 2018 a.
5. European Union. Council directive 1999/31/EC of 26 April 1999 on the landfill of waste, amended by Directive (EU) 2018/850 of the European parliament and of the council of 30 May 2018. 2018 b.
6. European Union. Directive 2008/98/EC of the European parliament and of the council of 19 November 2008 on waste and repealing certain Directives, amended by Directive (EU) 2018/851 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018. 2018c.
7. European Union. European parliament and council directive 94/62/EC of 20 December 1994 on packaging and packaging waste, amended by Directive (EU) 2018/852 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018. 2018d.
8. Eurostat. Municipal waste by waste management operations [env\_wasmun]. Retrieved June 10, 2021 from [http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=env\\_wasmun&lang=en](http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=env_wasmun&lang=en). 2019.
9. European Union. Commission Decision establishing rules and calculation methods for verifying compliance with the targets set in Article 11(2) of Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. 2011.
10. Greenfield D. Report for the Chartered Institution of Wastes Management (CIWM) EU recycling rate harmonisation project national definitions and accounting methods. Social, Environmental and Economic Solutions (SOENECS) Ltd. 2015.
11. European Union. Regulation (EC) No 2150/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2002 on waste statistics. 2002.
12. European Commission. Preparing a waste management plan-a methodological guidance note. European Commission-DG Environment. 2012.
13. Hogg D, Elliott T, Cordle M, et al. Study on waste statistics-A comprehensive review of gaps and weaknesses and key priority areas for improvement in the EU waste statistics. *Eunomia*. 2017.
14. Obermeier T, Lehmann S. Recycling quoten in Deutschland. Presentation at the 30. Kasseler Abfall- und Res source forum. 2018.
15. Kolekar KA, Hazra T, Chakrabarty SN. A review on prediction of municipal solid waste generation models. *Procedia Env Sci*. 2016;35:238-44.
16. Hill AL, Dall OL, Andersen FM. Modelling recycling targets: Achieving a 50% recycling rate for household waste in Denmark. *J Env Prot*. 2014;5:627-36.
17. Sahimaa O. Recycling potential of municipal solid waste in Finland. Doctoral Dissertations 184/2017 Aalto University. 2017.
18. Loukil F, Rouached L. Modelling packaging waste policy instruments and recycling in the MENA region. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. 2012;69:141-52.
19. Oliveira V, Sousa V, Dias-Ferreira C. Artificial neural network modelling of the amount of separately-collected household packaging waste. *J Clea Prod*. 2019;210:401-9.
20. Eurostat. Packaging waste by waste management operations and waste flow [env\_waspac] 2019b. [[http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=env\\_waspac&lang=en](http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=env_waspac&lang=en). 2019b].
21. Pomberger R, Sarc R, Lorber KE. Dynamic visualisation of municipal waste management performance in the EU using Ternary Diagram method. *Waste Manage*. 2017;61:558-571.

## \*Correspondence to:

Dr. Pir Salim Mahar  
Department of Ophthalmology  
Aga Khan University Hospital  
Karachi  
Pakistan  
E-mail: [salim.mahar@aku.edu](mailto:salim.mahar@aku.edu)