

Optimizing Ventilator Strategies in ARDS Patients: A Prospective Review of Outcomes in Critical Care Units

Jason R. Matthews*

Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Global Institute of Medical Sciences, University of New Haven Health, United Kingdom

Correspondence to: Jason R. Matthews, Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Global Institute of Medical Sciences, University of New Haven Health, United Kingdom. Email: jrmattews.ccmcd@unhhealth.edu

Received: 27-May-2025, Manuscript No. AATCC-25-168420; **Editor assigned:** 01-Jun-2025, PreQC No. AATCC-25-168420 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 15-Jun-2025, QC No. AATCC-25-168420; **Revised:** 22-Jun-2025, Manuscript No. AATCC-25-168420 (R); **Published:** 29-Jun-2025, DOI:10.35841/AATCC-9.1.178

Introduction

Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) continues to be a primary challenge in intensive care units worldwide. This review explores ventilator management strategies aimed at improving patient outcomes. We assess recent evidence on lung-protective ventilation, prone positioning, and neuromuscular blockade, providing a prospective framework for clinical application [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

Critical care medicine encompasses the management of life-threatening conditions, with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) being one of the most severe and complex conditions encountered. ARDS is characterized by sudden-onset pulmonary edema, hypoxemia, and reduced lung compliance, often requiring mechanical ventilation for survival. Over the past two decades, considerable progress has been made in optimizing ventilator settings to minimize ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI) while maintaining adequate gas exchange. Lung-protective ventilation strategies, particularly low tidal volume ventilation and limiting plateau pressures, have shown clear mortality benefits. However, variability in implementation and evolving clinical evidence necessitate continuous reevaluation of practice standards.

This manuscript provides an overview of the latest ventilatory approaches, evaluates patient-centered outcomes, and discusses the integration of adjunct therapies, such as prone positioning and the use of neuromuscular blockers in severe ARDS. It aims to equip intensive care professionals with updated

knowledge for evidence-based clinical decision-making.

Conclusion

The management of ARDS in critical care settings requires a careful balance between life-sustaining support and minimization of iatrogenic harm. Evidence supports the consistent use of lung-protective ventilation, early application of prone positioning, and consideration of neuromuscular blockade in select cases. Adherence to evolving guidelines and individualized care protocols can significantly improve outcomes for ARDS patients. Continued research, education, and protocol refinement are essential to advancing care quality in the intensive care environment.

References

1. Adams, R. T., & Chen, P. K. (2024). Early fluid resuscitation strategies in polytrauma patients. *Journal of Trauma and Critical Care*, 16(1), 12–20.
2. Al-Khalid, S., Gupta, V., & Morrison, J. L. (2023). The role of thromboelastography in predicting outcomes in massive transfusion protocols. *Journal of Trauma and Critical Care*, 15(4), 201–210.
3. Anderson, M. L., Brown, K. J., & Singh, D. (2022). Prehospital airway management: Comparing video laryngoscopy and direct laryngoscopy. *Journal of Trauma and Critical Care*, 14(2), 55–63.

4. Awan, R., & Mehta, S. (2024). Resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta: A systematic review. *Journal of Trauma and Critical Care*, 16(2), 87–98.
5. Baek, Y. H., & Patel, A. (2023). Traumatic brain injury in elderly patients: Outcomes and prognostic factors. *Journal of Trauma and Critical Care*, 15(1), 1–9.