

# Navigating the cost of immunotherapeutics in modern healthcare.

Abhenava Acharya\*

Department of tumor immunology, University of Zurich, United Kingdom

**Correspondence to:** Abhenava Acharya, Department of tumor immunology, University of Zurich, United Kingdom, E-mail: [abheacharya89@asu.edu](mailto:abheacharya89@asu.edu)

**Received:** 02-Aug-2025, Manuscript No. AAICR-25-171210; **Editor assigned:** 03-Aug-2025, Pre QC No. AAICR-25-171210(PQ); **Reviewed:** 18-Aug-2025, QC No. AAICR-25-171210; **Revised:** 24-Aug-2025, Manuscript No. AAICR-25-171210(R); **Published:** 30-Aug-2025, DOI: 10.35841/aaicr-8.3.210

## Introduction

Immunotherapeutics—treatments that harness or modulate the immune system to combat disease—have revolutionized modern medicine. From checkpoint inhibitors in cancer to monoclonal antibodies for autoimmune disorders, these therapies offer unprecedented efficacy and hope for patients with previously untreatable conditions. Yet, their promise comes at a steep price. As healthcare systems grapple with rising costs, the affordability and accessibility of immunotherapeutics have become central concerns for clinicians, policymakers, and patients alike. Over the past two decades, immunotherapy has emerged as a cornerstone of treatment for a wide range of diseases. In oncology, drugs like pembrolizumab and nivolumab have transformed survival outcomes for patients with melanoma, lung cancer, and other malignancies. In autoimmune diseases, biologics such as adalimumab and infliximab have dramatically improved quality of life for individuals with rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn's disease, and psoriasis [1].

However, adoption of biosimilars faces hurdles, including physician and patient skepticism, regulatory complexity, and limited interchangeability. Education and policy incentives are essential to promote biosimilar uptake and realize their cost-saving potential. The high cost of immunotherapeutics exacerbates health disparities, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Limited infrastructure, regulatory barriers, and budget constraints often prevent access to life-saving treatments. Global initiatives such as the WHO's prequalification program and partnerships with pharmaceutical companies aim to improve access to immunotherapies in LMICs. Still, more work is needed to ensure equitable distribution and affordability worldwide. These

therapies work by targeting specific immune pathways—either enhancing immune responses against pathogens and tumors or suppressing overactive immunity in chronic inflammatory conditions. Their precision and effectiveness have made them indispensable in clinical practice. Despite their clinical success, immunotherapeutics are among the most expensive drugs on the market. The annual cost of treatment with monoclonal antibodies or checkpoint inhibitors can exceed \$100,000 per patient. For example, a single dose of nivolumab may cost upwards of \$3,000, and patients often require multiple doses over months or years [2].

This financial burden affects not only individual patients but also healthcare systems. In countries with public health insurance, the rising cost of immunotherapy strains budgets and forces difficult decisions about coverage and reimbursement. In private systems, high out-of-pocket costs can lead to treatment delays, non-adherence, or financial toxicity. Biologics are produced using living cells in highly controlled environments, making production expensive and time-consuming. Clinical trials for immunotherapies are extensive and costly, often involving biomarker validation and long-term follow-up [3].

Many immunotherapeutics are protected by patents, limiting competition and enabling manufacturers to set high prices. For some conditions, immunotherapy may be the only effective option, reducing price pressure from competing treatments. These factors create a challenging landscape for cost containment. To justify the expense of immunotherapeutics, health economists use cost-effectiveness analyses (CEAs) and value-based frameworks. These tools assess the clinical benefit of a therapy relative to its cost, often expressed as cost per quality-adjusted life year (QALY) gained [4].

For instance, studies have shown that checkpoint inhibitors may be cost-effective in certain cancers when they significantly extend survival. However, in other cases—especially when benefits are modest or uncertain—immunotherapies may exceed accepted cost-effectiveness thresholds. Organizations like the Institute for Clinical and Economic Review (ICER) in the U.S. and the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) in the U.K. play key roles in evaluating the value of immunotherapeutics and guiding reimbursement decisions. One promising strategy to reduce costs is the development of biosimilars—biologic products that are highly similar to approved reference drugs. Biosimilars for immunotherapeutics like infliximab and adalimumab have entered the market, offering savings of 15–30% compared to originator products [5].

## Conclusion

As immunotherapeutics continue to evolve, so too will the strategies for managing their cost. Advances in personalized medicine, biomarker-guided therapy, and manufacturing efficiency may reduce waste and improve targeting. Meanwhile, global collaboration and policy reform will be essential to ensure that the benefits of immunotherapy are accessible to all. Immunotherapeutics represent a triumph of biomedical innovation, offering hope to patients with complex and life-threatening diseases. Yet, their high cost poses significant challenges to healthcare systems and patients. Navigating this landscape requires a multifaceted approach—

combining economic evaluation, biosimilar development, equitable access initiatives, and policy reform. By aligning innovation with affordability, we can ensure that immunotherapy fulfills its promise in modern healthcare.

## References

1. Lorenz E, Uphoff DE, Reid TR, Shelton E. Modification of irradiation injury in mice and guinea pigs by bone marrow injections. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1951;12(1):197–201.
2. Barnes DW, Corp MJ, et al. Treatment of murine leukaemia with X rays and homologous bone marrow; preliminary communication. *Br Med J.* 1956;2:626–7.
3. Groth CG, Brent LB, Calne RY, et al. Historic landmarks in clinical transplantation: conclusions from the consensus conference at the University of California, Los Angeles. *World J Surg.* 2000;24:834–43.
4. Wilson RE, Henry L, Merrill JP. A model system for determining histocompatibility in man. *J Clin Invest.* 1963;42(9):1497–503.
5. Stem Cell Trialists' Collaborative Group. Allogeneic peripheral blood stem-cell compared with bone marrow transplantation in the management of hematologic malignancies: an individual patient data meta-analysis of nine randomized trials. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23(8): 5074–87.