



## Molecular Classification of endometrial cancer and its Implications

Nadine El Kassis

Saint Joseph university, Lebanon

### Abstract

Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most prevalent gynecologic malignancy in the developed world. Majority of women with EC have good outcomes (80% in stage I, 5 year survival rate ~ 90%)<sup>1</sup>. Traditional risk group classification into type I and type II is based on post-surgical staging pathologic examination (principally histotype, tumour grade and stage). Type I is mostly hormono dependant and has a better prognosis than type II <sup>2</sup>. This classification has a lot of limitations because of the overlap of the two types and the heterogeneity in each one. In addition to that, Interobserver disagreement in endometrial carcinoma histotype assignment varies from 10% to 20% and reaches 26% to 37% in high-grade tumors <sup>3</sup>. For all these reasons and due to molecular biology advances, genomic classification of endometrial carcinoma was issued by the National Cancer Institute and National Human Genome Research Institute, in 2013 (The cancer genome atlas, TCGA) <sup>4</sup>.

### It classifies EC into 4 groups:

- POLE (ultramutated) tumours: endometrioid EC grades 1 to 3, with a very good prognosis
- Microsatellite-instable (MSI [hypermuted]): endometrioid EC grades 1 to 3, with intermediate prognosis
- Copy-number low (endometrioid) tumours, comprising microsatellite- stable grade 1 and 2 endometrioid cancers with low mutation rates: intermediate prognosis
- Copy-number high (serous-like) tumours: characterised by extensive copy number aberrations and low mutation rates, with poor prognosis.

This classification is reproducible, reliable preoperatively, helps in treatment decisions and guides clinical trials of targeted therapies. It improves as well prognostic accuracy.

This classification was then improved in the ProMisE study where the authors used molecular classifiers: MMR IHC testing (MLH1, MSH2, MSH6 and PMS2) for MSI, POLE exonuclease domain (EDM) mutations, and p53 status (determined by IHC) <sup>5</sup>. In conclusion, creating an integrated molecular profile adding histopathological features to molecular classification improves prognostic prediction and treatment modalities and permits the implementation of targeted therapies.

### Biography

Attending in Gynecology and obstetrics, gynecologic oncology surgery and urogynecology at Hotel Dieu de France University hospital, Beirut, Lebanon. Medical Doctor diploma, 2006, Faculty of medicine, Saint Joseph University, Beirut, Lebanon. Gynecology and Obstetrics specialist, 2011, Faculty of medicine, Saint Joseph University, Beirut, Lebanon. Fellowship in Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2012, Paris Descartes University, Paris, France. Former fellow in gynecological oncology, breast cancer surgery and breast reconstructive surgery, Gustave Roussy Institute, Villejuif, France. Former fellow in pelvic surgery and urogynecology in Diaconesses Hospital, Paris, France. Multiple university diplomas (urodynamics, gynecology and obstetrics ultrasound, reconstructive techniques in breast surgery). Oral presentations in several local and international societies (COF, LSOG, ASTARTE, MEMAGO). Several publications in local and international journals in gynecological oncology, senology, and urogynecology. Instructor at the faculty of medicine, Saint Joseph University, Beirut, Lebanon.



3<sup>rd</sup> world congress on Gynecology and Obttetrics  
Webinar | 31<sup>st</sup> August- September 1<sup>st</sup>, 2020

**Citation:** Nadine El Kassis, *Molecular Classification of endometrial cancer and its Implications*, WCGO 2020, 3<sup>rd</sup> world congress on Gynecology and obstetrics, Webinar, August 31<sup>st</sup> -September 01<sup>st</sup>, 2020