# Modeling fish habitat suitability using hydrographic and climatic data.

**Maku Lehtonen***

Finnish Institute for Aquatic Resources, University of Helsinki, Finland.

## Introduction

Modeling fish habitat suitability using hydrographic and climatic data has become an increasingly vital tool in fisheries science and aquatic ecology. As pressures on fish populations grow due to overfishing, climate change, habitat degradation, and pollution, understanding where and why fish species thrive is essential for effective conservation, management, and sustainable exploitation. Habitat suitability models (HSMs), which use environmental variables to predict the presence, abundance, or distribution of species, offer a scientific basis for managing aquatic resources in both marine and freshwater systems. These models integrate spatial data, species observations, and a suite of environmental predictors to estimate the quality or favorability of habitats for target fish species across geographic regions and time scales [1].

Hydrographic and climatic data form the foundation of habitat suitability modeling. Hydrographic data include physical characteristics of water bodies, such as depth, salinity, turbidity, current velocity, and substrate type. These factors directly influence the physiological tolerance, reproductive behavior, feeding ecology, and spatial distribution of fish. For instance, species like cod and haddock are known to prefer cooler, deeper waters with specific substrate types, while tropical reef fishes are adapted to warm, shallow, and structurally complex habitats. Climatic data, such as sea surface temperature (SST), precipitation, wind patterns, and seasonal variability, are equally important, as they affect both short-term behavior and long-term distribution patterns. Changes in climatic variables can induce fish migrations, shift breeding grounds, and modify food web interactions [2].

The modeling process typically begins with the collection of occurrence data, which includes the known locations of species presence, and sometimes absence. These data may come from fisheries catch records, survey datasets, remote sensing, telemetry studies, or citizen science initiatives. High-resolution spatial data are preferred to capture fine-scale habitat preferences, especially for species with narrow ecological niches or those that inhabit structurally diverse environments. Alongside these biological data, environmental layers representing hydrographic and climatic conditions are compiled, often using data from satellite sensors, oceanographic buoys, and hydrological models. Modern remote sensing technologies provide near-real-time and historical data on a variety of oceanographic and atmospheric variables, enabling the construction of dynamic, temporally sensitive models [3].

Species distribution models (SDMs) or ecological niche models (ENMs) are the primary types of HSMs used to relate fish occurrences to environmental predictors. These models use statistical or machine learning approaches to find correlations between species presence and habitat conditions, then project these relationships across unsampled areas or future scenarios. Common modeling techniques include Generalized Linear Models (GLMs), Generalized Additive Models (GAMs), Maximum Entropy (MaxEnt), Boosted Regression Trees (BRTs), Random Forests, and Artificial Neural Networks. Each method has strengths and limitations, and the choice often depends on the quantity and quality of data, as well as the intended application [4].

One of the most powerful aspects of habitat suitability modeling is its predictive capability. Once a model is trained on known data, it can be used to forecast species distribution under different scenarios, such as climate change, habitat restoration, or the construction of infrastructure like dams or coastal developments. For example, models have been used to predict the northward shift of Atlantic mackerel due to ocean warming, or the decline in suitable spawning grounds for salmon in rivers affected by increased temperature and flow alterations. These insights are critical for proactive fisheries management, marine spatial planning, and biodiversity conservation [5].

In coastal and estuarine systems, hydrographic factors such as salinity gradients, tidal regimes, and estuarine circulation are particularly influential. Many economically and ecologically important fish species use estuaries as nurseries, taking advantage of the high productivity and structural complexity found in these transitional zones. Modeling habitat suitability in such areas requires high temporal and spatial resolution data due to the dynamic nature of estuarine environments. Additionally, anthropogenic factors such as land use changes, nutrient loading, and dredging must be considered as they significantly alter the hydrographic profile and habitat quality [6].

In freshwater ecosystems, climatic variables such as precipitation, air temperature, and snowmelt patterns strongly influence hydrology, which in turn determines habitat availability for stream and lake-dwelling fish. Cold-water species such as trout and salmon are especially sensitive to

***Correspondence to:** Maku Lehtonen, Finnish Institute for Aquatic Resources, University of Helsinki, Finland, E-mail: maku.lehtonen@helsinki.fi

***Received:** 03-Jun-2025, Manuscript No. AAJFR-25-166871; **Editor assigned:** 04-Jun-2025, PreQC No. AAJFR-25-166871(PQ); **Reviewed:** 18-Jun-2025, QC No AAJFR-25-166871; **Revised:** 21-Jun-2025, Manuscript No. AAJFR-25-166871(R); **Published:** 28-Jun-2025, DOI:10.35841/ aajfr -9.3.270*

***Citation:** Lehtonen M. Modeling fish habitat suitability using hydrographic and climatic data. J Fish Res. 2025;9(3):270.*

changes in thermal regimes and dissolved oxygen levels. Climate-driven shifts in streamflow patterns can alter spawning habitats, disrupt migration timing, and increase exposure to predators or competitors. Integrating watershed models with climatic forecasts allows researchers to assess future habitat suitability and identify conservation priorities under changing environmental conditions [7].

A critical challenge in habitat suitability modeling is dealing with spatial and temporal variability. Fish behavior and distribution are not static; they vary seasonally, ontogenetically, and in response to short-term events like storms or algal blooms. To capture this dynamism, models must be updated regularly with new data and designed to incorporate time-dependent variables. Dynamic habitat models (DHMs) or species distribution forecasts attempt to address this issue by integrating real-time environmental data with species behavior and life cycle characteristics. This approach is particularly useful for migratory species whose movements are closely tied to oceanographic conditions, such as tuna, sardines, or eels [8].

Another challenge is the uncertainty associated with model predictions. Sources of uncertainty include data quality, model assumptions, and the inherent complexity of ecological systems. To address this, model validation is essential, typically involving the use of independent datasets to test the accuracy of predictions. Cross-validation techniques, such as k-fold partitioning or bootstrapping, are commonly used to assess model performance. Ensemble modeling, which combines predictions from multiple models, can also improve robustness by averaging out individual model biases and capturing a broader range of possible outcomes [9].

The application of habitat suitability models extends beyond ecological research into practical management. Fisheries agencies use these models to design marine protected areas, determine seasonal closures, and manage fish stock allocations. By identifying critical habitats such as spawning grounds, juvenile nurseries, and feeding areas, managers can implement spatially explicit regulations that enhance resource sustainability. In aquaculture, habitat modeling assists in site selection, ensuring that farms are located in areas with optimal water quality and minimal ecological impact. In conservation, these models help prioritize habitat restoration efforts and guide the reintroduction of threatened species.

With the increasing availability of big data, computational power, and open-access environmental datasets, the scope and precision of habitat suitability models continue to expand. Cloud-based platforms and geographic information systems (GIS) facilitate the integration and visualization of complex datasets, allowing stakeholders to interact with model outputs and incorporate them into decision-making processes. Participatory modeling approaches, which involve local communities, fishers, and policymakers, enhance model relevance and promote stakeholder engagement in fisheries governance [10].

## Conclusion

In conclusion, modeling fish habitat suitability using hydrographic and climatic data is a cornerstone of modern fisheries science and resource management. These models provide invaluable insights into the complex relationships between fish and their environments, enabling the prediction of species distributions under current and future conditions. By integrating biological data with environmental variables and leveraging advanced modeling techniques, scientists and managers can make informed decisions that support the conservation of aquatic biodiversity and the sustainable use of fishery resources. As environmental change accelerates and human pressures intensify, the importance of habitat suitability modeling will only continue to grow in safeguarding the health and productivity of the world's aquatic ecosystems.

## References


1. Cox TM, Lewison RL, Žydelis R, et al. Comparing effectiveness of experimental and implemented bycatch reduction measures: the ideal and the real. Biol Conserv. 2007;21(5):1155-64.
2. Kennelly SJ, Broadhurst MK. A review of bycatch reduction in demersal fish trawls. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2021;31(2):289-318.
3. Glass CW. Conservation of fish stocks through bycatch reduction: a review. Northeastern Naturalist. 2000 Dec;7(4):395-410.
4. Campbell LM, Cornwell ML. Human dimensions of bycatch reduction technology: current assumptions and directions for future research. Endanger Species Res. 2008;5(2-3):325-34.
5. Brewer D, Rawlinson N, Eayrs S, et al. An assessment of bycatch reduction devices in a tropical Australian prawn trawl fishery. Fish Res. 1998;36(2-3):195-215.
6. Piovano S, Basciano G, Swimmer Y, et al. Evaluation of a bycatch reduction technology by fishermen: a case study from Sicily. Mari Policy. 2012;36(1):272-7.
7. Hannah RW, Jones SA. Effectiveness of bycatch reduction devices (BRDs) in the ocean shrimp (Pandalus jordani) trawl fishery. Fish Res. 2007;85(1-2):217-25.
8. García-Caudillo JM, Cisneros-Mata MA, Balmori-Ramírez A. Performance of a bycatch reduction device in the shrimp fishery of the Gulf of California, Mexico. Biol Conserv. 2000;92(2):199-205.
9. Avery JD, Aagaard K, Burkhalter JC, et al. Seabird longline bycatch reduction devices increase target catch while reducing bycatch: a meta-analysis. J Nat Conserv. 2017;38:37-45.
10. Hannah RW, Lomeli MJ, Jones SA. Tests of artificial light for bycatch reduction in an ocean shrimp (Pandalus jordani) trawl: strong but opposite effects at the footrope and near the bycatch reduction device. Fish Res. 2015;170:60-7.


***Citation:*** *Lehtonen M. Modeling fish habitat suitability using hydrographic and climatic data. J Fish Res. 2025;9(3):270.*