International Journal of Pure and Applied Zoology Volume 2, Issue 2, pp: 113-117, 2014 Copyright ©2014 Rishan Publications

Research Article

LARVICIDAL AND REPELLENT ACTIVITIES OF TYLOPHORA INDICA (BURM. F.) MERR. (ASCLEPIADACEAE) AGAINST CULEX QUINQUEFASCIATUS SAY AND AEDES AEGYPTI L. (DIPTERA: CULICIDAE)

M. Rajiv Gandhi, A. Daniel Reegan, G. Sivaraman, K. Sivasankaran, M. Gabriel Paulraj and S. Ignacimuthu*

Entomology Research Institute, Loyola College, Chennai-600 034, India

Article History: Received 2nd January 2014; Accepted 20th May 2014; Published on 21st May 2014

ABSTRACT

Mosquitoes transmit serious human diseases and cause millions of deaths every year. Natural products obtained from plants are good alternative sources for mosquito control. The aim of the present study was to assess the larvicidal and repellent activities of extracts from *Tylophora indica* against *Culex quinquefasciatus* and *Aedes aegypti*. Three solvents viz., hexane, ethyl acetate and methanol were used to prepare the extracts from shadedried leaves of *T. indica*. The results of larvicidal activity clearly showed that the hexane extract was the most potent larvicide against both vector mosquitoes. The lethal concentrations varied LC_{50} value of 324 ppm was recorded for *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and LC_{50} value of 619 ppm for recorded *Ae. aegypti*. At 5mg/cm² concentration, the hexane extract presented the highest repellent duration against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* up to 187 min. At this same concentration, the hexane extract gave a protection time of 122 min against *Ae. aegypti*. The hexane extract of *T. indica* can be probed further for isolation of active principles against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and *Ae. aegypti*.

Keywords: Larvicidal activity, Repellency, Tylophora indica, Culex quinquefasciatus, Aedes aegypti.

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of dengue fever (DF), dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) and filariasis has increased tremendously in recent years. *Ae. aegypti* is the primary vector that carries the arbo-virus responsible for dengue fever. DHF/DF are considered as the major cause of childhood mortality and nearly 400,000 cases of DHF/DF are reported annually in many Asian countries (Halstead, 2000). *Cx. quinquefasciatus* is the main vector of filariasis in India. Filariasis is also a major mosquito borne disease.

Most widely used vector control method is larviciding with synthetic insecticides. These synthetic insecticides pollute the environment, affect the non-target organisms and cause pesticide resistance in vector mosquitoes (Wattal *et al.*, 1981). It is clearly evident from the literature that botanical pesticides can offer ecofriendly vector mosquito control. *T. indica* has been traditionally used as a folk remedy in

certain regions of India for the treatment of bronchial asthma, bronchitis, rheumatism, dermatitis and the extracts are used as antiallergenic medication by Ayurvedic practitioners (The Wealth of India, 2008). The present study was aimed to evaluate the larvicidal and repellent activities of T. indica leaf extracts against Cx. quinquefasciatus and Ae. aegypti mosquitoes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of Plant material

T. indica leaves were collected from Vengal, Thiruvallur District, India. Leaves were shade dried for 72 h and then powdered.

Solvent extracts

The shade dried powder (500 gm) was sequentially soaked in hexane, ethyl acetate and methanol for 96 h respectively with intermittent shaking. After 96 h of soaking, each solvent extract was filtered and concentrated under

*Corresponding author e-mail: entolc@hotmail.com, Phone: +9144-28178348, Fax: +9144-28175566

reduced pressure using rotary vacuum evaporator.

Test mosquitoes

Larval instars (third instars) of *Ae. aegypti* and *Cx. quinquefasciatus* were obtained from laboratory culture, that was maintained at $27\pm1^{\circ}$ C, 75-85% RH and 14 ± 10 h photoperiod at Entomology Research Institute, Loyola College, Chennai.

Larvicidal activity

The larvicidal activity of the crude extracts was assessed following the protocol of World Health Organization (WHO, 2005). The third instar larvae of Ae. aegypti and Cx. quinquefasciatus were exposed to the concentrations of 62.5, 125, 250, 500 ppm. Acetone was used to dissolve the extract. Five replicates were maintained for every concentration of each extracts. Solvent control (acetone in water) and water control were maintained separately. Larval mortality was determined after 24h. Larvae were considered dead when they did not respond to stimulus or did not rise to the surface of the solution. The larvicidal activity was corrected by Abbott's 1925) formula (Abbott, and the lethal concentration values LC50 and LC90 were calculated by probit analysis (SPSS 11.5 version).

Repellent activity

For repellent study, the hands of six volunteers from ERI, Loyola College were exposed to vector mosquitoes in cages sized 30 cm \times 30 cm \times 30 cm as per the protocol of WHO (1996) with slight modifications. The right hand of the volunteers was served as treated and left hand served as control. In each replicate 100 bloodstarved female mosquitoes were used. DEET (12%) was used as positive control.

RESULTS

The results of larvicidal activity clearly showed that hexane extract was the most effective treatment against the two vector mosquitoes. The lethal concentrations varied LC₅₀ value of 324 ppm was recorded against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and LC₅₀ value of 619 ppm against *Ae. aegypti* (Table 1). The hexane extract gave a significantly high protection time of 187 min against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and 122 min against *Ae. aegypti* at 5mg/cm² concentration.

The methanol extract and ethyl acetate extract were not effective and showed very low repellent activities (Tables 2 and 3).

Table 1. Lethal concentrations (in ppm) of *Tylophora indica* extracts against the larvae of *Culex quinquefasciatus* and *Aedes aegypti*.

Mosquito species	Treatment	LC ₅₀ (ppm)	95% confidence limit		LC_{90}	95% confidence limit		Intercept	2
			LL	UL	(ppm)	LL	UL	± SL	
Culex quinquefasciatus	Hexane	324.08	273.06	393.52	679.68	569.80	866.93	-0.1 ± 0.5	9.5*
	Ethyl acetate	424.80	373.06	493.52	679.68	679.08	966.93	-0.2 ± 0.5	5.5*
	Methanol	478.64	368.87	372.74	1105.83	815.36	1881.16	$\textbf{-0.8} \pm 0.5$	2.6*
Aedes aegypti	Hexane	619.19	496.40	881.06	1095.00	846.37	1663.94	-1.6 ± 0.1	6.8*
	Ethyl acetate	719.19	596.40	981.06	1195.00	746.37	1763.94	-0.2 ± 0.5	2.8*
	Methanol	819.19	696.40	1081.06	1295.00	946.37	1863.94	-0.8 ± 0.5	6.8^{*}

 LC_{50} -lethal concentration that kills 50 % of the exposed larvae, LC_{90} -lethal concentration that kills 90 % of the exposed larvae, LL lower limit (95 % confidence limit), UL upper limit (95 % confidence limit).

^{*}p 0.05, level of significance of chi-square values.

Extract	Concentration	Complete protection time (min)			
Extract	mg/cm ²	Control	Treated		
Hexane	1.0	$1.4\pm0.54^{\rm a}$	105 ± 3.16^{g}		
	2.5	$2.2\pm0.83^{\rm a}$	150 ± 2.91^{e}		
	5.0	$2.0 \pm 1.22^{\mathrm{a}}$	$273\pm2.54^{\text{b}}$		
	1.0	$1.6\pm0.54^{\mathrm{a}}$	$44 \pm 2.73^{\mathrm{j}}$		
Ethyl acetate	2.5	$1.1\pm0.19^{\mathrm{a}}$	$99 \pm 3.16^{\mathrm{h}}$		
	5.0	$1.4\pm0.54^{\mathrm{a}}$	$178\pm1.58^{\rm d}$		
	1.0	$1.2\pm0.44^{\mathrm{a}}$	$44 \pm 2.44^{\mathrm{j}}$		
Mathanal	2.5	$1.6 \pm 1.34^{\mathrm{a}}$	76 ± 2.73^{i}		
Methanol	5.0	$1.8\pm0.44^{\mathrm{a}}$	$120\pm2.73^{\rm f}$		
N N Distant	1.0	$2.4\pm0.89^{\rm a}$	$110 \pm 1.0^{\rm fg}$		
hongomida (120/)	2.5	$1.8\pm0.83^{\mathrm{a}}$	$194\pm1.58^{\rm c}$		
benzannue (12%)	5.0	$2.0\pm0.70^{\rm a}$	$323\pm2.0^{\rm a}$		

Table 2. Complete protection time recorded by three solvent extracts of *Tylophora indica* leaves against *Culex quinquefasciatus*.

Each value represents mean of five replicates \pm SD; values carrying different letters in a column are statistically different by Tukey's test at p=0.05.

Table 3. Complete protection time recorded by three solvent extracts of *Tylophora indica* leaves against *Aedes aegypti*.

Extract	Concentration	Complete protection time (min)			
LAttact	mg/cm ²	Control	Treated		
Hexane	1.0	0.33 ± 1.11^{a}	$44\pm1.58^{ m h}$		
	2.5	$0.30\pm0.08^{\rm a}$	$87\pm2.54^{ m d}$		
	5.0	$0.52\pm0.30^{\rm a}$	$165 \pm 1.0^{\mathrm{b}}$		
$\Gamma(1,1) \leftarrow c$	1.0	$0.51\pm0.30^{\rm a}$	$28\pm1.58^{ m i}$		
Ethyl acetate	2.5	1.16 ± 0.11^{a}	$64 \pm 3.16^{\rm f}$		
	5.0	$0.35\pm0.10^{\rm a}$	$118 \pm 1.87^{\rm c}$		
	1.0	$1.2\pm0.44^{\mathrm{a}}$	$25 \pm 2.12^{\mathrm{i}}$		
Mathanal	2.5	$0.51\pm0.05^{\rm a}$	$51 \pm 1.58^{ ext{g}}$		
Methanoi	5.0	$0.40\pm0.10^{\rm a}$	75 ± 2.91^{e}		
NND: (1-1	1.0	$1.2\pm0.70^{\mathrm{a}}$	$55\pm1.0^{ m g}$		
N-N Diethyl benzamide (12%)	2.5	$1.4\pm0.54^{\rm a}$	$95\pm1.41^{\text{d}}$		
0 cm2ammde (1270)	5.0	$1.2\pm0.44^{\rm a}$	$182\pm0.70^{\rm a}$		

Each value represents mean of five replicates \pm SD; values carrying different letters in a column are statistically different by Tukey's test at p=0.05.

DISCUSSION

Solvent extracts of many plants possess larvicidal and repellent effects against different mosquito species and are suggested for reducing the vector mosquito population and mosquito bites. Recent studies have pointed out that many plant extracts possess cost-effective and ecofriendly molecules that can be effectively used as mosquito repellents and pesticides without any side effects to non-target organisms. The active molecules are reported from different parts of plants namely fruit pulp, kernel, root, bark, and leaf (Ansari *et al.*, 2000; Ezeonu *et al.*, 2001; Sen-Sung et al., 2003; Chapagain et al., 2007; Rawani et al., 2013).

Results of the larval mortality experiments in the present study, clearly confirm the potential of hexane extract of T. indica in mosquito control. The larvicidal and repellent properties of this plant can be exploited for personal protection and mosquito population eradication in their breeding sites. Chockalingam et al. (1992) reported that the crude plant extracts containing compounds exhibiting synergistic or potentiating interactions have higher and longer-lasting effects on pests. The LC₅₀ value of 324ppm was recorded against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and LC_{50} value of 619ppm against Ae. aegypti was recorded with hexane extract of T. indica during the present study. These results of larvicidal activity was comparable with the earlier reports of Ansari et al. (2005) who observed larvicidal activity of Pinus longifolia oil against Ae. aegypti (LC50-82.1 ppm), Cx. quinquefasciatus (LC₅₀-85.7 ppm).

The repellent results of present study indicated that hexane extract possessed good repellent activity and can give a protection time up to 182 min against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and 122 min against *Ae. aegypti*. These results are comparable with the earlier report of Rajkumar and Jebanesan (2007) who reported a repellency of 140.0 and 119.0 minutes with *C. asiatica* and *P. guajava*, respectively at 6% concentration.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the hexane extract of *T*. *indica* possessed larvicidal and repellent activities against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and *Ae. aegypti* and can be used in mosquito control programmes.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest associated with this article.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Entomology Research Institute, Loyola College, Chennai, for financial support to carry out this work.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, W.S., 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 18: 265–267.
- Ansari, M.A., Mittal, P.K., Razdan, R.K. and Sreehari, U., 2005. Larvicidal and mosquito repellent activities of pine (*Pinus longifolia*, Family: Pinaceae) oil. J. Vec. Bor. Dis., 42: 95-99.
- Ansari, M.A., Vasudevan, P., Tandon, M. and Razdan, R.K., 2000. Larvicidal and mosquito repellent action of peppermint (*Mentha piperita*) oil. *Biores. Technol.*, 71: 261-271.
- Chapagain, B.P., Saharan, V. and Wiesman, Z., 2007. Larvicidal activity of saponins from Balanites aegyptiaca callus against Aedes aegypti mosquito. Biores. Technol., 99(5): 1165-1168.
- Chockalingam, S., Kuppusamy, A., Manoharan, T. and Murugavel, S.M., 1992. Efficacy of insecticides, plant extracts and their synergistic activity against the filarial vector, *Culex quinquefasciatus. J. Ecobiol.*, 4: 95-98.
- Ezeonu, F.C., Chidume, G.I. and Udedi, S.C., 2001. Insecticidal properties of volatile extracts of orange peels. *Biores. Technol.*, 76: 273-274.
- Halstead, S.B., 2000. Global perspective on dengue research. *Dengue Bull*. 24: 77-82.
- Rajkumar, S. and Jebanesan, A., 2007. Repellent activity of selected plant essential oils against the malarial fever mosquito *Anopheles stephensi. Trop. Biomed.*, 24(2): 71-75.
- Rawani, A., Chowdhury, N., Ghosh, A., Laskar, S. and Chandra, G., 2013. Mosquito larvicidal activity of *Solanum nigrumberry* extracts. *Ind. J. Med. Res.*, 137: 972–976.
- Sen-Sung, C., Hui-Ting, C., Shang-Tzen, C., Kum-Hsien, T. and Wei-June, C., 2003. Bioactivity of selected plant essential oils against the yellow fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti* larvae. *Biores. Technol.*, 89: 99-102.

- The Wealth of India, 2008. National Institute of Science Communication and Information Resources, CSIR, New Delhi, Vol. 5: R-Z.
- Wattal, B.L., Joshi, G.C. and Das, M., 1981. Role of agricultural insecticides in precipitation vector resistance. J. Commun. Dis., 13: 71-73.
- WHO, 1996. Report of the WHO informal consultation, on the evaluation and testing of insecticides. CTD/WHOPES/IC/ 96.1, p. 69.
- WHO, 2005. Guidelines for laboratory and field testing of mosquito larvicides. World Health Organization, Geneva WHO/CDS/ WHOPES/GCDPP/2005.13.