

Landfill Diversion: Steering Toward a More Sustainable Future.

Atakan Colpan*

Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Department of Environmental Engineering, Turkey

Introduction

As the global population continues to grow and urbanize, the volume of waste generated by households, industries, and commercial sectors is increasing at an alarming rate. Traditional waste management methods—particularly landfilling—are becoming less sustainable due to environmental concerns, limited space, and escalating operational costs. One of the most effective responses to this growing challenge is **landfill diversion**. This process involves redirecting waste away from landfills through alternative strategies such as recycling, composting, reusing materials, and converting waste into energy. Landfill diversion is not just a matter of managing space; it's about building a cleaner, more resource-efficient world.

Landfill diversion is the practice of minimizing the amount of waste that ends up in landfills by diverting it to other, more sustainable destinations. These include recycling facilities, composting centers, or waste-to-energy plants. The aim is to reduce the harmful environmental impact of landfilling, which includes the emission of greenhouse gases like methane, the contamination of soil and groundwater, and the long-term land degradation.

Governments, businesses, and communities use landfill diversion as a key performance indicator in waste management strategies. The **diversion rate**—usually expressed as a percentage—is a critical metric that indicates the proportion of total waste that is successfully redirected from landfills.

One of the most common forms of diversion, recycling involves processing used materials such as paper, plastic, glass, and metal into new products. This reduces the need for raw materials and energy. Organic waste, including food scraps and yard trimmings, can be composted to create nutrient-rich soil additives. This not only diverts waste but also returns valuable nutrients to the earth. Items like clothing, electronics, furniture, and packaging can often be reused or repurposed, reducing the need for new production and keeping functional goods out of the waste stream. Non-recyclable waste can be converted into usable energy through processes like incineration or anaerobic digestion. While controversial in some regions due to emissions concerns, WTE is considered a form of diversion when managed responsibly. The most proactive approach, source reduction involves designing products and systems to prevent waste before it is even created. This includes practices like minimal packaging, digital alternatives to paper, and durable product design.

Reduces greenhouse gas emissions and lessens pollution risks. Conserves raw materials and reduces energy usage in manufacturing. Lowers disposal costs and supports job creation in recycling and composting sectors. Lessens the potential for toxic exposure and improves overall urban cleanliness. Slows the need for new landfill development and preserves open space. Public participation and awareness are often limited. Recycling contamination can hinder effective material recovery. Infrastructure for composting and WTE is not uniformly available. Regulatory and market conditions may not always support diversion goals. Overcoming these challenges requires a combination of education, investment, policy incentives, and innovation in waste management technologies.

Conclusion

Landfill diversion is a vital component of sustainable waste management and environmental stewardship. By reducing reliance on landfills and maximizing the value of materials already in circulation, communities can lower their ecological footprints and pave the way for a circular economy. The success of landfill diversion depends on the collective effort of individuals, businesses, and governments. With informed decision-making, public engagement, and strong infrastructure, the shift from a throwaway culture to a sustainable future is not only possible—it's imperative.

References

1. Idris S, Rahim RA, Saidin AN, et al. Bioconversion of Used Transformer Oil into Polyhydroxyalkanoates by *Acinetobacter* sp. Strain AAAID-1.5. *Polymers*. 2022;15(1):97.
2. Lopes AG, Da Silva FC, Lopes RT. Radiological assessment of the disposal of bulk oil NORM waste: Case study from Brazil. *J Environ Radioact*. 2023;261:107139.
3. Al-Kindi S, Al-Bahry S, Al-Wahaibi Y, et al. Partially hydrolyzed polyacrylamide: enhanced oil recovery applications, oil-field produced water pollution, and possible solutions. *Environ Monit Assess*. 2022;194(12):875.
4. Gao Z, Ma Y, Liu Y, et al. Waste cooking oil used as carbon source for microbial lipid production: promoter or inhibitor. *Environ Res*. 2022;203:111881.
5. Wu W, Du M, Shi H, et al. Application of graphene aerogels in oil spill recovery: A review. *Sci Total Environ*. 2023;856:159107.

*Correspondence to: Atakan Colpan, Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Department of Environmental Engineering, Turkey. E-mail: acolpan@iuc.edu.tr

Received: 03-May -2025, Manuscript No. AAEWMR-25-165794; Editor assigned: 05-May-2025, Pre QC No. AAEWMR-25-165794(PQ); Reviewed: 11-May -2025, QC No. AAEWMR-25-165794; Revised: 25-May -2025, Manuscript No. AAEWMR-25-165794(R); Published: 31-Mar -2025, DOI: 10.35841/aeewmr-8.3.267

6. Thushari I, Babel S. Comparative study of the environmental impacts of used cooking oil valorization options in Thailand. *J Environ Manage.* 2022;310:114810.
7. Murungi PI, Sulaimon AA. Petroleum sludge treatment and disposal techniques: a review. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 2022;29(27):40358-72.
8. Zhou S, Huang L, Wang G, et al. A review of the development in shale oil and gas wastewater desalination. *Sci Total Environ.* 2023;873:162376.
9. Chafale A, Kapley A. Biosurfactants as microbial bioactive compounds in microbial enhanced oil recovery. *J Biotechnol.* 2022;352:1-5.
10. de Castro TM, Cammarota MC, Pacheco EB. Sustainability of the anaerobic digestion of oil refinery secondary sludge. *Bioengineered.* 2023;14(1):181-96.