

# Incidental findings during cardiac assessment; a suggested algorithm for countries endemic with tuberculosis.

Muhammad Amin Ibrahim<sup>1\*</sup>, Aimie Razali<sup>2</sup>, Adli Azam Mohammad Razi<sup>1</sup>, Mohammed Fauzi Abdul Rani<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Universiti Teknologi, MARA, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiothoracic, Institut Jantung Negara, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

**Received:** 27-May-2022, *Manuscript No. AACC-22-65279*; **Editor assigned:** 30-May-2022, *AACC-22-65279 (PQ)*; **Reviewed:** 13-Jun-2022, *QC No. AACC-22-65279*; **Revised:** 26-July-2022, *Manuscript No. AACC-22-65279*; **Published:** 02-Aug-2022, *DOI: 10.35841/AACC-6.4.116*.

## Abstract

**Lung nodules are the commonest incidental findings during cardiac imaging. Majority of these cases were benign, but small numbers have potential malignant risk. These lead to cascade effect of unnecessary investigations especially in scarcity of specific follow-up recommendations. Furthermore, in Asian countries, these lung nodules would also represent in tuberculosis infection. In this review, we describe the approach and proposed algorithm for incidental lung nodules during cardiac assessment in countries endemic with tuberculosis. Assessment of these lung nodules should be performed using full field of view images and the malignant probability should be stratified based on clinical and radiological risk factors. In countries endemic with tuberculosis infections, the differentials for lung nodules should include infections namely *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.**

**Keywords:** Malignant, Recommendations, Tuberculosis, Algorithm.

---

## Introduction

Innovative technology has progressed vastly throughout medicine field enabling non-invasive visualization of heart and its vasculature. Several cardiac imaging modalities have become essential and routine in the practice of modern cardiovascular medicine not only for diagnosis but also in aiding further interventions. These modalities cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and Computed Tomography (CT). Imaging modalities has a major role in patients' managements and as the quality of imaging examinations has improved considerably whilst access to these examinations has become wide availability. The growing number of imaging techniques performed per patient causes an increase in the number of non-cardiac incidentals findings.

## Literature Review

**Epidemiology:** Incidental Non-Cardiac Findings in cardiovascular imaging (INCF) can be defined as abnormalities which has potential clinically relevant and identified despite being unrelated to the purpose of the investigation [1]. Coronary CTA reported to have (43-56%) incidental extra cardiac findings [2,3], and higher in cardiac MRI. Of the numbers mentioned, half were potentially clinically significant which include pulmonary nodules, emphysema, bronchiectasis, ground-glass opacities, atelectasis, focal consolidations, cysts, consolidations and abdominal pathology, however only (5-36%), radiology reports made specific follow up recommendations. Possibility of the

presence of any incidental lung findings in field of view of coronary CTA increases significantly over the age of 40.5 years [5]. The prevalence significant findings reported to be (2-15%), but small number have potential malignant risk, (0.5%). Nevertheless, these findings could trigger additional investigations including unnecessary test, diagnostic procedures as well as treatments which has been called the 'cascade effect' and these needs to be identified to avoid any undesirable consequences.

**Full field of view images:** Assessment with limited Field of View (FOV) at cardiac scanning may result in the majority of lung cancers that could be detected on full-FOV images being missed. Use of a limited FOV at cardiac scanning led to a large majority (67-89%) of the lung cancers detected at full thoracic scanning being missed; thus, inclusion of the entire chest at cardiac CT is advisable [6]. Furthermore, the prevalence of lung cancer detected at CT was higher in patients suspected or known to have coronary artery disease compared to asymptomatic screening examined patients. These findings were due to common demographic and clinical risk factors for both coronary artery disease and lung cancer, primarily age and smoking history. In fact, majority of these cases were detected at early stage and potentially respectable [7].

**Low dose CT thorax for lung nodule assessment:** In the case of limited FOV images CT cardiac, a Low Dose CT (LDCT) thorax should be sought for nodule assessment in lung cancer screening [8,9]. LDCT thorax provide adequate diagnostic information while avoiding risk of contrast and minimizing radiation exposure, thus adhering to the principle ALARA (As

Low As Reasonably Achievable). LDTCT is a non-contrast CT which has lower expected radiation exposure compared to High Resolution CT (HRCT) thorax; 1-3 mSV vs. 3-8 mSV respectively [10]. More recently, ultra-low dose CT (<1 mSv) was been used for lung cancer screening [11] and in screening for lung nodules as a part of coronary CT [12].

**Assessment of lung nodule:** Lung nodule assessment is very important as majority of these cases may be benign and require no further follow-up, but some may represent early stage lung cancer, which required prompt diagnosis and definitive treatment. The assessment should be comprised by a multidisciplinary team, including pulmonologists, oncologists, radiologists, and thoracic surgeons with the aims to estimate malignancy risk and to determine the most appropriate management. Various predictive models were developed for malignant risk estimation for lung nodules utilizing both

clinical risk factors and imaging features namely; mayo clinic model [13], herder model [14], veteran administration model [15], and brock’s university model [16]. Among these models, brock’s university model (Table 1) and herder model have the high sensitivity >90%, [17] however the later model require PET findings which may limit its utility. Management of lung nodule would be based on three categories, low risk (<15%), intermediate risk (15-65%) and high risk (>65%) [18-21]. Only those in intermediate risk and high risk may require additional invasive investigations, non-surgical biopsy and surgical resection respectively [22]. For those with low risk, surveillance imaging is recommended based on Fleisher’s criteria for time interval and duration of follow-up (Table 2) [19].

**Table 1.** Brock’s University model.

Clinical risk factors	Radiological risk factors
Age	Emphysema
Gender	Nodule size
Family history of cancer	Part solid attenuation
	Upper lobe location
	Nodule count
	Spiculation

**Table 2.** Guidelines for management of incidental pulmonary nodules detected on CT Images: From the Fleischner Society 2017.

A. Solid Nodules*				
Nodule Type	<6 mm (<100 mm <sup>3</sup> )	6-8 mm (100-250 mm <sup>3</sup> )	>8 mm (>250 mm <sup>3</sup> )	Comments
<b>Single</b>				
Low risk	No routine follow-up.	CT at 6-12 months, then consider CT at 18-24 months.	Consider CT at 3 months PET/CT, or tissue sampling	Nodules <6 mm do not require routine follow-up in low-risk patients (recommendation 1A).
High risk	Optional CT at 12 months	CT at 6-12 months, then CT at 18-24 months.	Consider CT at 3 months PET/CT, or tissue sampling.	Certain patients at high risk with suspicious nodule morphology upper lobe location, or both may warrant 12-month follow-up (recommendation 1A).
<b>Multiple</b>				
Low risk	No routine follow-up.	CT at 3-6 months then considers CT at 18-24 months.	CT at 3-6 months then considers CT at 18-24 months.	Use most suspicious nodule as guide to management Follow-up intervals may vary according to size and risk (recommendation 2A).
High risk	Optional CT at 12 months.	CT at 3-6 months, then at 18-24 months.	CT at 3-6 months, then at 18-24 months.	Use most suspicious nodule as guide to management Follow-up intervals may vary according to size and risk (recommendation 2A).
<b>Size</b>				
Nodule Type	<6 mm (<100 mm <sup>3</sup> )	>6 mm (>100 mm <sup>3</sup> )	Comments	



