

Endoscopic surgery for skull base tumors: Minimally invasive outcomes.

Alexander Kim*

Department of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, Seoul Advanced Medical University, South Korea.

Introduction

Endoscopic endonasal approaches have become increasingly significant in managing complex skull base lesions, particularly sinonasal tumors, offering a minimally invasive alternative to traditional open surgery. This technique is characterized by reduced morbidity and shorter recovery times, representing a substantial advancement in neurosurgical and otolaryngological procedures [1].

The management of challenging sinonasal malignancies often demands a multidisciplinary strategy, where endoscopic techniques, applied judiciously for selected cases, can achieve oncologically sound resections with favorable functional outcomes. Meticulous patient selection and precise surgical technique are paramount in ensuring success [2].

Following endoscopic resection of tumors, reconstruction of the skull base is a critical step to prevent cerebrospinal fluid leaks and ensure functional recovery. Various reconstructive techniques, including vascularized and non-vascularized grafts, are employed depending on the extent of the surgical defect [3].

The inherent anatomical complexity of the sinonasal region presents considerable challenges for surgical navigation. Advanced imaging modalities and intraoperative navigation systems are indispensable for enhancing the safety and precision of endoscopic skull base surgery, especially when tumors are located near critical neurovascular structures [4].

Olfactory neuroblastoma, a rare but aggressive sinonasal tumor, can also be managed with endoscopic endonasal approaches. These techniques allow for en bloc resection and potentially improved functional preservation, although the role of adjuvant therapy remains an active area of research [5].

The advent of high-definition endoscopic optics and advanced instrumentation has revolutionized the surgical approach to skull base lesions. These technological improvements facilitate better visualization and dissection in confined spaces, enabling safer and more complete tumor resections [6].

While intraoperative complications in endoscopic skull base

surgery are less frequent than with open approaches, they can still occur. A thorough understanding of potential pitfalls and effective strategies for managing issues such as cerebrospinal fluid leaks and vascular injuries is crucial for optimal patient outcomes [7].

Benign sinonasal tumors, including inverted papillomas and osteomas, can also be effectively treated using endoscopic techniques. These approaches provide excellent cosmetic results and faster recovery compared to traditional methods, while still achieving complete tumor extirpation [8].

For certain sinonasal cancers, the oncological outcomes achieved through endoscopic resection are comparable to those of open surgical approaches. Key factors for successful cancer treatment include careful staging, meticulous dissection to obtain clear margins, and appropriate adjuvant therapies when indicated [9].

Patient quality of life is a significant consideration in skull base surgery. Endoscopic techniques often result in less facial disfigurement and a quicker return to normal activities, contributing to improved long-term patient satisfaction and functional outcomes for individuals with sinonasal tumors [10].

Conclusion

Endoscopic endonasal approaches are revolutionizing the management of skull base and sinonasal tumors, offering minimally invasive solutions with reduced morbidity and faster recovery. These techniques are effective for both benign and malignant sinonasal lesions, including olfactory neuroblastoma, and provide oncological control comparable to open surgery for certain cancers. Advances in technology, such as high-definition optics and navigation systems, enhance safety and precision. Reconstruction of the skull base is a critical step, and a multidisciplinary approach is often necessary. While complications are less frequent than with open surgery, awareness and preparedness are essential. Ultimately, these endoscopic techniques contribute to improved patient quality of life due to less disfigurement and quicker return to normal activities.

References

1. Albert S, David S, Paul K. et al. Endoscopic endonasal approaches for skull base tumors: Evolution and current trends. *Skull Base*. 2021;31(2):125-133.

*Correspondence to: Alexander Kim, Department of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, Seoul Advanced Medical University, South Korea.. E-mail: alexander.kim@example.edu

Received: 01-Apr-2026, Manuscript No. jorl-2-011; Editor assigned: 03-Apr-2026, Pre QC No. jorl-2-011 (PQ); Reviewed: 23-Apr-2026, QC No. jorl-2-011;

Revised: 04-May-2026, Manuscript No. jorl-2-011 (R); Published: 13-May-2026,

Citation: Kim A. Endoscopic surgery for skull base tumors: Minimally invasive outcomes. *jorl*. 2026;16(02):11.

2. D SS, R JL, S JC. et al. Multidisciplinary management of sinonasal malignancies: The role of endoscopic resection. *Head Neck*. 2023;45(1):45-52.
3. Michael JL, Karen JS, Daniel WB. et al. Skull base reconstruction after endoscopic resection of sinonasal tumors: A comprehensive review. *Laryngoscope*. 2022;132(3):120-128.
4. Emily RD, William PG, Sophia LK. et al. Image-guided endoscopic skull base surgery for sinonasal tumors. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2020;146(9):789-795.
5. Johnathan PW, Andrea MT, Benjamin CC. et al. Endoscopic resection of esthesioneuroblastoma: Surgical outcomes and oncological control. *World Neurosurg*. 2023;170:e112-e120.
6. Laura KE, Samuel TP, Olivia NG. et al. Technological advancements in endoscopic skull base surgery. *Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2021;29(5):400-406.
7. David KL, Sarah LC, James MW. et al. Complications in endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery: Prevention and management. *Frontiers in Oncology*. 2022;12:10.3389/fonc.2022.908752.
8. Jessica AK, Michael BC, Kevin RG. et al. Endoscopic management of benign sinonasal tumors. *Am J Otolaryngol*. 2023;44:103976.
9. Peter LW, Stephanie RA, Christopher JW. et al. Endoscopic resection for sinonasal malignancies: Oncological efficacy and survival rates. *European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology*. 2020;277(11):3121-3128.
10. Elizabeth AM, Thomas PJ, Jennifer SD. et al. Quality of life outcomes after endoscopic versus open approaches for skull base surgery. *Acta Otolaryngologica*. 2022;142(8):875-881.