

# EFFECT OF LYNGBYA HIERONYMUSII ON IMMUNITY AND SURVIVAL OF AEROMONAS HYDROPHILIA INFECTED PLATYCEPHALUS GIBBOSUS

# V. RAMAMURTHY<sup>1\*</sup>, G. JAYANTHI<sup>1</sup>, R. GOWRI<sup>1</sup>, D. MARIA RAJESWARI<sup>1</sup>, M.K. VADIVAZHAGI<sup>1</sup> AND S. RAVEENDRAN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>P.G. & Research Department of Biochemistry, Marudupandiyar College, Vallam, Thanjavur-613 403, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>2</sup>P.G. & Research Department of Zoology, Khadir Mohideen College, Adirampattinam-614 701, Tamil Nadu, India

\*Corresponding Author Email: v.ramamoorthy07@gmail.com, Tel: 9943728616

Article History: Received: 27.05.2013, Revised: 12.06.2013: Accepted: 17.06.2013, Published: 30.06.2013

#### ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to evaluate dietary dosages of *Lyngbya hieronymusii* on the immune response and disease resistance against infections due to the opportunistic pathogen *Aeromonas hydrophilia*, in fish fingerlings of *Platycephalus gibbosus*. A cyanobacterium, *L. hieronymusii* was incorporated into the diets of *P. gibbosus* fingerlings and different biochemical, haematological and immunological parameters were evaluated. Superoxide anion production, lysozyme, serum bactericidal, serum protein and albumin were improved in cyanobacteria treated groups compared with the control group. Survival was increased in the cyanobacteria treatment group up to 89% survivability in the 500 mg cyanobacteria/kg and 1 g cyanobacteria/kg, and 75% survivability in the 2 g cyanobacteria/kg, respectively. These results indicate that *L. hieronymusii* stimulates the immunity and makes *P. gibbosus* more resistant to infection by *A. hydrophilia*.

Key wards: Lyngbya hieronymusii, Aeromonas hydrophilia, Platycephalus gibbosus, immunity survival.

# **INTRODUCTION**

The use of immunostimulants in aquaculture is becoming popular for enhancing the activity of non-specific defense mechanisms and increasing disease resistance in mangrove fish. The use of antibiotics and other chemotherapeutics has several drawbacks such as risk of generating resistant pathogens, problems of drug residues accumulating in treated fish and detrimental effect on the environment. Commercial vaccines are expensive for fish producers and may not be available for all species and against emerging diseases. Therefore, uses of immunostimulants seem to be an alternative way of reducing disease risk in fish culture (Dalmo and Seljelid, 19951 and Raa, 1996).

Cyanobacteria are a very old group of organisms and represent relics of the oldest photoautotrophic vegetation that occur in freshwater, marine and terrestrial habitats (Mundt and Teuscher, 1988). Cyanobacteria have drawn much attention as prospective and rich sources of biologically active constituents and have been identified as one of the most

promising groups of organisms to be able of producing bioactive compounds (Fish and Codd, 1994 and Schlegel et al., 1997). Many bioactive and pharmacologically active substances have been isolated from algae. For instance, extracts of algae were reported to exhibit antibacterial activity opined that the fatty acids (PUFA) in litter fall of mangroves might have positive role on the growth of fishes and shrimps. Screening of cyanobacteria for antibiotics and other compounds, pharmacologically active has received ever-increasing interest as a potential source for new drugs (Ostensvik et al., 1998). Cyanobacteria from local habitats seem to be a source of potential new active substances that could contribute to reduction of the number of bacteria, fungi, viruses and other microorganisms (Mundt et al., 2001).

Cyanobacteria have not yet been studied for immunostimulants in aquaculture activity and little work has been done to screen cyanobacteria isolated from mangrove with regard to their production of bioactive compounds. The present study was aimed at determining the immunostimulating effect of cyanobacteria in mangrove carp, Platycephalus gibbosus which is an important species in mangrove water aquaculture, using application methodology relevant to practical farm conditions. The study was undertaken to evaluate the effects on biochemical and haematological parameters of the serum/blood of *P. gibbosus* for the first time.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental fish and husbandry: Fingerlings of fish, P. gibbosus (average wet weight 16  $\pm 1$  g), collected from the Mangrove water, Vedaranyam, Nagappatinam district, Tamil Nadu. Fish were stocked in a 50 litre tank and kept for quarantine and health check. After quarantine, fish were acclimated for 30 days in 20 litre chlorine-free mangrove water and fed with commercial diet. Water exchange (50%)was done daily and water quality was monitored throughout the experiment at three days intervals. Temperature was  $28\pm2$  °C, pH, 7.8  $\pm$  2, salinity 28 ppt, dissolved oxygen concentration  $6.0 \pm 0.4$ mg/l, ammonia-nitrogen concentration  $9.5 \pm 0.08$ mg/l and nitrite-nitrogen  $5.2 \pm 0.02$  mg/l. Fish were fed their respective diet at the rate of 4% of body weight per day throughout the experiment.

Cyanobacteria: Five hundred milligrams of powdered cyanobacterium (Lyngbya hierony-

*musii*) was collected from the mangrove environs and purified at laboratory in ASN III medium (Desikachary, 1959) and oven-dried at 50 °C, powdered by mortar and pestle and sieved. For each experiment, the required percentage (0.1, 0.5 and 1.0% dry weight basis) was included in the feed. These represent diets group II, III and IV, respectively. Diet group I (no cyanobacteria) served as control.

**Experimental design and feeding diet:** P. gibbosus fingerlings was selected for the study and divided into 4 groups. Each group of 10 fingerlings was again divided into two equal duplicate subgroups. Group I was fed with basal diet and acted as the control. The remaining groups were fed with 500 mg cyanobacteria/kg of feed (Group II), 1 g cyanobacteria/kg (Group III) and 2 g cyanobacteria/kg of feed (Group IV) for 40 days. Blood and serum samples were collected from fish in each subgroup and examined for the following parameters, total protein, albumin, globulin, albumin globulin ratio, blood glucose, haemoglobin, serum bactericidal activity, serum lysozyme activity and superoxide anion production, WBC and RBC.

Collection of blood: Feed was withheld from fish for 24 h before blood samples were collected. From randomly picked fish at 10-day intervals, after anaesthetizing with 0.2 ppm MS-222, blood was collected from the caudal vein with a 1 ml plastic syringe ringed with heparin and stored at 4<sup>°</sup>C and used the same day. Blood samples were also collected without heparin, allowed to clot, centrifuged at 1500-rpm and sera collected and refrigerated. From each subgroup six and four fish were sampled for serum and blood, respectively and returned to their respective system. Sera and blood were pooled into six groups, depending upon volume, for estimation of immunological and biochemical parameters.

Superoxide anion production: Determination of immunological parameters Superoxide anion production the superoxide anion production of blood phagocytes challenged with Bacteria was measured with some modifications (Chung and Secombes, 1988). Flat bottom 96-well microtitre plates were coated with 100µl buffer containing poly-L-lysine solution (0.2% Sigma). Blood (100 µl) was added in five wells and incubated at  $30^{\circ}$ C for 2 hour, then washed with Hanks balanced salt

solution (HBSS). Then 100  $\mu$ l of NBT (1g/ml HBSS) was added containing 100  $\mu$ l A. *hydrophilia* cells. After incubation for 30 min at 30<sup>o</sup>C, the medium was removed and the reaction stopped by adding methanol. The formazone in each well was dissolved with 120  $\mu$ l of 2 M KOH and 140  $\mu$ l of DMSO and measured using a multiscan spectrophotometer (Biorad) at 630 nm, with 405 nm as reference.

**Lysozyme activity:** The turbidimetric assay for lysozyme was carried out according to Parry *et al.* (1965). Briefly, serum (100  $\mu$ l) was added to 2 ml of a suspension of *A. hydrophilia* in a 0.05 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.2). The reaction was carried out at 25 °C and absorbance was measured at 530 nm after 0.5 and 4.5 min on a spectrophotometer. A unit of lysozyme activity was defined as the sample amount causing a decrease in absorbance of 0.001/min.

Bactericidal activity: Serum bactericidal activity was done following the procedure of Kajita et al. (1990). An equal volume (100 µl) of serum and bacterial suspension was mixed and incubated for 1 hour at 25 °C. Blank control was also prepared by replacing serum with sterile PBS. The mixture was then diluted with sterile PBS at a ratio 1:10. The serum bacterial mixture (100 µl) was pour plated in nutrient agar and plates were incubated for 24 hour at 30 °C. The number of viable bacteria was determined by counting the colonies grown in nutrient agar plates.

**Determination of blood haematological parameters:** Blood haemoglobin content was determined following the cyanomethemoglobin method (Van Kampen and Zijlstra, 1961). Total erythrocyte count was performed following the method of Hendricks (1952) using a haemocynometer where a total leucocyte count was determined following the method of Shaw (1930).

**Determination of serum/blood biochemical parameters:** Serum samples were analyzed for total protein, albumin, globulin content (subtracting albumin from total protein) and albumin: globulin ratio (Lowry *et al.*, 1951). Blood glucose content was estimated following the procedure of Schmidt (1974).

**Challenge of fish:** After 40 days of feeding, 4 fish from each subgroup were challenged

intraperitoneally with a lethal dose of *A*. *hydrophilia* and observed for a 10days period for mortality. Biochemical, immunological and enzymatic parameters were assayed in post-challenged groups as per the methods described earlier. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and significant differences among treatment means were compared using Duncan (1955) multiple range tests. Significance was tested at 5% level.

## RESULTS

The result of different dosages of cyanobacteria on production of superoxide anion is shown in Table 1. Superoxide anion production in the three experimental groups was significantly higher than the control at all the assav periods, except group II in the postchallenge period. Highest superoxide anion production (0.10 O.D.) was found in group IV fish on day 30. Lysozyme activity in the serum of cyanobacteria fed groups was significantly higher at all sampling times including postchallenge, when compared with the control group. A significant difference was also observed between the treated groups at all sampling times. Highest lysozyme activity (145 U/ml) was observed in group III fish on day 30 (Table 1). Serum bactericidal activity in different cyanobacteria fed groups was significantly higher when compared with control at all sampling times, including post-challenge. Highest bactericidal activity (60 cfu/control) was found in group III fish on day 30 (Table 1). Haemoglobin content was significantly higher than control only in group IV on day 10 and groups III and IV on day 20 (Table 1). There was no significant impact of different doses on day 30 and post-challenge. WBC count in different treatments did not show a significant difference on day 10, however, a significantly higher WBC count was found with 500mg cyanobacteria/kg feed and 2g cyanobacteria/kg feed on day 20 as well as post-challenge and on entire groups II, III and IV on day 30 (Table 1). RBC count was significantly higher in all fish fed the different doses of cyanobacteria compared with the control group on all assay days (Table 1).

Serum protein content was significantly different in groups II and IV when compared with group I on day 10 (Table 2). Groups II and III fishes fed for 30 days had significantly higher serum protein content than group I fish. Postchallenge, significantly higher serum protein content was found in groups III and IV when compared with control. Serum albumin content in all fish fed the cyanobacteria doses was significantly higher than the control on day 10, whereas only group IV on day 20 and group III on day 30 had significantly higher albumin content than the control fish. Post-challenge, more of the treated fish had elevated serum albumin content compared with control fish. The serum globulin level was significantly higher in group IV on day 20 and group III on day 30 in comparison with the control. However, significantly higher globulin content was found in groups III and IV when compared with control group I after the post-challenge period. Albumin: globulin ratio was significantly higher in groups II and III fish on day 10; there was no significant elevation in the treated groups thereafter. Blood glucose level at all sampling times was significantly lower in fish fed with different doses of *L. hieronymusii* compared with the control.

After challenging fish with *Aeromonas hydrophilia*, the mortality was recorded for 10 days. There was no mortality of fish up to 12 hours. The group of fish fed with different percentages of *L. hieronymusii* showed higher survival percentage when compared with control. The highest survival was shown in groups II and III (Figure 1).

**Table 1.** Effect of *L. hieronymusii* powder feeding on immunological and haemtological parameters of *P. gibbosus* followed by challenge of *A. hydrophilia* after 30 days.

		Before challenge			After challenge
Parameters	Group	10	20	30	40
Superoxide	Ι	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.05 \pm 0.03$	$0.04 \pm 0.04$
anion	II	$0.08 \pm 0.02$	$0.07 \pm 0.08$	$0.07 \pm 0.05$	$0.06 \pm 0.06$
production	III	$0.07 \pm 0.06$	$0.09 \pm 0.04$	$0.09 \pm 0.04$	$0.07 \pm 0.02$
(O.D.)	IV	$0.06 \pm 0.04$	$0.08 \pm 0.06$	$0.10 \pm 0.02$	$0.09 \pm 0.02$
Lysozyme	Ι	$100 \pm 0.04$	$102 \pm 0.08$	$125 \pm 0.02$	$100 \pm 0.02$
activity	II	$120 \pm 0.04$	$124 \pm 0.05$	$130 \pm 0.06$	$120 \pm 0.06$
(U/ml)	III	$140 \pm 0.02$	$135 \pm 0.04$	$145 \pm 0.04$	$132 \pm 0.08$
	IV	$130 \pm 0.06$	$126 \pm 0.06$	$140 \pm 0.08$	$128 \pm 0.04$
Bactericidal	Ι	$22 \pm 0.04$	$25 \pm 0.06$	$28 \pm 0.02$	$21 \pm 0.06$
activity	II	$30 \pm 0.02$	$36 \pm 0.02$	$43 \pm 0.06$	$39 \pm 0.02$
(cfu/control)	III	$35 \pm 0.06$	$42 \pm 0.06$	$60 \pm 0.08$	$40 \pm 0.05$
	IV	$38 \pm 0.02$	$50 \pm 0.04$	$47 \pm 0.04$	$50 \pm 0.04$
Haemoglobin	Ι	$6.8 \pm 0.22$	$8.0 \pm 0.14$	$6.8 \pm 0.62$	$9.0 \pm 0.04$
(g%)	II	$7.9 \pm 0.06$	$9.6 \pm 0.32$	$7.6 \pm 0.28$	$10.5 \pm 0.2$
	III	$7.2 \pm 0.41$	$9.5 \pm 0.08$	$9.2 \pm 0.84$	$7.3 \pm 0.64$
	IV	$8.8 \pm 0.66$	$9.9 \pm 0.42$	$10.1 \pm 0.6$	$8.0 \pm 0.08$
WBCcount	Ι	$13.0 \pm 0.2$	$14.0 \pm 0.2$	$14.5 \pm 0.6$	$13.0 \pm 0.3$
(1000cells	II	$14.0 \pm 0.6$	$16.0 \pm 0.8$	$17.1 \pm 0.3$	$14.5 \pm 0.2$
mm <sup>3</sup> )	III	$14.5 \pm 0.4$	$20.8 \pm 0.6$	$23.0 \pm 0.4$	$19.3 \pm 0.1$
	IV	$15.0 \pm 0.8$	$21.2 \pm 0.2$	$22.1 \pm 0.2$	$20.2 \pm 0.3$
RBCcount	Ι	$0.7 \pm 0.22$	$0.8 \pm 0.26$	$0.92 \pm 0.6$	$0.98 \pm 0.26$
(1000000 cells	II	$0.8 \pm 0.08$	$1.3 \pm 0.54$	$1.01 \pm 0.8$	$1.20 \pm 0.32$
mm <sup>3</sup> )	III	$1.0 \pm 0.34$	$1.2 \pm 0.20$	$1.20 \pm 0.4$	$1.35 \pm 0.02$
	IV	$1.2 \pm 0.28$	$1.3 \pm 0.46$	$1.38 \pm 0.2$	$1.22 \pm 0.98$

Parameters	Group	Before challenge			After challenge
		10	20	30	40
Blood glucose	Ι	$155 \pm 0.22$	$149 \pm 0.88$	$141 \pm 0.02$	$122 \pm 0.09$
(g/dl)	Π	$138 \pm 0.40$	$132 \pm 0.44$	$125 \pm 0.08$	$126 \pm 0.27$
	III	$134 \pm 0.52$	$122 \pm 0.62$	$112 \pm 0.06$	$119 \pm 0.50$
	IV	$109 \pm 0.02$	$96.8 \pm 0.40$	$94.8 \pm 0.02$	$93.6 \pm 0.02$
Total protein	Ι	$1.20 \pm 0.22$	$1.70 \pm 0.04$	$2.80 \pm 0.06$	$2.25 \pm 0.10$
(g/dl)	Π	$1.80 \pm 0.34$	$1.90 \pm 0.38$	$2.30 \pm 0.02$	$1.91 \pm 0.20$
	III	$1.10 \pm 0.14$	$1.80 \pm 0.22$	$3.90 \pm 0.02$	$3.50 \pm 0.28$
	IV	$1.60 \pm 0.12$	$2.60 \pm 0.12$	$2.70 \pm 0.08$	$2.99 \pm 0.10$
Albumin	Ι	$0.42 \pm 0.02$	$0.99 \pm 0.02$	$1.35 \pm 0.03$	$0.89 \pm 0.05$
(g/dl)	Π	$0.85 \pm 0.01$	$0.98 \pm 0.01$	$1.15 \pm 0.04$	$0.74 \pm 0.12$
	III	$0.65 \pm 0.01$	$1.09 \pm 0.01$	$1.58 \pm 0.09$	$0.95 \pm 0.02$
	IV	$0.69 \pm 0.13$	$1.27 \pm 0.01$	$1.34 \pm 0.01$	$1.01 \pm 0.00$
Globulin	Ι	$0.77 \pm 0.03$	$0.79 \pm 0.09$	$1.39 \pm 0.10$	$1.19 \pm 0.04$
(g/dl)	Π	$0.88 \pm 0.11$	$0.99 \pm 0.10$	$1.35 \pm 0.07$	$0.60 \pm 0.23$
	III	$0.39 \pm 0.03$	$0.94 \pm 0.04$	$2.48 \pm 0.06$	$2.33 \pm 0.22$
	IV	$1.03 \pm 0.13$	$1.54 \pm 0.11$	$1.43 \pm 0.05$	$1.91 \pm 0.07$
Albumin :	Ι	$0.50 \pm 0.01$	$1.26 \pm 0.18$	$0.86 \pm 0.04$	$0.72 \pm 0.03$
globulin	Π	$0.96 \pm 0.12$	$0.95 \pm 0.09$	$0.85 \pm 0.03$	$0.68 \pm 0.03$
	III	$1.58 \pm 0.16$	$1.04 \pm 0.05$	$0.58 \pm 0.05$	$0.41 \pm 0.04$
	IV	$0.69 \pm 0.10$	$0.81 \pm 0.04$	$0.90 \pm 0.04$	$0.52 \pm 0.02$

**Table 2.** Effect of *L. hieronymusii* powder feeding on biochemical parameters of *P. gibbosus* followed by challenge of *A. hydrophilia* after 30 days.



Figure 1. Effect of Lyngbya hieronymusii on survivability of P. gibbosus after Aeromonas hydrophilia challenge.

# DISCUSSION

It has been shown that the protective effect of spices may be associated with its antioxidant properties (Pedraza-Chaverri et al., 2000 and Rahman, 2003). Neutrophil activity can also be an indicator of the non-specific response. Cells become more adherent to tissue cell surfaces by the production of adhesion protein, which facilitates their migration from the capillaries to the site of injury (Kishimoto et al., 1989 and Magnuson et al., 1989). They also exhibit an increased production of oxygen radicals, which are potentially capable of destroying invading pathogens (Hassett and Cohen, 1989). In the present experiment, lysozyme activity in the serum of L. hieronymusii fed groups was significantly higher at all sampling times including post-challenge, when compared with the control group. A significant difference was also observed between the treated groups at all sampling times. Immunostimulants can increase the non-specific immunity by either increasing the number of phagocytes or activating phagocytosis and respiratory burst (Shoemaker et al., 1997). Post-challenge with A. hydrophilia showed reduced lysozyme activity when compared with the 30-day pre-challenged specimens, but the reduction was not significant. Many things might happen following challenge but it is necessary to speculate that production of superoxide anions by L. hieronymusii in fish act against A. hydrophilia infection. It has been found that aqueous extract of raw garlic and dried powder scavenges hydroxyl radicals (Yang et al., 1993 and Kim et al., 2001) and superoxide anion (Kim et al., 2001). Similar types of activities might have occurred in the present work.

Immunostimulants can increase serum lysozyme activity, due to either an increase in the number of phagocytes secreting lysozyme or to an increase in the amount of lysozyme synthesized per cell (Engstad et al., 1992). Changes in lysozyme activity are greatly influenced by the potency and type of immunostimulants to which fish are exposed. Elevation of lysozyme following immunostimulation has been demonstrated in a number of fish species (Lapatra et al., 1998 and Paulsen et al., 2003). Lysozyme activity was elevated significantly in the groups of fish fed all three levels of L. hieronymusii when compared with the control. Serum bactericidal activity was also enhanced in all treated groups when compared with the control group. Many investigators have reported enhanced bactericidal activity by the phagocytic cells of different fish species treated with immunostimulants (Jorgensen *et al.*, 1993).

The number of leucocytes is known to increase sharply when infections occur, as one of the first lines of body defense. The increase in total white blood cell counts, and neutrophils, lymphocytes and monocytes counts following 20-day cyanobacteria feeding supports the antiinfection properties of cyanobacyetia (Iranloye, 2002). The erythrocyte count increased with the administration of cyanobacteria, which might indicate an immunostimulant effect and the findings conform to those by Duncan and Klesius (1996) who reported that the number of erythrocytes was significantly greater in channel catfish fed with a diet containing b-glucan. The haemoglobin content in the blood and oxygen consumption increases when fishes are under stress. Under such conditions there will be an increase in release of immature RBCs from the haemopoietic organs, which in turn elevate haemoglobin concentration in blood (Duncan and Klesius, 1996). In our experiment, the change in haemoglobin content was not significant from control, which indicates the fish was not under stress.

The serum total protein after long-term feeding with *L. hieronymusii* increased in comparison to the control diet. Siwicki (1989) observed an increase in total protein content after feeding of b-glucan (0.2%) and chitosan (0.5%) in the diet. Serum albumin and globulin values in fish fed with *L hieronymusii* were higher than the control. Increases in serum protein, albumin and globulin levels are thought to be associated with a stronger innate immune response of fish (Wiegertjes *et al.*, 1996).

Dietary garlic decreases blood glucose by increasing the level of serum insulin (Chang and Johnson, 1980 and Ahmed and Sharma, 1997). According to Sheela and Augusti (1992), s-allyl cysteine sulfoxide present in garlic is responsible for its hypoglycaemic activity. Results of the present study indicate that continuous feeding of raw *L hieronymusii* powder fights against stressors, as was evident from the low glucose value in fishes of groups II, III and IV during the experiment. Reduced mortalities against pathogenic challenges at lower dosages of herbal principals were also reported (Kim *et al.*, 2001 and Jain and Wu, 2003). Citarasu *et al.* (2002) developed an Artemia-enriched herbal diet for *Penaeus monodon* with a combination of five herbs, which significantly increased the growth and survival during stress conditions. Several herbs were tested for their growth-promoting activities in aquatic animals (Jayaprakas and Eupharsia, 1996 and Sivaram *et al.*, 2004). It is evident from the present work that *L. hieronymusii* could enhance fish immunity after incorporation in feed, even at a lower dose, i.e. 500 mg/kg of feed.

# Conclusion

The present results suggest that inclusion of L. hieronymusii in the diet would improve the non-specific immunity of fish and prevent bacterial infections in culture systems. Field trials incorporating these doses merit investigation. Further purification of the active compounds and their evaluation may substantially improve quality as well as their usage in the culture system.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest associated with this article.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the Principal and the Head of Department of Zoology, Khadir Mohideen College, Adirampattinam for the facilities provided to carry out this work.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, R.S. and Sharma, S.B. 1997. Biochemical studies on combined effects of garlic Allium sativum (Linn.) and ginger Zingiber offcinale (Rose) in rats. Indian J. Exp. Biol., 35: 841-843.
- Chang, M.L. and Johnson, M.A. 1980. Effect of garlic on carbohydrote metabolism and lipid synthesis in rats. *J. Nutr.*, **110**: 931-936.
- Chung, R.S. and Secombes, R.R. 1988. Determination of immunological analysis of Superoxide anion production of blood. *Clini*. *Immun.*, **6**: 89-94.

- Citarasu, T., Babu, M.M., Raja Jeya Sekar, R. and Marian, M.P. 2002. Developing Artemia enriched herbal diet for producing quality larvae in *Penaeus monodon* Fabricius. *Asian Fish Sci.*, **15**: 21-32.
- Dalmo, R.A. and Seljelid, R. 1995. The immunomodulatory effect of LPS, laminaran and sulphated laminaran [b(1,3)-D-glucan] on Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., macrophages in vitro. *J. Fish Dis.*, **18**: 175-185.
- Desikachary, T.V. 1959. Cyanophyta. Indian Council of Agricultural Research New Delhi, New Delhi.
- Duncan, D.B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*, **11**: 1-42.
- Duncan, P.L. and Klesius, P.H. 1996. Dietary immunostimulants enhance nonspecific immune responses in channel catfish but not resistance to *Edwardsiella ictaluri*. *J. Aquatic Anim. Health.*, 8: 241-248.
- Engstad, R.E., Robertson, B. and Frivold, E. 1992. Yeast glucan induces increase in activity of lysozyme and complement mediated haemolytic activity in Atlantic salmon blood. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.*, **2**: 287-297.
- Fish, S.A. and Codd, G.A. 1994. Bioactive compound production by thermophilic and thermotolerant cyanobacteria (blue green algae). *World J. Microbial Biotech.*, **10**: 338-347.
- Hassett, D.J. and Cohen, M.S. 1989. Bacterial adoption to oxidative stress: implications of pathogenesis and interaction with phagocytic cells. *Fed. American Soc. Exp. Biol.*, 3: 1574-1581.
- Hendricks, L.J. 1952. Erythrocytes counts and haemoglobin determinations for the two species of sucker, genus Catostomus from Colorado. *Copeia*, **4**: 265-266.
- Iranloye, B.O. 2002. Effect of chronic cyanobacteria feeding on some haematological parameters. *African J. Biomed. Res.*, **5**: 81-82.
- Jain, J. and Wu, Z. 2003. Effect of traditional Chinese medicine on nonspecific immunity

and disease resistance of large yellow croaker *Psedcosciaena crocea* (Richardson). *Aquacul.*, **218**: 1-9.

- Jayaprakas, V. and Eupharsia, J. 1996. Growth performance of *Labeo rohita* (Ham.) Livol, an herbal product. *Proc. Indian Natl. Sci. Acad.*, **63**: 1-10.
- Jorgensen, J.B., Sharp, G.J.E., Secombes, C.J. and Robertsen, B. 1993. Effect of a yeast cell wall glucan on the bactericidal activity of rainbow trout macrophages. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.*, **3**: 267-277.
- Kajita, Y., Sakai, M., Atsuta, S. and Kobayash, M. 1990. The immunostimulatory effects of levamisole on rainbow trout, *Oncorhnchus mykiss. Fish Pathol.*, 25: 93-98.
- Kim, K.M., Chun, S.B., Koo, M.S., Choi, W.J., Kim, T.W., Kwon, Y.G., Chung, H.T., Billiar, T.R. and Kim, Y.M. 2001. Differential regulation of NO availability from macrophages and endothelial cells by the garlic component S-allyl cysteine. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.*, **30**: 747–756.
- Kishimoto, T.K., Jutila, M.A., Berg, E.L. and Butcher, E.C. 1989. Neutrophil MAC-1 and MEL-14 adhesion proteins inversely regulated by chemotactic factors. *Science*, 245: 1238-1241.
- Lapatra, S.E., Lauda, K.A., Jones, G.R., Sjhewmaker, W.S. and Bayne, C.J. 1998. Resistance to IHN virus infection in rainbow trout is increased by glucan while subsequent production of serum neutralizing activity is decreased. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.*, 8: 435– 446.
- Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L. and Randall, R.J. 1951. Protein measurement with Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem., 193: 256.
- Magnuson, D.K., Weintraub, A., Pohlman, T.H. and Maier, R.V. 1989. Human endothelial cell adhesiveness for neutrophils, induced by *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide in vivo, is inhibited by *Bacteroides fragilis* lipopolysaccharide. J. Immunol., 143: 3024-3033.

- Mundt, S. and Teuscher, E. 1988. Blue-green algae as a source of pharmacologically active compound. *Pharmazie*, **43**: 809-815.
- Mundt, S., Kreitlow, S., Nowotny, A. and Effmert, U. 2001. Biological and pharmacological investigation of selected cyanobacteria. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Heth.*, 203: 327-334.
- Ostensvik, O., Skulberg, O.M., Underal, B. and Hormazabal, V. 1998. Antibacterial properties of extracts from selected planktonic freshwater cyanobacteria - a comparative study of bacterial bioassays. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, **84**: 1117-1124.
- Parry, R.M., Chandan, R.C. and Shahani, K.M. 1965. A rapid and sensitive assay of muramidase. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol.*, (N.Y.) 119: 384-386.
- Paulsen, S.M., Lunde, H., Engstad, R.E. and Robertsen, B. 2003. In vivo effects of glucan and LPS on regulation of lysozyme activity and mRNA expression in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar L.*). *Fish Shellfish Immunol.*, 14: 39-54.
- Pedraza-Chaverri, J., Maldonada, P.D., Medina-Campos, O.N., Olivares-Corichi, I.M., Granados-Silvestre, M.A., Hernandez-Pando, R. and Ibarra-Rubio, M.E. 2000. Garlic ameliorates gentamicin nephrotoxicity: relation to antioxidant enzymes. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.*, **29**: 602-611.
- Raa, J. 1996. The use of immunostimulatory substances in fish and shellfish farming. *Rev. Fish Sci.*, 4: 229–288.
- Rahman, K. 2003. Garlic and aging: a new insights into an old remedy. *Ageing Res. Rev.*, **2**: 39-56.
- Schlegel, I., Doan, N.T., De Chazol, N. and Smith, G.D. 1997. Antibiotic activity of new cyanobacterial isolates from Australia and Asia against green algae and cyanobacteria. J. Appl. Phycol., 10: 471-479.
- Schmidt, F.H. 1974. Methodender, Harn- and Blutzucker Bestimmung II. In: Boehringer Mannheim GmbH analysis protocol. Lehmann Verlag Munich., 2: 238.

- Shaw, A.F. 1930. A direct method for counting the leucocytes, thrombocytes and erythrocytes of birds blood. *J. Path. Bact.*, **33**: 833-835.
- Sheela, C.G. and Augusti, K.T. 1992. Antidiabetic effects of S-allyl cysteine sulphoxide isolated from garlic *Allium sativum. Indian J. Exp. Biol.*, **30**: 523-526.
- Shoemaker, C.A., Klesius, P.H. and Plumb, J.A. 1997. Killing of *Edwardsiella ictaluri* by macrophages from channel catfish immune and susceptible to entiric septicemia of catfish. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.*. 58: 181-190.
- Sivaram, V., Babu, M.M., Immanuel, G., Murugadass, S., Citarasu, T. and Marian, M.P. 2004. Growth and immune response of juvenile greasy groupers (*Epinephelus tauvina*) fed with herbal antibacterial active

principle supplemented diets against *Vibrio* harveyi infections. Aquacul., 237: 9-20.

- Siwicki, A.K. 1989. Immunostimulating influence of levamisole on non-specific immunity in carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Dev. Comp. Immunol.*, **13**: 87-91.
- Van Kampen, E.J. and Zijlstra, W.G. 1961. Recommendations for haemoglobinometry in Human blood. *British J. Haematol.*, **13** (71): 150.
- Wiegertjes, G.F., Stet, R.J.M., Parmentier, H.K. and Vas Muiswinkel, W.B. 1996. Immunogenetics of disease resistance in fish: a comparable approach. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.*, **20**: 365-381.
- Yang, G.C., Yasaei, M.P. and Page, S.W. 1993. Garlic as antioxidant and free radical scavenger. J. Food Drug Anal., 1: 357-364.

#### Cite this article as:

Ramamurthy, V., Jayanthi, G., Gowri, R., Maria Rajeswari, D., Vadivazhagi, M.K. and Raveendran, S. 2013. Effect of Lyngbya hieronymusii on Immunity and Survival of Aeromonas hydrophilia infected Platycephalus gibbosus. Int. J. Pure Appl. Zool., 1(2): 151-159.