

Cross-cultural implications of experimental cancer immunotherapies.

Wen Fei*

Department of Molecular Pathology, Hospital of Zhengzhou University, China

Correspondence to: Wen Fei, Department of Molecular Pathology, Hospital of Zhengzhou University, China, E-mail: wenfei2018@126.com

Received: 02-Apr-2025, Manuscript No. AAICR-25-169270; **Editor assigned:** 03-Apr-2025, Pre QC No. AAICR-25-169270(PQ); **Reviewed:** 18-Apr-2025, QC No. AAICR-25-169270; **Revised:** 24-Apr-2025, Manuscript No. AAICR-25-169270(R); **Published:** 30-Apr-2025, DOI: 10.35841/aaicr-8.2.194

Introduction

Cancer immunotherapy has revolutionized treatment paradigms by harnessing the body's own immune system to target and destroy cancer cells. From checkpoint inhibitors to personalized vaccines and CAR-T cell therapy, experimental approaches continue to expand. Yet, alongside the scientific breakthroughs lies a multifaceted challenge: understanding and addressing the cross-cultural implications of these therapies. Different societies interpret health, illness, and medical innovation through distinct cultural lenses, influencing how immunotherapies are perceived, adopted, and accessed globally [1].

Experimental cancer immunotherapies are typically developed in high-resource settings. Their introduction into diverse cultural contexts reveals disparities in: For example, CAR-T therapy may be considered miraculous in some contexts, but viewed with skepticism or spiritual concern in others. Cultural beliefs about immunity, bodily integrity, and intervention influence receptiveness to such treatments. In many non-Western societies, traditional healing systems—such as Ayurveda, Traditional Chinese Medicine, or spiritual healing practices—still guide medical decision-making [2].

This friction affects not only individual choices but also policy and institutional support for adopting experimental immunotherapies. Cultural notions of autonomy, authority, and communal responsibility shape consent processes: In Western frameworks, individual autonomy dominates. In some cultures, family elders or community leaders play key roles in medical decisions. Concepts like fate, karma, or spiritual trial may interfere with risk-benefit calculations. Thus, global clinical trials require culturally sensitive informed consent protocols that

respect varying beliefs while ensuring ethical integrity [3].

Experimental immunotherapies often come with: High cost (CAR-T can exceed \$400,000), Complex production (e.g., autologous T cell engineering), Specialized facilities. These barriers create geographic and socioeconomic inequities, especially for Indigenous, rural, or marginalized populations. Cross-cultural bioethics demand frameworks that address: Fair allocation of trials [4].

Immunotherapies often rely on HLA typing, neoantigen discovery, and tumor microenvironment profiling. These parameters vary across populations due to: Genetic ancestry, Environmental exposure, Lifestyle and microbiome composition. For instance, African and Asian populations display distinct immune expression profiles that may influence therapy outcomes. Inclusion of diverse genetic data in clinical trials is essential to avoid biased efficacy and safety assumptions [5].

Conclusion

These metaphors shape how people approach treatments, especially novel ones like immunotherapy. Culturally adapted communication campaigns—with attention to language, imagery, and messaging—can help educate diverse communities about the promise and limitations of immunotherapy. These skills enable providers to collaborate effectively across cultures and foster inclusive care environments. Oncology curricula are beginning to incorporate global health and anthropological perspectives to prepare clinicians for these realities. Understanding and respecting these narratives fosters alignment between medical recommendations and patient values [5].

References

1. Ahorsu DK, Lin CY, Imani V, et al. The fear of COVID-19 scale: development and initial validation. *Int J Mental Health Addiction*. 2020;1-9.
2. Liston A, Humblet-Baron S, Duffy D, et al. Human immune diversity: from evolution to modernity. *Nat Immunol*. 2021;22(12):1479-89.
3. Schaller M. The behavioural immune system and the psychology of human sociality. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Society B: Biol Sci*. 2011;366(1583):3418-26.
4. Makhanova A, Shepherd MA. Behavioral immune system linked to responses to the threat of COVID-19. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 2020;167:110221.
5. Shook NJ, Sevi B, Lee J, et al. Disease avoidance in the time of COVID-19: The behavioral immune system is associated with concern and preventative health behaviors. *PloS one*. 2020;15(8):e0238015.