# Correlation between physical signs and clinical symptoms with *Giardia lamblia* genotyping.

Noha Sammer Ahmed, Hanaa Ahmed El-Hady, Hisham Ibrahim Osman, Amal Mostafa Ahmed\*

Medical Parasitology Department, Sohag University, Egypt

## Abstract

Background: *Giardia lamblia* is an intestinal parasite found in a wide range of mammals, including humans. It is one of the most frequent gastrointestinal pathogens that may cause many clinical and other complications.

Objective: To determine the relationship between every *Giardia* sub-assemblage with the clinical picture and physical measurements of the cases. Measurement of some physical features, like weight and height using growth charts for weight, height, weight for height and body mass index.

Methodology: Case history, information about age, gender, and locality were collected and a questionnaire survey about diarrhea, vomiting, abdominal colic, abdominal distension and failure to thrive were done for 93 patients infected with *G. lamblia* molecularly after DNA extraction from stool samples by using (QiAmp® DNA stool Mini kit (Qiagen, UK). Amplification of glutamate dehydrogenase gene using specific primers by semi-nested PCR thermal cycler technique and Restriction of the DNA fragments using two restriction fragment enzymes (NIaIV and RsaI restriction fragment enzymes) was performed. This was followed by measurement of some physical features, like weight and height using growth charts for weight, height, weight for height and body mass index. The cases were taken randomly.

Result: Both *Giardia* assemblage B and *Giardia* assemblage A were poly-symptomatic, especially *Giardia* assemblage B. Diarrhea, abdominal distension, abdominal colic, failure to thrive and the decrease in weight for age, weight for height and body mass index were associated with both the types but more with assemblage B as compared to assemblage A. The decrease in height for age was also associated with both types but more with assemblage A.

Keywords: Clinical picture, Giardia lamblia, Physical features, Primers, Assemblage.

Accepted January 17, 2020

## Introduction

*Giardia lamblia* is one of the most common diarrhea-related parasites in almost all vertebrates, including humans [1]. It affects all age groups; with prevalence rate varying from (2%-5%) in the industrialized world and (20%-30%) in the developing countries. It is more prevalent among children [2].

*Giardia* is transmitted by the fecal-oral route following direct or indirect exposure to the cyst, through the ingestion of contaminated food, drinking contaminated water [3]. Person to person transmissions may happen directly by fecal-oral contact among family members, children in day-care center and schools [4] and by sexual practices of adults [5]. The parasite has a global distribution with 250-300 million symptomatic human infections reported annually, and its impact is more pronounced in the developing countries, where it is usually associated with poor socioeconomic conditions [6].

Giardiasis can present with a broad range of clinical manifestations from asymptomatic, to acute or chronic diarrheal disease associated with abdominal pain and nausea [7]. Children and immunocompromised individuals are the most affected by *Giardia* spp. The disease has been associated with growth, nutrition, and cognitive retardation in children in poor, disadvantaged settings [8]. The chronic infection of *Giardia* during childhood is mainly due to protein-energy malnutrition, vitamin A deficiency, anemia, mineral deficiency [9].

In 2004, the World Health Organization (WHO) recognized giardiasis as a neglected disease associated with poverty and impaired development [10].

Based on recent molecular and phylogenetic evidence, *G. lamblia* is currently regarded as a species complex consisting of eight (A to H) distinct genetic groups or assemblages with marked differences in host range and specificity [11].

## **Materials and Methods**

## Study type

The experimental study was performed at the laboratories of Parasitology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Sohag University and Molecular Biology Center at Assuit University, from October 2017 to October 2018. DNA samples were isolated from the stools of 100 patients infected with *G. lamblia* (after examination by copromicroscopy) and were amplified by using PCR. The 93 positive samples after PCR, were digested with NlaIV and RsaI fermentase restriction fragment enzymes. The samples were noted down. According to the residence, the cases were divided into urban and rural cases.

Case history and questionnaire surveys were done for cases included in the study. Measurement of some physical features,

*Citation:* Ahmed NS, El-Hady HA, Osman HI, et al. Correlation between physical signs and clinical symptoms with Giardia lamblia genotyping. J Parasit Dis Diagn Ther. 2020;5(1):1-6.

like weight and height using growth charts for weight, height, weight for height and body mass index for every case was included in the study. These growth charts were according to the world health organization site.

The research team followed the ethical standards of confidentiality and freedom to participate. The respondents or their parents were informed that the study was voluntary and they were assured that their privacy would be protected and all of them gave written consent for taking and studying their specimens.

#### Parasitological examination

100 stool samples of patients infected with *Giardia*, were examined using saline and iodine wet mount and all the samples showed positive results.

#### Molecular examination

Genomic DNA was extracted from stool samples by using DNA extraction (QiAmp® DNA stool Mini kit (Qiagen, UK), amplification of glutamate dehydrogenase gene using specific primers by semi-nested PCR thermal cycler technique and Restriction of the DNA fragments by using two restriction fragments enzymes (NIaIV and RsaI restriction fragment enzymes) were performed. The results are shown in Tables 1-11.

**Table 1.** The relation between weight and sub-assemblages AI, AII,
 BIII, BIV and mixed A and B.

Sub- assemblage	Sub- assemblage Al	Sub- assemblage All	Sub- assemblage BIII	Sub- assemblage BIV	Mixed A and B						
Weight >50 percentile	2 (2.2%)	13 (14.0%)	10 (10.8%)	5 (5.4%)	7 (7.5%)						
Weight <50 percentile	1 (1.1%)	7 (7.5%)	5 (5.4%)	8 (8.6%)	10 (10.8%)						
Weight equal to 50 percentile	2 (2.2%)	5 (5.4%)	6 (6.5%)	3 (3.2%)	9 (9.7%)						
p-value		0.03	8 (significant)								
Chi-square (χ²)		2.51									

**Table 2.** The relation between height and sub-assemblages AI, AII,BIII, BIV and mixed A and B.

Sub- assemblage	Sub- assemblage Al	Sub- assemblage All	Sub- assemblage BIII	Sub- assemblage BIV	Mixed A and B
Height > 50 percentile	2 (2.2%)	6 (6.5%)	11 (11.8%)	5 (5.4%)	7 (7.5%)
Height < 50 percentile	3 (3.2%)	18 (19.3%)	8 (8.6%)	9 (9.7%)	12 (12.8 )
Height equal to 50 percentile	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.1%)	2 (2.2%)	2 (2.2%)	7 (7.5%)
p-value		0.001 (	highly significa	int)	
Chi-square (χ²)			23.29		

*Table 3.* The relation between weight for height and sub-assemblages *AI*, *AII*, *BIII*, *BIV* and mixed *A* and *B*.

Sub- assemblage	Sub- assemblage Al	Sub- assemblage All	Sub- assemblage BIII	Sub- assemblage BIV	Mixed A and B
Weight for height >50 percentile	1 (1.1%)	11 (11.8%)	7 (7.5%)	7 (7.5%)	10 (10.8%)

J Parasit Dis Diagn Ther 2020 Volume 5 Issue 1

Chi-square (χ²)	28.32									
p-value		0.001 (	Highly significa	ant)						
Weight for height equal to 50 percentile	or height jual to 50 0 (0.0%)		5 (5.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.2%)					
Weight for height <50 percentile	4 (4.3%)	13 (14.0%)	9 (9.7%)	9 (9.7%)	14 (15.1%)					

*Table 4.* The relation between body mass index and assemblages A and B assemblages, sub-assemblages and mixed.

Sub- assemblage	Sub- assemblage Al	Sub- assemblage All	Sub- assemblage BIII	Sub- assemblage BIV	Mixed A and B
Body mass index > 50 percentile	2 (2.2%)	12 (12.9%)	8 (8.6%)	6 (6.5%)	9 (9.7%)
Body mass index < 50 percentile	1 (1.1%)	11 (11.8%)	11 (11.8%)	9 (9.7%)	13 (14.0%)
Body mass index equal to 50 percentile	2 (2.2%)	2 (2.2%) 2 (2.2%) 2 (2.2%) 1 (1.1%)		1 (1.1%)	4 (4.3%)
p-value		0.001 (	Highly significa	ant)	
Chi-square (χ²)			20.38		

*Table 5.* The relation between grades of diarrhea and sub-assemblages AI, AII, BIII, BIV and mixed A and B.

Sub- assemblage	Sub- assemblage Al	Sub- assemblage All	Sub- assemblage BIII	Sub- assemblage BIV	Mixed A and B
Mild diarrhea	4 (4.3%)	13 (13.8%)	11 (11.8%)	9 (9.7%)	15 (16.1%)
Moderate diarrhea	0 (0.0%)	7 (7.5%)	9 (9.7%)	6 (6.5%)	9 (9.7%)
Severe diarrhea	1 (1.1%)	3 (3.2%)	2 (2.2%)	2 (2.2%)	2 (2.2%)
p-value		0.0	3 (significant)		
Chi-square (χ²)			34.12		

*Table 6.* The relation between type of diarrhea and sub-assemblages AI, AII, BIII, BIV and mixed A, B.

Sub- assemblage	Sub- assemblage Al	Sub- assemblage All	Sub- assemblage BIII	Sub- assemblage BIV	Mixed A and B	
Acute diarrhea	2 (2.2%)	22 (23.7%)	19 (20.4%)	10 (10.8%)	19 (20.4%)	
Chronic diarrhea	3 (3.2%)	3 (3.2%)	2 (2.2%)	6 (6.5%)	7 (7.5%)	
p-value		0.0	03 (significant)			
Chi-square (x²)			15.76			

**Table 7.** The relation between abdominal distension and subassemblages AI, AII, BIII, BIV and mixed (A, B).

Sub- assemblage	Sub- assemblage Al	Sub- assemblage All	Sub- assemblage BIII	Sub- assemblage BIV	Mixed A and B					
Abdominal distension	0 (0.0%)	7 (7.5%)	8 (8.6%)	6 (6.5%)	5 (5.4%)					
No abdominal distension	5 (5.4%)	18 (19.4%)	13 (14.0%)	10 (10.8%)	21 (22.6%)					
p-value		0.001 (H	lighly significa	nt)						
Chi-square (χ²)	18.75									

		0										
Sub-assemblage	Sub-assemblage Al	Sub-assemblage All	Sub-assemblage BIII	Sub-assemblage BIV	Mixed A and B							
Abdominal colic	5 (5.4%)	24 (25.8%)	19 (20.4%)	13 (14.0%)	23 (24.7%)							
No abdominal colic	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 1 (1.1%) 2 (2.2%) 3 (3.2%)										
p-value		0	.001 (Highly significant)		·							
Chi-square (χ²)		60.48										

Table 8. The relation between abdominal colic and sub-assemblages AI, AII, BIII, BIV and mixed A, B.

Table 9. The relation between failure to thrive and sub-assemblages AI, AII, BIII, BIV and mixed A, B.

Sub-assemblage	Sub-assemblage Sub-assemblage Al		Sub-assemblage BIII	Sub-assemblage BIV	Mixed A and B
Failure to thrive	2 (2.2%)	6 (6.5%)	5 (5.4%)	8 (8.6%)	11 (11.8%)
No failure to thrive	3 (3.2%)	19 (20.4%)	16 (17.2%)	8 (8.6%)	15 (16.1%)
p-value			0.03 (significant)	·	
Chi-square (χ²)			7.83		

Table 10. The relationship between anthropometric parameters with genotypes.

		Ger	nder	Loca	ality	Grad	des of diar	rhea	Types of	f diarrhea		ominal ension	Abdo Co			ure to rive
		Female	Male	Rural	Urban	Severe Diarrhea	Moderate diarrhea	Mild diarrhea	Chronic diarrhea		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Assemblage	Sub- assemblage Al	2 (2.1%)	3 (3.2%)	4 (4.3%)	1 (1.1%)	1 ( 1.1%)	0	4 ( 4.3%)	3 (3.2%)	2 (2.2%)	0	5 (5.4%)	5 (5.4%)	0	2 (2.2%)	3 (3.2%)
A	Sub- assemblage All	8 (8.6%)	17 (18.2%)	18 (19.4%)	7 (7.5%)	3 (3.2%)	7 (7.5%)	13 (13.8%)	3 (3.2%)	22 (23.7%)	7 (7.5%)	18 (19.4%)	24 (25.8%)	1 (1.1%)	6 (6.5%)	19 (20.4%)
Assemblage	Sub- assemblage BIII	7 (7.5%)	14 (15%)	19 ( 20.4%)	2 (2.2%)	2 (2.2%)	9 (9.7%)	11 (11.8%)	2 (2.2%)	19 (20.4%)	8 (8.6%)	13 (14%0	19 (19.4%)	2 (2.2%)	5 (5.4%)	16 (17.2%)
В	Sub- assemblage BIV	4 (4.4%)	12 (12.9%)	13 (14%)	3 (3.2%)	2 (2.2%)	6 (6.5%)	9 (9.7%)	6 (6.5%)	10 (10.8%)	6 (6.5%)	10 (10.8%)	13 (13.4%)	3 (3.2%)	8 (8.6%)	8 (8.6%)
Mixed A and B		12 ( 12.9%)	14 ( 15%)	20 (21.5%)	6 (6.4%)	2 (2.2%)	9 (9.7%)	15 (16.1%)	7 (7.5%)	19 (20.4%)	5 (5.4%)	21 (22.6%)	23 (24.7%)	3 (3.2%)	11 (11.8%)	15 (16.1%)
p-value		0.05 (signifi cant)		0.03 (signifi cant)		0.03 (signifi cant)		(się	0.03 (signifi cant) 0.001 (highly significant)		· • •	0.001 (highly significant)				
Chi-square (χ²)		7.	83	32.	52		34.12		15	.76	18.75		60.48		7.83	

 Table 11. The relationship between symptoms with genotype.

			Weight			Height		We	ight for hei	ght	Body mass index			
		>50 percentile	<50 percentile	=50 percentile	>50 percentile	<50 percentile	=50 percentile	>50 percentile	<50 percentile	=50 percentile	>50 percentile	<50 percentile	=50 percentile	
Assem-	Sub- assemblage Al	2 (2.2%)	1 (1.1%)	2 (2.2%)	2 (2.2%)	3 (3.2%)	0	1 (1.1)	4 (4.3%)	0	2 (2.2%)	1 (1.1)	2 (2.2%)	
blage A	Sub- assemblage All	13 (14%)	7 (7.5%)	5 (5.4%)	6 (6.5%)	18 (19.3)	1 (1.1%)	11 (11.8)	13 (14%)	1 (1.1%)	12 (12.9)	11 (11.8)	2 (2.2%)	
Assem-	Sub- assemblage BIII	10 (10.8)	5 (5.4%)	6 (6.5%)	11 (11.8)	8 (8.6%)	2 (2.2%)	7 (7.5%)	9 (9.7%)	5 (5.4%)	8 (8.6%)	11 (11.8)	2 (2.2%)	
blage B	Sub- assemblage BIV	5 (5.4%)	8 (8.6%)	3 (3.2%)	5 (5.4%)	9 (9.7%)	2 (2.2%)	7 (7.5%)	9 (9.7%)	0	6 (6.5%)	9 (9.7%)	1 (1.1%)	
Mixed A and B		7 (7.5%)	10 (10.8)	9 (9.7%)	7 (7.5%)	12 (12.8)	7 (7.5%)	10 (10.8)	14 (15.1)	2 (2.2%)	9 (9.7%)	13 (14%)	4 (4.3%)	
р	-value	0.03 (significant)			0.001 (highly significant)		0.001 (highly significant)			0.001 (highly significant)				
Chi-s	-square (χ <sup>2</sup> ) 2.51 23.29 28.32		20.38	20.38										

## Discussion

The intestinal protozoan *Giardia lamblia* is frequently found in diarrheal disease throughout the world affecting humans and other mammalian species [12].

93 samples were found to be positive molecularly after amplification of the glutamate dehydrogenase (gdh) gene using

two restriction fragments enzymes (NIaIV and RsaI restriction fragments enzymes).

In the present study, it was found that patients with *Giardia* assemblage B (BIII, BIV) were more poly-symptomatic than assemblage A (AI, AII). These results agreed with [13] in Italy, [14] in Argentina, [2] in Egypt, and [15] in Cuba, and disagreed

*Citation:* Ahmed NS, El-Hady HA, Osman HI, et al. Correlation between physical signs and clinical symptoms with Giardia lamblia genotyping. J Parasit Dis Diagn Ther. 2020;5(1):1-6.

with [16] in Equador and [17] in Portugal who found no correlation between gastrointestinal manifestations and *Giardia* assemblages.

In regards to the severity of diarrhea, the current results showed that mild diarrhea was higher in prevalence than moderate and severe. The predominant assemblage here in all types of diarrhea was assemblage B (42.1%). The results also showed that acute and chronic diarrhea, (31.2%) and (8.7%) respectively were associated mainly with assemblage B and the prevalence of acute diarrhea is more than chronic diarrhea. These results agreed with [18] in Ethiopia, [19] in Saudia Arabia, [2] and [15] in Cuba who assumed that assemblage B is associated with all types of diarrhea especially from moderate to severe types.

On the other hand, the results of this study disagreed with [16] in Equador who found no correlation between digestive manifestations and *Giardia* assemblages, [17] in Portugal and [20] in Bangladesh who found that diarrhea was more associated with assemblage A than assemblage B and [21] in Thailand, found that all subjects with AI assemblage were symptomatic while only 50% of the subjects with BIII assemblages were symptomatic.

In the present study, in regards relation to Giardia assemblages and sub-assemblages with abdominal distension, abdominal colic and failure to thrive: for abdominal distension only (28%) are affected, assemblage B (15.1%), assemblage A (AII, 7.5%), and mixed (A+B) (5.4%), the most predominant sub-assemblage is BIII (8.6%). For abdominal colic (90.3%) were affected, assemblage B (34.4%), assemblage (A 31.2%), and mixed (A+B) (24.7%). Moreover, this study showed that failure to thrive was associated with all assemblages and sub-assemblages of Giardia lamblia by different ratios, mainly assemblage B (14%) was associated more than mixed (A+B) (11.8%) and A (8.7%). From the previous results regarding diarrhea, abdominal distension, abdominal colic, failure to thrive, and abdominal colic is the second most common complaint affecting (90.3%) cases after diarrhea, followed by failure to thrive affecting (34.5%) cases, and lastly, abdominal distension affecting (28%) cases.

These results agreed with [22] in Egypt, [1] in Sewed, [2] in Egypt, [23] in Spain and [15] in Cuba, who assumed that assemblage B was more associated with clinical manifestations than assemblage A.

These results disagreed with [24], in India, who stated that giardiasis was associated with little gastrointestinal symptoms, [25] in London who assumed that both assemblages A and assemblage B caused similar illness, [26] in Rwanda, who assumed that children infected with assemblage A were more associated with abdominal pain, while those infected with Assemblage B had clinically assessed severe malnutrition and disagreed with [27] in Iran who found that both assemblages caused similar illness, but assemblage AII was more frequently associated with abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting.

In regards of relation to *Giardia* assemblages and subassemblages with some physical features like weight for age (indicate underweight), height for age (indicate stunting), weight for height (indicate wasting) and body mass index

(indicate wasting also but with more confirmation): the present cases are classified into 3 groups, the first group whose measurements were more than 50 percentile, The second group whose measurements equal to 50 percentile and the third group whose measurements are less than 50 percentile. The first two groups helped us as we could consider them as an indicator for the non-affected individuals with *Giardia lamblia* infection. In the third group, we can consider it as an indicator of association with *Giardia lamblia* infection.

In regards to weight for age, the results indicated that for weight less than 50 percentile {assemblage B (14%), mixed (A+B) (10.8%), and assemblage A (8.6%)}, the predominant sub-assemblage was sub-assemblage BIV (8.6%).

In regards to height for age, (53.8%) of individuals were affected. In height less than 50 percentile {assemblage A (22.6%), assemblage B (18.3%) and mixed (A+B) (12.9%)} the predominant sub-assemblage was sub-assemblage AII (19.4%).

In regards to weight for height, (52.8%) of individuals were affected. In weight for height less than 50 percentile {assemblage B (19.4%) assemblage A (18.3%), and (A+B) (15.1%)}, the predominant sub-assemblage was assemblage AII (14%).

In regards to body mass index, (48.4%) of individuals were affected. In body mass index less than 50 percentile {assemblage B (21.5%), mixed (A+B) (14%) and, assemblage A (12.9%)} the predominant sub-assemblage was sub-assemblage AII (11.8%) and sub-assemblage BIII (11.8%).

The results of this study showed that there was a decrease in weight, height, weight for height, and body mass index of children infected with *Giardia* in different proportions.

This was consistent with previous findings in many different studies as [28] in Brazil, [29] in Peru [30] in Malaysia, [31] in Iran, [32] in Colombia, and [33] in Turkey. All these results found a strong association between *Giardia* infection and undernutrition, wasting and stunting among children but without determining assemblages of *Giardia lamblia*.

Also, there was a previous study among Brazilian children which showed that *Giardia*-infected children had a double risk for stunted growth as compared to other children [34].

The results in this study disagreed with [35] in Gambia and [36], they found that there was no significant association between *Giardia lamblia* infection and malnutrition. The difference with these results could be attributed to the low prevalence of *G. lamblia* reported by these studies as compared to the present study.

## Conclusion

The results in this study showed that there was an association with some physical features like underweight, stunting and wasting with *Giardia lamblia* infection. However, it is very probable that *Giardia* infection is one of the several factors associated with low nutritional status, together with sanitary and socioeconomic conditions.

Lastly, according to our knowledge, the present study is the first study that tried to find an association between *Giardia* lamblia assemblages and the previous physical features.

# References

- Lebbad M, Petersson I, Karlsson L, et al. Multilocus genotyping of human *Giardia* isolates suggests limited zoonotic transmission and association between assemblage B and flatulence in children. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2011;5(8):e1262.
- Mohran AF, Rashed SM, Nasr ME, et al. Genotyping of *Giardia lamblia* in human and animal feces in Qaliopia governate. Egypt J Med Sci. 2013;34(2):639-55.
- 3. Garcia LS. Intestinal protozoa: flagellates and ciliates *Giardia lamblia*, Diagnostic medical parasitology. 6th edition. 2016;(22):584-98.
- 4. Duffy TL, Montenegro-Bethancourt G, Solomons NW, et al. Prevalence of giardiasis in children attending semi-urban daycare centres in Guatemala and comparison of 3 *Giardia* detection tests. J Health Popul Nutr. 2013;31(2):290-03.
- 5. Escobedo AA, Almirall P, Alfonso M, et al. Sexual transmission of giardiasis: a neglected route of spread? Acta Trop. 2014;132:106-11.
- 6. Einarsson E, Ma'ayeh S, Svärd SG. An update on *Giardia* and giardiasis. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2016;34:47-52.
- Halliez MCM, Buret AG. Extra-intestinal and long term consequences of *Giardia duodenalis* infections. World J Gastroenterol. 2013;19(47):8974-85.
- Rogawski ET, Bartelt LA, Platts-Mills JA, et al. Determinants and impact of *Giardia* infection in the first 2 years of life in the MAL-ED birth cohort. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc. 2017;6(2):153-60.
- Gendrel D, Treluyer JM, Richard-Lenoble D. Parasitic diarrhea in normal and malnourished children. Fundam Clin Pharmacol. 2003;17(2):189-97.
- Savioli L, Smith H, Thompson A. *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* join the 'Neglected diseases initiative. Trends Parasitol. 2006;22(5):203-8.
- 11. Feng Y, Xiao L. Zoonotic potential and molecular epidemiology of *Giardia* species and giardiasis. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2011;24(1):110-40.
- Ryan, U, Caccio SM. Zoonotic potential of *Giardia*. Int J Parasitol. 2013;43(12-13):943-56.
- Caccio SM, Ryan U. Molecular epidemiology of giardiasis. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2008;160(2):75-80.
- 14. Molina N, Minvielle M, Grenovero S, et al. High prevalence of infection with *Giardia intestinalis* assemblage B among children in urban and rural areas of Argentina. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2011;105(4):299-09.
- 15. Puebla LJ, Nunez FA, García AB, et al. Prevalence of *Giardia duodenalis* among children from a central region of Cuba: molecular characterization and associated risk factors. J Parasit Dis. 2017;41(2):405-13.
- 16. Garcia LE, Galvan SC, Jimenez-Cardoso E. Phylogenetic

distance between *Giardia intestinalis* isolates from symptomatic and asymptomatic children. Rev Invest Clin. 2002;54(2):113-8.

- Sousa MC, Morais JB, Machado JE, et al. Genotyping of *Giardia lamblia* human isolates from portugal by PCR-RFLP and sequencing. J Eukaryot Microbiol. 2006;53(S1):174-6.
- 18. Gelanew T, Lalle M, Hailu A, et al. Molecular characterization of human isolates of *Giardia duodenalis* from Ethiopia. Acta Trop. 2007;102(2):92-9.
- 19. Al-Mohammed HI. Assemblages of *Giardia intestinalis* clinical isolates of gastrointestinal symptomatic and asymptomatic Saudi children. Parasitol Res. 2011;10(6):1375-81.
- 20. Haque R, Roy S, Kabir M, et al. *Giardia* assemblage A infection and diarrhea in Bangladesh. J Infect Dis. 2005;192(12):2171-3.
- 21. Tungtrongchitr A, Sookrung N, Indrawattana N, et al. *Giardia intestinalis* in Thailand: identification of assemblages. HEALTH POPUL NUTR. 2010;28(1):42-52.
- 22. Hafed AE, WM. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and direct stool examination techniques, in diagnosis of *Giardia lamblia* infection (M. Sc. Thesis): Benha University; 2010.
- 23. De Lucio A, Martínez-Ruiz R, Merino FJ, et al. Molecular genotyping of *Giardia duodenalis* isolates from symptomatic individuals attending two major public hospitals in Madrid, Spain. PLoS One. 2015;10(12):1-21.
- 24. Misra V, Misra SP, Dwivedi M, et al. *Giardia lamblia* trophozoites in gastric biopsies. Indian J Pathol Microbiol. 2006;49(4):519-23.
- 25. Breathnach AS, McHugh TD, Butcher PD. Prevalence and clinical correlations of genetic subtypes of Giardia lamblia in an urban setting. Epidemiol Infect. 2010;138(10):1459-67.
- Ignatius R, Gahutu JB, Klotz C, et al. High prevalence of *Giardia duodenalis* assemblage B infection and association with underweight in Rwandan children. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012;6(6):e1677.
- 27. Sarkari B, Ashrafmansori A, Hatam GR, et al. Genotyping of *Giardia lamblia* isolates from human in southern Iran. Trop Biomed. 2012;29(3):366-71.
- Niehaus MD, Moore SR, Patrick PD, et al. Early childhood diarrhea is associated with diminished cognitive function 4 to 7 years later in children in a northeast Brazilian shanty town. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2002;66(5):590-3.
- 29. Simsek Z, Zeyrek FY, Kurcer MA. Effect of *Giardia* infection on growth and psychomotor development of children aged 0-5 years. J Trop Pediatr. 2004;50(2):90-3.
- Al-Mekhlafi MS, Azlin M., Nor Aini U, et al. Giardiasis is a predictor of childhood malnutrition in Orang Asli children in Malaysia. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2005;99(9):686-91.

*Citation:* Ahmed NS, El-Hady HA, Osman HI, et al. Correlation between physical signs and clinical symptoms with Giardia lamblia genotyping. J Parasit Dis Diagn Ther. 2020;5(1):1-6.

- 31. Sadjjadi SM, Tanideh N. Nutritional status of preschool children infected with *Giardia intestinalis*. Iranian J Publ Health. 2005;34(4):51-7.
- 32. Botero-Garces JH, Garcia-Montoya GM, Grisales-Patiño D, et al. *Giardia intestinalis* and nutritional status in children participating in the complementary nutrition program, Antioquia, Colombia, May to October 2006. Rev Inst Med Trop S Paulo. 2009;51(3):155-62.
- Koruk I, Simsek Z, Koruk ST, et al. Intestinal parasites, nutritional status and physchomotor development delay in migratory farm worker's children. Child Care Health Dev. 2010;36(6):888-94.
- Muniz-Junqueira MI, Queiroz EFO. Relationship between protein-energy malnutrition, vitamin A and parasitoses in children living in Brasilia. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2002;35(2):133-41.
- Lunn PG, Erinoso HO, Northrop-Clewes CA, et al. *Giardia* intestinalis is unlikely to be a major cause of the poor growth of rural Gambian infants. J Nutr. 1998;129:872-77.
- Hollm-Delgado MG, Gilman RH, Bern C, et al. Lack of an adverse effect of *Giardia intestinalis* infection on the health of Peruvian children. Am J Epidemiol. 2008;168(6):647-55.

### \*Correspondence to:

Amal Mostafa Ahmed Medical Parasitology Department Sohag University Egypt E-mail: moustafad658@yahoo.com