

Cognitive-behavioral interventions for post-stroke depression.

Sofia Kouris *

Department of Neuroscience, University of Athens, Greece.

*Correspondence to: Sofia Kouris, Department of Neuroscience, University of Athens, Greece, E-mail: sofia.kouris@uoa.gr

Received: 03-Apr-2025, Manuscript No. JNNR-25-169112; Editor assigned: 04-Apr-2025, PreQC No. JNNR-25-1691125(PQ); Reviewed: 18-Apr-2025, QC No JNNR-25-1691125; Revised: 21-Apr-2025, Manuscript No. JNNR-25-1691125(R); Published: 28-Apr-2025, DOI:10.35841/ aajnnr -10.2.256

Introduction

Post-stroke depression is a common and serious complication that can significantly hinder recovery, reduce quality of life, and increase the risk of further disability or mortality among stroke survivors. Characterized by persistent sadness, loss of interest in activities, fatigue, and cognitive difficulties, this condition often arises from a complex interplay of biological, psychological, and social factors following a stroke. Cognitive-behavioral interventions have emerged as an effective, non-pharmacological treatment option aimed at addressing the maladaptive thought patterns and behaviors that contribute to depressive symptoms. By helping individuals reframe negative thinking, develop problem-solving skills, and engage in meaningful activities, these interventions can play a crucial role in supporting both emotional and functional recovery after stroke [1].

Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), the most widely recognized cognitive-behavioral intervention, operates on the principle that thoughts, emotions, and behaviors are interconnected. In the context of post-stroke depression, patients may develop negative beliefs about their abilities, future prospects, or self-worth, which in turn can exacerbate emotional distress and reduce engagement in rehabilitation. CBT sessions typically involve identifying and challenging these negative thought patterns, replacing

them with more realistic and adaptive perspectives. For example, a stroke survivor who believes “I will never be independent again” might be encouraged to focus on small, achievable goals and recognize incremental improvements. This shift in mindset can enhance motivation, foster hope, and create a more positive outlook on the recovery process [2].

Behavioral activation is another key component of cognitive-behavioral interventions for post-stroke depression. Following a stroke, individuals may withdraw from social activities, hobbies, and daily routines, leading to decreased reinforcement from positive experiences and worsening mood. Behavioral activation encourages patients to re-engage with rewarding activities, even if initial motivation is low. Structured activity scheduling helps ensure that individuals participate in both pleasurable and meaningful tasks, such as spending time with family, engaging in light exercise, or pursuing adapted hobbies. Over time, this increased activity can improve mood, enhance a sense of accomplishment, and provide opportunities for social interaction, all of which contribute to alleviating depressive symptoms [3].

Problem-solving therapy, often integrated into cognitive-behavioral approaches, focuses on equipping patients with strategies to address everyday challenges more effectively. Post-stroke life often involves navigating physical limitations,

communication difficulties, and changes in roles within the family or workplace. These challenges can be overwhelming, leading to feelings of helplessness and frustration. By breaking down problems into manageable steps, generating possible solutions, evaluating their feasibility, and implementing chosen strategies, patients can regain a sense of control over their circumstances. This empowerment can reduce psychological distress, improve coping skills, and support the development of a more resilient mindset, which is essential for long-term adjustment and well-being [4].

Despite the proven benefits of cognitive-behavioral interventions, their implementation in post-stroke populations is not without challenges. Cognitive impairments, such as reduced attention, memory problems, or language difficulties, can make traditional CBT methods harder to apply. Therapists often need to adapt materials, simplify concepts, and use visual aids or caregiver involvement to enhance comprehension and participation. Accessibility can also be a barrier, particularly for individuals with mobility limitations or those living in rural areas. Telehealth and online CBT programs are increasingly being explored as solutions, offering the possibility of delivering therapy remotely while maintaining engagement and effectiveness. Continued research is needed to refine these approaches, identify the most effective components, and explore ways to integrate cognitive-behavioral interventions into multidisciplinary stroke rehabilitation programs [5].

Conclusion

Cognitive-behavioral interventions provide an evidence-based, adaptable, and patient-centered

approach to addressing post-stroke depression. By targeting negative thought patterns, encouraging re-engagement in meaningful activities, and building problem-solving skills, these interventions can improve mood, enhance motivation, and support overall recovery. While challenges related to cognitive deficits, accessibility, and individual variability remain, ongoing innovations in therapy delivery and integration into rehabilitation hold promise for expanding access and effectiveness. As part of a comprehensive care plan, cognitive-behavioral approaches can play a pivotal role in helping stroke survivors rebuild emotional resilience and achieve a better quality of life.

References

1. Kawakami I, Arai T, Hasegawa M. The basis of clinicopathological heterogeneity in TDP-43 proteinopathy. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2019;138(5):751-70.
2. Omdal R. The biological basis of chronic fatigue: neuroinflammation and innate immunity. *Curr Opin Neurol.* 2020;33(3):391-6.
3. Cobos SN, Bennett SA, Torrente MP. The impact of histone post-translational modifications in neurodegenerative diseases. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis.* 2019;1865(8):1982-91.
4. Kalyta K, Stelmaszczyk W, Szczepaniak D, et al. The Spectrum of the Heterozygous Effect in Biallelic Mendelian Diseases—The Symptomatic Heterozygote Issue. *Genes (Basel).* 2023;14(8):1562.
5. Zernov N, Skoblov M. Genotype-phenotype correlations in FSHD. *BMC Med Genomics.* 2019;12:79-85.