

Cardiothoracic surgery: Advances, guidelines, outcomes.

Ana Ribeiro*

Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, University of Porto Medical School, Porto, Portugal

Introduction

Research highlights the evolving landscape of surgical techniques, particularly in oncology. A meta-analysis recently investigated the efficacy and safety of robotic-assisted lobectomy compared to conventional open or video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer. This work concluded that robotic surgery often presents advantages in certain postoperative outcomes, such as reduced blood loss and shorter hospital stays, all while maintaining oncological results comparable to traditional methods [1].

In the realm of cardiac surgery, professional organizations frequently update guidelines to standardize care and improve patient outcomes. For example, the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) has issued comprehensive recommendations for perioperative care in cardiac surgery, covering a broad spectrum from initial patient assessment and risk stratification to anesthetic management, intraoperative strategies, and postoperative recovery [2]. Additionally, EACTS provided extensive guidelines specifically addressing the complex management of adult patients living with congenital heart disease. These evidence-based recommendations cover diagnosis, medical treatment, surgical interventions, and long-term follow-up, aiming to optimize patient care and improve clinical outcomes in this specialized population [4].

Advancements in cardiology also reflect a shift in therapeutic approaches for valvular conditions. A systematic review and meta-analysis specifically evaluated the comparative effectiveness of Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation (TAVI) versus Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement (SAVR) in patients diagnosed with bicuspid aortic valves. The findings suggest TAVI's increasingly important role, though they underscore unique considerations specific to this particular patient cohort [3]. Further contributing to this area, another systematic review and meta-analysis delved into the long-term outcomes following surgical aortic valve replacement in adults also presenting with bicuspid aortic valves. This study provided crucial insights into durability, reoperation rates, and overall survival within this distinct group of patients, adding depth to the understanding of long-term surgical efficacy [5].

Aortic dissection remains a critical cardiovascular emergency requiring clear definitions and structured management. The Society

of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) and EACTS released a joint consensus statement, which established standardized definitions and classifications for aortic dissection. This effort seeks to improve communication among clinicians, facilitate research endeavors, and guide clinical practice effectively [6]. Complementing this, clinical practice guidelines from the STS and EACTS provide comprehensive recommendations for the diagnosis, medical management, and interventional treatment strategies for patients with type B aortic dissection, emphasizing the importance of risk stratification and highly tailored therapeutic approaches [7].

Managing valvular heart disease globally is a significant challenge, prompting expert bodies to provide detailed guidance. An extensive set of joint EACTS/STS guidelines offers detailed, evidence-based recommendations for the diagnosis and management of all forms of valvular heart disease. These guidelines are instrumental in assisting clinicians to select appropriate medical, interventional, and surgical therapies based on individual patient-specific factors and the severity of their disease [8].

For patients battling heart failure alongside mitral valve regurgitation, treatment decisions are complex. A systematic review and meta-analysis meticulously compared surgical and transcatheter treatment options for mitral valve regurgitation in heart failure patients. This research assessed their efficacy, safety, and overall impact on clinical outcomes, ultimately providing valuable insights to inform therapeutic decision-making in this particularly challenging patient population [9].

In coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery, the choice of grafting material significantly impacts long-term success. A meta-analysis investigated the long-term benefits of employing single versus multiple arterial grafts in CABG surgery. The findings suggest that multiple arterial grafts may offer superior long-term patency and improved clinical outcomes for suitable patients, thereby supporting their increased utilization when surgically feasible and appropriate [10].

Conclusion

Recent medical research offers significant advancements and guide-

*Correspondence to: Ana Ribeiro, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, University of Porto Medical School, Porto, Portugal. E-mail: ana.ribeiro@portoheart.pt

Received: 04-Jul-2025, Manuscript No. aaasr-220; Editor assigned: 08-Jul-2025, Pre QC No. aaasr-220 (PQ); Reviewed: 28-Jul-2025, QC No. aaasr-220; Revised: 06-Aug-2025, Manuscript No. aaasr-220 (R); Published: 15-Aug-2025, DOI: 10.35841/2591-7765-9.3.220

line updates across various cardiothoracic surgical fields. Studies compare robotic-assisted lobectomy to conventional methods for non-small cell lung cancer, finding advantages in postoperative recovery like reduced blood loss and shorter hospital stays while maintaining comparable oncological results. Guidelines from the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) provide comprehensive recommendations for perioperative care in cardiac surgery, covering patient assessment, risk stratification, anesthetic management, and postoperative recovery. EACTS also issued guidelines for managing adult patients with congenital heart disease, focusing on diagnosis, treatment, and long-term follow-up. Research further investigates Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation (TAVI) versus Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement (SAVR) in patients with bicuspid aortic valves, indicating TAVI's growing role and specific considerations for this group. Long-term outcomes for SAVR in bicuspid aortic valve patients have been examined, providing insights into durability and reoperation rates. Joint consensus statements from The Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) and EACTS standardize definitions and classifications for aortic dissection, enhancing communication and guiding practice. Clinical guidelines from STS and EACTS also detail the management of type B aortic dissection, emphasizing risk stratification and tailored therapeutic approaches. Additional guidelines from EACTS/STS provide evidence-based recommendations for diagnosing and managing all forms of valvular heart disease, assisting clinicians in treatment selection. For heart failure patients with mitral valve regurgitation, a systematic review compared surgical and transcatheter treatments, evaluating efficacy and safety. Finally, a meta-analysis explored the benefits of single versus multiple arterial grafts in coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery, suggesting superior long-term outcomes with multiple grafts for suitable patients.

References

1. Shuai Z, Jian Y, Fei Y. Robotic-assisted versus conventional lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *J Thorac Dis.* 2023;15:657-670.
2. C B, B vdB, N AA. European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) 2023 Guidelines on Perioperative Care in Cardiac Surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2023;64:ezad429.
3. Hongyu C, Sha S, Wei W. Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation Versus Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement in Patients With Bicuspid Aortic Valve: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2024;17:611-623.
4. A V, D T, G P. European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) Guidelines on the management of adult patients with congenital heart disease. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2023;64:ezad428.
5. Humam T, Muhammad YB, Ziyad A. Long-Term Outcomes of Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement in Adults With Bicuspid Aortic Valve: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Heart Lung Circ.* 2024;33:447-456.
6. Joseph EB, Santi T, Nimesh DD. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons and European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery consensus statement on the definition and classification of aortic dissection. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2021;161:e133-e152.
7. H C, LG S, JE B. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons and European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery clinical practice guidelines on the management of type B aortic dissection. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2021;161:e153-e186.
8. A V, AA B, JP C. 2021 EACTS/STS Guidelines for the Management of Valvular Heart Disease. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2021;161:e207-e372.
9. Rong J, Shijun L, Jing T. Surgical vs. transcatheter treatment for mitral valve regurgitation in patients with heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart Fail Rev.* 2023;28:495-508.
10. Qiang L, Ke W, Yuyang L. Single versus multiple arterial grafts in coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a meta-analysis. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2023;18:475.

Citation: Ribeiro A. Cardiothoracic surgery: Advances, guidelines, outcomes. *aaasr.* 2025;09(03):220.