

## **Experimental hydatid cyst development in different breeds of mice: A re-evaluation.**

**Rafiei A<sup>1,2</sup>, Asgarian F<sup>1</sup>, Rahdar M<sup>1</sup>, Jelowdar A<sup>1</sup>, Sabaghan M<sup>1\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Infectious and Tropical Diseases Research Center, Ahvaz JundiShapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

### **Abstract**

**Cystic Echinococcosis (CE) is one of the most important zoonotic helminthic diseases throughout the world. Suitable and appropriate *in vitro* and *in vivo* situation are fundamental requirements for any investigations in the field of hydatidosis research. Three types of laboratory animals, Balb-c, NMRI and C57BL/6 female mice with age of 6 weeks were used as host for secondary larva development of *Echinococcus granulosus* by peritoneal injection. After 5 months all animals were dissected and were evaluated for existence of cyst infection in inguinal region, liver, lung, spleen, brain and muscle. The results have shown that 80% (eight of ten mice) secondary hydatid cyst growth among NMRI strain. The rate of infection in Balb-c was 90%. Most of the cysts were in subcutaneous, site of injection (10 mice). The rate of infection in C57BL/6 was 33.33% and just three of them were infected. There is no meaningful difference about the rate of infection between NMRI and Balb-c mouse, although the number and sum weight of cysts in the Balb-c strain were more than NMRI. Finally, both of NMRI and Balb-c strains can be considered as suitable animal models to produce secondary hydatid cyst.**

**Keywords:** Cystic echinococcosis, Balb-c, NMRI, *Echinococcus granulosus*, Secondary hydatid cyst.

*Accepted on September 20, 2016*

### **Introduction**

Cystic Echinococcosis (CE) is one of the most important zoonotic helminthic diseases throughout the world [1]. The larval stage of the *Echinococcus granulosus* leads to hydatidosis [2]. The incidence and prevalence of CE in human and animal hosts is documented in countries with breeding sheep industry, including, Australia, New Zealand, South America, China, some parts of Africa and the Middle East [3-5]. It is also, considered as a significant endemic zoonotic disease in parts of southern and central Europe [6,7]. The importance of hydatid cysts in human is related to the infection of vital organs, especially liver and lung [8]. Given the economic, social and hygienic difficulties of hydatidosis, it seems that extensive research is needed to determine various aspects of the disease that not truly answered yet [9-12]. Suitable and appropriate *in vitro* and *in vivo* situation are fundamental requirements for any investigations in the field of hydatidosis research [13].

Appropriate laboratory animals can be infected in various approaches such as intraperitoneal, subcutaneous, chest and brain injection by protoscolex [14]. Different strains of natural intermediate hosts of *E. granulosus* which were infected with eggs, hatched eggs, or activated oncospheres of *E. granulosus* showed differences in host susceptibility [15-17]. However, egg's infection has been used rarely due to the risk of operator infection [18].

The variability may be reflected in host specificity, development rate, pathogenicity, antigenicity and sensitivity to chemotherapeutic agents, transmission dynamics, epidemiology and control of CE [19]. Some studies have indicated that white mice are a suitable host for development of secondary hydatid cyst [20-22]. Still there is some controversy regarding suitable secondary animal model for experimental hydatidosis. The aim of this study was to evaluate the rate and cyst formation of experimental hydatid cyst models in different inbred mouse by interaperitoneally protoscolex injection of sheep hydatid cyst.

### **Materials and Methods**

In this study, three types of laboratory animals, Balb-c, NMRI and C57BL/6 female mice with age of 6 weeks were used as host for secondary larva development of *Echinococcus granulosus* by peritoneal injection. Liver hydatid cyst were collected from Ahvaz slaughterhouse and transported to Parasitology department, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences. Outer cyst surface were rinsed with 70% ethanol and protoscolexes were collected in sterile conditions and transported to 50 ml falcon tubes. Protoscolexes were washed five times with sterile saline solution and suspension was standing for 20 minutes to settle them. In the end, the precipitants were kept in RPMI 1640 medium. Protoscolexes were examined for 90% viability by 0.1% eosin staining [1].

## Experimental hydatid cyst development in different breeds of mice: A re-evaluation

Approximately 0.2 ml of RPMI 1640 medium containing 2000 protoscolexes were injected intraperitoneally to three groups (n=10) of mice. Mice were held at 20-24°C and 12:12 dark:light photoperiod.

After 6 months of post infection the mice were scarified and investigated for hydatid cysts within different internal organs. Hydatid cysts were counted, measured, weighted and investigated for presence of protoscolex.

### Results

Present study indicated 80% (eight of ten mice) secondary hydatid cyst growth among NMRI strain. The cysts were in mass clusters and located in subcutaneous. There were no cysts in any organs. The weight of biggest was 0.74 g, the total (126) weight of cyst's was 2.6 g and the largest size was 10 × 16 mm (Figure 1).



**Figure 1.** Hydatid cysts developed in subcutaneous, site of injection region of the NMRI mouse.

The rate of infection in Balb-c was 90% with the high weight of 0.98 g and formed as a cluster. The net of cyst (269) mass was 4.153 g. Most of the cysts were in subcutaneous, site of injection (10 mice). Other site of cyst formation was peritoneal cavity (5 mice), liver (2 mice), stomach flexure (2 mice), lung (1 mouse) and mesentery of small intestine (1 mouse). The largest size of these cysts was 13 × 20 mm (cluster formed) (Figure 2).

The rate of infection in C57BL/6 was 33.33% and just three of them were infected, with a maximum weight of 0.021 g that was located in subcutaneous, site of injection (Figure 3). Weight, size and cyst number formed in every mouse are shown in Table 1.

### Discussion

Different studies have been done to investigate secondary hydatid cysts by inter peritoneal protoscolex injection and activated oncosphere in different animal laboratory models. Despite some differences, most researchers believe that white mouse is an appropriate model for growth of secondary hydatid cysts [1,23,24]. The results of current study showed that only a few inoculated protoscolexes are able to create cysts in the different groups.



**Figure 2.** Hydatid cysts developed in peritoneal cavity and other organs of the Balb-c mouse.



**Figure 3.** Hydatid cysts developed in subcutaneous, site of injection region of the C57BL/6 mouse.

**Table 1.** Hydatid cyst formation in mice infected intraperitoneally with 2000 protoscolexes.

Category	breeds	Total weight (g)	Mean size (mm)	Cyst number
Group1	Balb-c (10)	4.153	1.52	269
Group2	NMRI (10)	2.6	1.35	126
Group3	C57BL/6 (10)	0.018	1.3	4

The inability of all protoscolexes to develop hydatid cyst may be related to their somatic antigenicity variation which can result in different immune system responses and inefficient protoscolex propagation in the body [8]. Immune cells such as activated macrophages, neutrophils, eosinophils and lymphocytes are mentioned as the main reason for protoscolex [8,25,26]. Due to low pressure of peritoneal cavity, size and weight of cysts in this region are higher than other organs [27]. There is no meaningful difference about the rate of infection between NMRI and Balb-c mouse, although the number and sum weight of cysts in the Balb-c strain were more than NMRI. Breijo et al. have indicated that the establishment and permanence of hydatid cyst is associated with control of early inflammatory response [28]. Both humoral and cellular responses were enhanced through *E. granulosus* infections in intermediate host [29]. The various dissemination pattern of

infection in different mouse is the fascinating point of our study. Based on the results, cysts of NMRI and C57BL/6 strains were limited to the site of injection, while in Balb-c the cysts were observed in different organs. The location of cysts and cyst morphology are related to the host and parasite factors such as the strain of *E. granulosus* involved [30]. The most likely reason for this difference may be due to be various immune responses in different species and also strain variation of *Echinococcus granulosus*. Survival of the parasite depends on the stimulation of the host immune system [8]. In some species such as C57BL/6, the response is higher and creates more resistance [31,32]. In infected resistant mice, cellular immunity responds more substantially in compared to other breeds [29]. Our results confirm the issue and indicate that C57BL/6 species is more resistance against infection. It should be noted that the all cysts were sterile and non-fertile. Immunological and humoral reactions may be a reason for non-producing cysts in some animals [28,33]. In addition to cyst size and host features, length of infection also can affect fertile hydatid cyst [34].

## Conclusion

Finally, both of NMRI and Balb-c strains can be considered as suitable animal models to produce secondary hydatid cyst. However, the species cannot be used for generating fertile hydatid cyst in short duration.

## References

- Ahmadnia S, Moazeni M, Mohammadi-Samani S. Hydatid cyst formation in male Balb/c mice following the intraperitoneal injection of live protoscoleces and activated oncospheres: a comparative study. *J Parasit Dis* 2014; 38: 77-80.
- Barnes TS, Hinds LA, Jenkins DJ, Coleman GT. Precocious development of hydatid cysts in a macropodid host. *Int J Parasitol* 2007; 37: 1379-1389.
- Yang YR, Sun T, Li Z, Zhang J, Teng J, Liu X. Community surveys and risk factor analysis of human alveolar and cystic echinococcosis in Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region, China. *Bull World Health Organ* 2006; 84: 714-721.
- Moro P, Schantz P. Echinococcosis: historical landmarks and progress in research and control. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 2006; 100: 703-714.
- Moro P, Schantz PM. Echinococcosis: a review. *Int J Infect Dis* 2009; 13: 125-133.
- Romig T, Dinkel A, Mackenstedt U. The present situation of echinococcosis in Europe. *Parasitol Int* 2006; 55: S187-S91.
- Dakkak A. Echinococcosis/hydatidosis: a severe threat in Mediterranean countries. *Vet Parasitol* 2010; 174: 2-11.
- Zhang W, Li J, McManus DP. Concepts in immunology and diagnosis of hydatid disease. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2003; 16: 18-36.
- Altintas N. Past to present: echinococcosis in Turkey. *Acta Trop* 2003; 85: 105-112.
- Budke CM, Deplazes P, Torgerson PR. Global socioeconomic impact of cystic echinococcosis. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2006; 12: 296-303.
- Schantz P, Wang H, Qiu J, Liu F, Saito E, Emshoff A. Echinococcosis on the Tibetan Plateau: prevalence and risk factors for cystic and alveolar echinococcosis in Tibetan populations in Qinghai Province, China. *Parasitology* 2003; 127: S109-S120.
- Torgerson P, Dowling P, Abo-Shehadeh M. Estimating the economic effects of cystic echinococcosis. Part 3: Jordan, a developing country with lower-middle income. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 2001; 95: 595-603.
- Siracusano A, Delunardo F, Teggi A, Ortona E. Host-parasite relationship in cystic echinococcosis: an evolving story. *Clin Dev Immunol* 2011; 2012: 639362.
- Sande MA. Handbook of animal models of infection: experimental models in antimicrobial chemotherapy. Academic Press 1999.
- Bresson-hadni S, Liance M, Meyer J, Houin R, Bresson J, Vuitton D. Cellular immunity in experimental *Echinococcus multilocularis* infection. II. Sequential and comparative phenotypic study of the periparasitic mononuclear cells in resistant and sensitive mice. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1990; 82: 378-383.
- Guerret S, Vuitton DA, Liance M, Pater C, Carbillet JP. *Echinococcus multilocularis*: relationship between susceptibility/resistance and liver fibrogenesis in experimental mice. *Parasitol Res* 1998; 84: 657-667.
- Gottstein B, Wunderlin E, Tanner I. *Echinococcus multilocularis*: parasitespecific humoral and cellular immune response subsets in mouse strains susceptible (AKR, C57Bl/6J) or 'resistant'(C57Bl/10) to secondary alveolar echinococcosis. *Clin Exp Immunol* 1994; 96: 245-252.
- Jenkins D, Thomson R. Hydatid cyst development in an experimentally infected wild rabbit. *Vet Rec* 1995; 137: 148-149.
- McManus DP, Zhang L, Castrodale LJ, Le TH, Pearson M, Blair D. Short report: molecular genetic characterization of an unusually severe case of hydatid disease in Alaska caused by the cervid strain of *Echinococcus granulosus*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2002; 67: 296-298.
- Dempster R, Harrison G, Berridge M, Heath D. *Echinococcus granulosus*: use of an intermediate host mouse model to evaluate sources of protective antigens and a role for antibody in the immune response. *Int J Parasitol* 1992; 22: 435-441.
- Al-Qaoud KM, Al-Quraan LT, Abdel-Hafez SK. Cytokine and Antibody Response to Immunization of Balb/c mice with *E. granulosus* using various routes. *Jordan J Biol Sci* 2008; 1: 109-115.
- Rahimi HR, Sarkari B, Mohammadzadeh T, Sadjjadi SM. Immune responses to antigens of reared *Echinococcus granulosus* adult worms in Balb/c mice. *Iran J Immunol* 2011; 8: 236.

23. Mourglia-Ettlin G, Marqués JM, Chabalgoity JA, Dematteis S. Early peritoneal immune response during *Echinococcus granulosus* establishment displays a biphasic behavior. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2011; 5: e1293.
24. Heath D. The development of *Echinococcus granulosus* larvae in laboratory animals. *Parasitology* 1970; 60: 449-456.
25. Jenkins P, Dixon J, Rakha N, Carter S. Regulation of macrophage-mediated larvicidal activity in *Echinococcus granulosus* and *Mesocestoides corti* (Cestoda) infection in mice. *Parasitology* 1990; 100: 309-315.
26. Baron R, Tanner C. *Echinococcus multilocularis* in the mouse: the in vitro protoscolicidal activity of peritoneal macrophages. *Int J Parasitol* 1977; 7: 489-495.
27. Thompson R. The biology of *Echinococcus* and hydatid disease. George Allen & Unwin 1986.
28. Breijo M, Anesetti G, Martínez L, Sim RB, Ferreira AM. *Echinococcus granulosus*: the establishment of the metacestode is associated with control of complement-mediated early inflammation. *Exp parasitol* 2008; 118: 188-196.
29. Vuitton DA, Gottstein B. *Echinococcus multilocularis* and its intermediate host: a model of parasite-host interplay. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 2010; 2010: 923193.
30. Thompson R, Robertson I, Gasser R, Constantine C. Hydatid disease in Western Australia: a novel approach to education and surveillance. *Parasitol Today* 1993; 9: 431-433.
31. Emery I, Leclerc C, Sengphommachanh K, Vuitton DA, Liance M. In vivo treatment with recombinant IL12 protects C57BL/6J mice against secondary alveolar echinococcosis. *Parasite Immunol* 1998; 20: 81-91.
32. Dai WJ, Waldvogel A, SilesLucas M, Gottstein B. *Echinococcus multilocularis* proliferation in mice and respective parasite 1433 gene expression is mainly controlled by an  $\alpha\beta+$  CD4+ T cell mediated immune response. *Immunol* 2004; 112: 481-488.
33. Sakamoto T, Cabrera PA. Immunohistochemical observations on cellular response in unilocular hydatid lesions and lymph nodes of cattle. *Acta Trop* 2003; 85: 271-279.
34. Thompson R, McManus D. Aetiology: parasites and life-cycles 2001.

**\*Correspondence to**

Sabaghan M

Department of Medical Parasitology

Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences

Iran