Evaluating the effect of peroral bentonite administration on iron disposition and mortality in rat model of acute iron toxicity.
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Abstract

Activated charcoal lacks efficacy in preventing the absorption of iron. We hypothesized that bentonite, which is an inert natural adsorbent, may decrease the iron absorption and ameliorate the toxic manifestations in rats after iron poisoning. For the pharmacokinetic experiments, rats were randomized into three groups which received iron (as ferrous sulfate)+distilled water (Control), iron+bentonite (B5 (five-fold higher than the iron dose)), iron+bentonite (B10 (ten-fold higher than the iron dose)) via gavage. The blood samples were taken for six hours. The area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) (0-360) (min µg/dl), peak concentration of iron in serum (Cmax) and time to reach Cmax (tmax) were calculated from the individual serum concentration-time profiles. For the survival part, rats were randomized into three groups and received (LD50), iron+distilled water (Control (s)), iron+bentonite (Bs5 (fivefold bentonite)) and iron+bentonite (Bs10) via gavage. Rats were observed for 72 hours. Iron levels showed a wide variation, and no significant differences in AUC (0-360), Cmax and tmax were detected. However, the mortality rate was significantly lower (9.1%) in the Bs10 group, as compared to Control (s) (55.6%) groups. Bentonite was found to be effective in preventing mortality in the rat model of acute iron toxicity.
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Introduction and background

Unintentional poisoning with iron or iron containing products is still an important issue particularly in young children [1,2]. Decontamination options are limited with gastric lavage and whole bowel irrigation since activated charcoal is demonstrated to be ineffective in previous studies [3]. Parenteral deferoxamine, which is the preferred antidote in severe cases, is not free of drawbacks such as high cost, lack of availability in hospitals and adverse effects with prolonged use [3,4]. Therefore, the search for an effective and orally active adsorbent for iron is important.

Bentonite, the clay from volcanic origin that contains montmorillonite, is a physiologically inert substance [5,6]. It is ubiquitous in many places among the world and has been used in the production of cement, adhesives, ceramics and even rocket engine nozzles. Bentonite has a surface area of 250-800 m²/g which provides a high adsorptive capacity for cations [6-8]. It has also been used as an oil purifier and -is effective in adsorbing protein molecules from aqueous solutions [8]. Similar to activated charcoal, it has been suggested as a gastric decontaminant in paraquat poisonings [9] and was shown to be effective in adsorbing lithium in vitro [10].

This two-step study was aimed to investigate whether bentonite might be effective in preventing the absorption of iron and improve survival rates in the rat model of acute iron toxicity.
Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Ferrous sulfate heptahydrate (FeSO₄·7H₂O, Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, F8048) was used as the iron salt. Bentonite was gifted from Amcol Minerals Europe Ltd./Amcol Mineral Madencilik Istanbul-Turkey. Ketamine (Ege Vet. Hayvancilik San. ve Tic. Ltd. Sti. Izmir-Turkey/Alfasan International Woerden-Nederland) and xylazine (Ege Vet. Hayvancilik San. ve Tic. Ltd. Sti. Izmir-Turkey/Alfasan International Woerden-Nederland) were used for anaesthesia.

Animals

Our study was performed in accordance with the guidelines provided by the Local Ethics Committee of Animal Experiments of the Adnan Menderes University School of Medicine in Aydin, Turkey (Approval number: B. 30.2. ADU. 0.00.00.00/050.04/2010/004). Female Wistar rats, 240.9±18 g, 8-weeks old, were obtained from the Experimental Animal Production and Experimental Research Laboratory of the Adnan Menderes University. The rats were housed in a temperature-controlled room (22°C) with 12 h light-dark cycles and received a standard diet and water ad libitum. All rats were fasted for 12 hours before the experiments.

Study design

This study was composed of two sections. In the first part, the aim was to evaluate the effect of bentonite on iron absorption. The latter part was designed to observe the effect of bentonite on survival rates in a rat model of iron toxicity.

Pharmacokinetic experiment

On experiment day, rats were randomized into three groups. After reversible intraperitoneal anaesthesia with ketamine (50 mg.kg⁻¹) and xylazine (5 mg.kg⁻¹), the right jugular vein was cannulated with polyethylene tubing (PE-50 Cannula Tubing, Commat Ltd., Ankara-Turkey). The cannula was then fixed onto the back of the neck of the animal for blood sampling. Iron, as ferrous sulphate (760 mg.kg⁻¹, equivalent to 153 mg.kg⁻¹ elemental iron, 750 µl) was administered via oral gavage. Five minutes later, the Control group received distilled water (Control (s), 1250 µl) and the bentonite groups received bentonite in doses which were 5 (Bs5) or 10 (Bs10) fold of the elemental iron dose (1020 mg.kg⁻¹ or 2040 mg.kg⁻¹, 1250 µl), respectively. Because iron toxicity has also a late phase which may be delayed for up to 2-4 days, the rats were observed for 72 hours [5].

Survival experiment

This part of the study was planned to evaluate whether bentonite might improve the survival rates in a rat model of iron toxicity. On experiment day, rats were again randomized into three groups and all rats received ferrous sulphate (1013 mg.kg⁻¹, equivalent to 204 mg.kg⁻¹ elemental iron, 750 µl). Five minutes later, the control group received distilled water (Control (s), 1250 µl) and the bentonite groups received bentonite in doses which were 5 (Bs5) or 10 (Bs10) fold of the elemental iron dose (1020 mg.kg⁻¹ or 2040 mg.kg⁻¹, 1250 µl), respectively.

Statistical analysis:

The statistical calculations were performed using Graph Pad Prism 4 software (CA, 92037, USA). Pharmacokinetic data were reported as mean ± SD. One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures and unpaired Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess the serum iron concentrations at predetermined time points within and between the Control, Bs5 and Bs10 groups, respectively.

The mortality rates of the Control (s), Bs5 and Bs10 groups were assessed by Fisher’s exact test. p<0.05 was considered to be significant.

Biochemical analysis: The iron assay (Multigent, Abbott Diagnostics, IL, 60064, USA) used aims direct colorimetric determination of iron without deproteinization in serum or plasma. The assay utilizes an acidic media (pH=4.8) to release ferric ion from transferrin which is subsequently converted to the ferrous form by the action of hydroxylamine hydrochloride. Released ferrous iron reacts with Ferene-S (3-(2-pyridyl))-5, 6-bis[2-(5-furylsulfonic acid)]-1, 2, 4-triazine) to produce a colored iron-Ferene-S complex of which absorbance is measured at 604 nm in Architect c16000 Chemistry Analyser (Architect, Abbott Diagnostics, IL, 60064, USA) [12,13].

Intraassay Coefficients of Variance (CV) values of the serum samples were 0.8% and 0.7% for the mean values of 64.3 μg/dl and 226.4 μg/dl, respectively. Inter-assay CV values were 2.0% and 1.5% for the mean values of 57.4 μg/dl and 212.4 μg/dl, respectively.

Analysis

Pharmacokinetic analysis: The peak concentration of iron in serum (Cmax) and time to reach Cmax (tmax) were determined directly from the individual serum concentration-time profiles. Area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC (0-360) (min µg/dl)) were calculated using PK Functions for Microsoft Excel (Allergan, CA 92606, USA).

Statistical analysis: The statistical calculations were performed using Graph Pad Prism 4 software (CA, 92037, USA). Pharmacokinetic data were reported as mean ± SD. One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures and unpaired Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess the serum iron concentrations at predetermined time points within and between the Control, Bs5 and Bs10 groups, respectively.

The mortality rates of the Control (s), Bs5 and Bs10 groups were assessed by Fisher’s exact test. p<0.05 was considered to be significant.
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Results

Pharmacokinetic experiments

No significant differences regarding the basal iron concentrations between the groups were detected. Iron administration caused a significant increase in serum iron concentrations of rats within all groups compared to their basal levels (Control p<0.0001, B5 p=0.0003 and B10 p<0.0001 respectively). Although iron concentrations in control group continuously remained higher than B5 at all-time points except 180th minute and B10 at all-time points, no significant difference at any time point was detected. (Table 1, Figure 1). There was also no significant difference regarding AUC (0-360), $C_{\text{max}}$ and $t_{\text{max}}$ between the groups (Table 2).

Survival experiment

Bentonite administration significantly diminished the mortality rate at the 72nd hour in B5 (9.1%) compared to B5 (10%) and Control (s) (55.6%) (Figure 2). No significance was detected in mortality rates between B5 (10%) and the Control (s) (55.6%) groups.

Table 1. Serum iron concentrations of all groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Control (n=6)</th>
<th>B5 (n=6)</th>
<th>B10 (n=7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>237 ± 54</td>
<td>268 ± 76</td>
<td>303 ± 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>764 ± 350</td>
<td>538 ± 128</td>
<td>687 ± 273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1895 ± 1064</td>
<td>892 ± 518</td>
<td>1340 ± 1042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>2097 ± 943</td>
<td>1640 ± 988</td>
<td>1493 ± 1126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1945 ± 1043</td>
<td>1686 ± 1054</td>
<td>1446 ± 1095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>1564 ± 829</td>
<td>1572 ± 990</td>
<td>1251 ± 955</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Pharmacokinetic evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control (n=6)</th>
<th>B5 (n=6)</th>
<th>B10 (n=7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC (0-360) (min µg/dl)</td>
<td>539730 ± 290003</td>
<td>456045 ± 244368</td>
<td>408574 ± 277297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (µg/dl)</td>
<td>2157 ± 1056</td>
<td>1953 ± 1146</td>
<td>1560 ± 1117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{\text{max}}$ (min)</td>
<td>85 ± 12</td>
<td>105 ± 41</td>
<td>81 ± 23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

This study aimed to test whether bentonite might affect the iron disposition and survival rates in a rat model of acute iron toxicity. Iron administration caused a significant increase in the serum iron concentrations in all groups. Although bentonite administration did not significantly affect iron disposition, it was significantly effective in preventing the mortality.

The intensity of toxic effects of iron was shown to differ between the sexes [14]. In our study, female rats were chosen...
to observe iron toxicity more dramatically, since oral administration of iron were shown to significantly lead to shorter survival times and higher iron levels and peaks in female rats than it is in the male rats.

Activated charcoal prevents the absorption of most ingested substances; however, its binding efficacy for ionic salts like lithium, iron and cyanide and small polar molecules like alcohol is low [15]. In vivo and clinical studies also demonstrated its ineffectiveness in adsorbing iron [16-18]. Ghafari et al. showed that oral deferoxamine administration significantly decreases the mean serum iron levels compared to charcoal and vitamin C administration [18].

Ponampalam et al, have suggested that bentonite might effectively adsorb lithium and has tested this hypothesis in vitro. The study demonstrated that bentonite, when applied in ratios of 5:1, 10:1 and 30:1 decreased the recovery of lithium from deionized water by 2.5%, 12.89% and 20.55% respectively. Larger reduction has been detected in simulated gastric fluid, in which bentonite caused a 24.98%, 41.66% and 48.09% decrease in recovered lithium when applied in ratios of 5:1, 10:1 and 30:1 respectively [10]. In paraquat poisoning, the use of bentonite as an adsorbent was also suggested [9]. These data, although limited, suggest bentonite might be an alternative adsorbent for the compounds which were not effectively adsorbed by activated charcoal.

In our study, iron administration led to a significant increase in serum iron concentrations at the 30th minute in Control, B5 and B10 respectively. This increase remained stable throughout the entire experiment. Serum iron concentrations between Control, B5 and B10 groups at 30th, 60th, 90th, 120th, 180th and 360th minutes showed no significant differences. Bentonite caused a slight, but not significant decrease in AUC (0-360) and Cmax in B5 and B10 compared to control, respectively. High variations in serum iron concentrations, which were also reported by the previous studies, might be suggested as one of the reasons for this insignificance [19-21].

In our study, bentonite caused a significantly decreased the mortality rate at the 72nd hour in B5 (9.1%) compared to B5 (10%) and Control (55.6 %) groups. One explanation for this effect might be the possible protective action of bentonite on the Gastrointestinal System (GIS) mucosa since less haemorrhage was observed in the autopsies of bentonite groups in both the pharmacokinetic and survival experiments. However, this was not a systematically conducted observation and remains to be further studied.

In conclusion, bentonite administration significantly decreased the mortality rates in rat model of iron toxicity. This effect may be attributed a possible protective effect of bentonite on GIS mucosa rather than decreasing systemic bioavailability of iron since no significant differences were detected in iron disposition between groups. Further studies in this field, should aim at investigating other compounds for which activated charcoal lacks efficacy as an adsorbent (e.g. lithium), since high variations in iron levels may complicate pharmacokinetic calculations and have the potential to mask significances.

**Limitations**

Lack of liver and/or histopathology assessment was one of the most important limitations of our study; however, severe intestinal haemorrhage was observed in autopsies of the Control (s) group and in rats with lower survival rates. Additionally, biochemical parameters or molecular parameters of iron toxicity were not investigated since the primary focus was the evaluation of iron pharmacokinetics and survival rates.
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