

Utility of anthropometric measures in determining implant size in total knee arthroplasty.

Henry Ofori Duah*

FOCOS Orthopaedic Hospital, Ghana

Abstract

Background: Templating in Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA) is an integral part of pre-op planning allowing surgeons to estimate the probable size and position of an implant prior to surgery. In order to provide an easier approach to accurately estimate the size of femoral component without the use of digital and analogue techniques, the study sought to predict component size using body anthropometric parameters.

Methods and Findings: We retrospectively reviewed 40 patients who had TKA but included only 24 female patients in the final analysis due to incomplete data in some cases. Preliminary analysis was done to explore the relationship between femoral component size and patients' age, height, Weight and BMI and found that only height significantly correlated with femoral component size. A simple linear regression model was generated with height as the predictor variable. This predictive model was later applied to a different consecutive series for validation. The model exactly predicted component size in only 30.4% of cases while 65.2% of model predictions were within 1 size unit of the actual components implanted. In addition, 100% of the model predictions were within 2 size units of the actual femoral components implanted.

Conclusion: Although our model was less accurate compared to other reported models for TKA, it remains among the very few to be conducted in a West African setting and will serve as a basis for further research of TKA Templating in the region.

Keywords: Total knee arthroplasty, Templating, Pre-operative planning.

Accepted on May 10th, 2018

Introduction

Templating is a process of estimating the probable size and position of an implant prior to surgery [1]. The correct approximation of the size of various implants required for surgeries as in the case of arthroplasties, determine to a very large extent the success of the procedure [2]. Pre-Op Templating also helps ensure that the appropriate size and options of implant are available in the operating room while serving as a baseline during surgery [3]. The existing methods for pre-op templating in arthroplasties includes analogue and digital techniques [4-9], which is reported to have about 50% accuracy for predicting the femoral components. Nevertheless, some studies have shown higher degree of accuracy (82.5%) in the exact prediction of actual femoral components [10]. The emergence of modern digital imaging software such as Picture Archiving and Computer Systems (PACS) has improved radiographic image resolution, storage and retrieval [11] and enhanced the effectiveness of digital templating [12,13] but benefits of digital templating over analogue approaches remains arguable [5,13]. Besides, the accuracy of digital templating is dependent on correct magnification [14-16]. Consequently, some studies have applied other parameters including patients' anthropometric characteristics for pre-op templating independent of radiographic images [3,17]. Miller and Purtill, for example, improved the accuracy of TKA Templating using patients' characteristics and reported 100% accuracy within 1 size of the actual component sizes [3]. As the demand of TKA

continues to increase [18], the need for pre-op Templating becomes much more essential for planning. However, the organizational and technical considerations required for digital Templating [19] may explain why digital Templating remains a challenge in developing countries such as Ghana. Therefore the use of body anthropometry in predicting implant sizes, as an alternative to digital Templating, remains a viable option in the pre-op planning of Knee Arthroplasties in developing countries. In this study, the authors describe the use of body anthropometries in predicting implant sizes among patients who have undergone TKA in Ghana.

Methodology

Ethical approval for the study was sought from the Institutional Review Board (IRD) of the Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research (NMIMR-IRB study protocol number 105/15-16). Consecutive patients who underwent primary TKA from Jan, 2014 - Dec, 2015 were identified retrospectively from the FOCOS Joint Registry. Inclusion criteria were patients with complete anthropometric data, and true lateral radiographs of the knee. Exclusion criteria were patients with incomplete anthropometric measures. All surgeries were conducted by the same surgeon and all radiographs were taken by the same team of radiographers. Patients who had bilateral TKA simultaneously were included once and the most representative radiographs were used. For patients who had multiple radiographs taken pre-operatively, the most representative true lateral radiographs were used

for Templating. All femoral components used were Posterior Stabilized implants of the DePuy PFC Sigma fixed bearing system. Standardized DePuy Sigma instruments and technique were used for intra-operative component fixation. Forty (40) patients were initially identified. Ten (10) were excluded because of incomplete anthropometric data. The lateral knee radiographs of the 30 patients were reviewed for correct rotation and alignment. Three more patients were excluded from the study for not having the true lateral X-ray leaving a cohort of 27 patients (24 females and 3 males) available for the study. We decided to exclude the remaining 3 male patients from the study due to under-representation in this cohort. Subsequent analysis was restricted to the 24 female patients. Data collected included age, weight, height and Body Mass Index (BMI). Operative data were examined for the femoral components sizes implanted at the final cementation. Data were initially collected in Microsoft excel and imported into SPSS (version 22) for analysis. Predictive model was evaluated using patients' characteristics and the outcome variable was femoral component size. Pearson correlations were initially performed between the outcome variable and patients characteristics. Patients' characteristics which showed significant correlation ($p < 0.05$) were included in the final predictive model. The model was internally validated using a different set of 23 Female consecutive patients who had TKA performed by the same surgeon. Predicted sizes were considered as exact matches if they were less than 0.5 size unit. Predicted sizes that differed 1.5 sizes units were considered within 1 size and similar measurements applied to those within 2 size units.

Results

24 female patients with average age, height, weight and BMI were 62.7 yrs, 156.9 cm, 90 kg, and 35.2 kgm^{-2} , respectively (Table 1).

There was a weak negative correlation between Body Mass Index (BMI) and femoral component size ($r(24) = -0.013$, $p = 0.95$). There was a weak positive correlation between Body Weight (kg) and femoral component size ($r(24) = 0.116$, $p = 0.589$). There was a strong positive correlation between Height and femoral component size ($r(24) = 0.607$, $p = 0.002$).

The results of simple regression revealed that height explained 36.8% of the variance in femoral component size ($R^2 = 0.368$, $F(1, 23) = 12.82$, $p = 0.02$) (Table 2).

The regression equation derived was:

Femoral component size = $-8.07 + 0.07$ height.

This predictive model was later applied to a different consecutive series for validation. They included 23 female patients. Average age was 65.2 yrs. The average height, weight and BMI were 160 cm, 93 kg, and 36.6 kgm^{-2} respectively. Following the application of the model, femoral component sizing were exactly predicted in 30.4%. Only 65.2% of the model predictions were within 1 size of actual components implanted and 100% of model predictions were within 2 size of the actual femoral components implanted. None was > 2 size units of actual femoral components (Table 3).

Table 1. Patient data.

Variables	Mean (SD)
Age (yrs)	62.7 ± 8.0
Height (cm)	156.9 ± 5.1
Weight (kg)	90 ± 11.63
BMI (kgm^{-2})	35.2 ± 4.4
Data of 24 Female patients included in the analysis.	

Table 2. Predictive model statistics.

Model	R2	Std. Error of the Estimates
Femur	0.368	0.4638
Linear regression of model estimates: Femur Predictors: Height.		

Table 3. Accuracy of model.

Model predictions	Accuracy (%)
Exact sizes	30.4
Within 1 size units	65.2
Within 2 size units	100
Accuracy of predictive model in different sets of patients.	

Discussion

Pre-op planning for TKA is very integral for successful surgeries. As surgical options for knee osteoarthritis continues to gain popularity [18], the need for adequate planning even becomes more critical. Templating enables surgeon to anticipate the size of implants to be used during surgery [1-3] and to identify certain challenges that may be encountered due to a patient's atypical bone anatomy [20].

Though, digital templating is gaining more popularity due to digitalization of radiographs, its accuracy of prediction is comparable to analogue approaches [5,8,13]. Furthermore, digital templating may be affected by incorrect image magnifications [14-16], which is affected by patients' body habitus, limb rotation, distance from the x-ray source and x-ray technique. In addition, digital x-ray systems and templating software are not readily available in underserved regions. Most hospitals in the sub region would have a lean implant inventory. It is more important for surgeons operating in such resource constrained environments to be able to predict pre-operatively the expected intra-op component size to have such available.

We show that body anthropometry such as height, can be used to predict femoral component sizes evading the concerns over digital approaches. However, only 65.2% of the model predictions were within 1 size of actual femoral components implanted. This level of accuracy is lower than that reported by Miller and Purtill who showed an accuracy of 100% within 1 size unit [3]. Nevertheless, 100% of our model predictions were within 2 size units. Our model may serve as a guide for surgeons in developing countries where maintenance of digital radiography presents a financial and technical burden. Nevertheless, we advise that other femoral sizes be made available during surgery.

Despite the merits of this study, it had certain limitations. Our sample included only females patients hence may not be transferable to male patients. Moreover, this model is validated for Depuy PFC Sigma Femoral Components and are not transferable to other components and designs. In addition we were unable to control for inter-operator differences in taking

x-rays though all technicians had been similarly trained and followed a standard protocol. We were unable to control for patient characteristics underlying limb deformity/rotation.

Conclusion

Pre-op templating is integral for effective and efficient TKA although the final decision on the size of implant is made intra-operatively. Body anthropometry such as height which is easily obtained can be used in regression model to provide an estimate of femoral components required during TKA. Thus, applying this model serves as a guide during surgery and enhance intra-op efficiency, especially during the planning for TKA in developing regions such as West Africa. There is the need for further research using females and males, different patient populations, and components designs to ensure generalization and validation of predictive models for TKA components.

Acknowledgments

The authors will acknowledge staffs of the research department at the FOCOS Orthopaedic Hospital for their support in obtaining the study data.

Funding

The study was internally funded by the FOCOS Orthopaedic Hospital. There was no external funding for this study.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethical Approval

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRD) of the Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research (NMIMR-IRB study protocol number 105/15-16).

Availability of Data and Materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' Contributions

JOA was principal investigator involved in study design, analysis, interpretation of results and manuscript writing. Both TH and BB helped to design the study, collect data and review literature for the study. HOD was involved in literature review, data collection and data analysis and was a major contributor to the writing of the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

1. Scheerlinck T. Primary hip arthroplasty templating on standard radiographs. A stepwise approach. *Acta Orthop Belg.* 2010;76:432-42.
2. Bono JV. Digital templating in total hip arthroplasty. *JBJS.* 2004;86:118-22.
3. Miller AG, Purtill JJ. Accuracy of digital templating in total knee arthroplasty. *American journal of orthopedics (Belle Mead, NJ).* 2012;41:510-2.
4. Trickett RW, Hodgson P, Forster MC, et al. The reliability and accuracy of digital templating in total knee replacement. *Bone & Joint Journal.* 2009;91:903-6.
5. Specht LM, Levitz S, Iorio R, et al. A comparison of acetate and digital templating for total knee arthroplasty. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research.* 2007;464:179-83.
6. Heal J, Blewitt N. Kinemax total knee arthroplasty: trial by template. *The Journal of Arthroplasty.* 2002;17:90-4.
7. Aslam N, Lo S, Nagarajah K, et al. Reliability of pre-operative templating in total knee arthroplasty. *Acta Orthop Belg.* 2004;70:560-4.
8. Howcroft DW, Fehily MJ, Peck C, et al. The role of preoperative templating in total knee arthroplasty: Comparison of three prostheses. *The knee.* 2006;13:427-9.
9. Arora J, Sharma S, Blyth M. The role of pre-operative templating in primary total knee replacement. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy.* 2005;13:187-9.
10. Del Gaizo D, Soileau ES, Lachiewicz PF. Value of preoperative templating for primary total knee arthroplasty. *The journal of knee surgery.* 2009;22:284-93.
11. Gutiérrez-Martínez J, Núñez-Gaona MA, Aguirre-Meneses H, et al. A software and hardware Architecture for a High-Availability PACS. *Journal of digital imaging.* 2012;25:471-9.
12. Levine B, Fabi D, Deirmengian C. Digital templating in primary total hip and knee arthroplasty. *Orthopedics.* 2010;33:797.
13. Lewis J, Hossain M, Mustafa A, et al. Comparison of digital and plain radiography preoperative templating in total knee arthroplasty. *European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery & Traumatology.* 2008;18:357-60.
14. King RJ, Craig PR, Boreham BG, et al. The magnification of digital radiographs in the trauma patient: implications for templating. *Injury.* 2009;40:173-6.
15. Shapii A, Sulaiman R, Prabuwo AS, et al. Implant magnification algorithm for biomedical images in digital total hip arthroplasty preoperative planning. *Advanced Science Letters.* 2013;19:2563-6.
16. Zadorožnijs S, Kalnberzs K. The reliability and accuracy of knee implants sizing predicted by digital templating. *Acta Chirurgica Latviensis.* 2012;12:29-31.
17. Polishchuk DL, Patrick DA, Gvozdyev BV, et al. Predicting femoral head diameter and lesser trochanter to center of femoral head distance: a novel method of templating hip hemiarthroplasty. *The Journal of Arthroplasty.* 2013;28:1603-7.
18. Kurtz S, Ong K, Lau E, et al. Projections of primary and revision hip and knee arthroplasty in the United States from 2005 to 2030. *JBJS.* 2007;89:780-5.

19. Ramme AJ, Iorio R, Smiaronksi J, et al. Organizational and technical considerations for the implementation of a digital orthopaedic templating system. *Bulletin of the NYU Hospital for Joint Diseases*. 2016;74:254-61.
20. Carter LW, Stovall DO, Young TR. Determination of accuracy of preoperative templating of noncemented femoral prostheses. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 1995;10:507-13.

***Correspondence to:**

Henry Ofori Duah,
FOCOS Orthopaedic Hospital,
Ghana.
Tel: +233 30 221 5900
E-mail: duahhenryofori@gmail.com