Introduction

Traumatic Diaphragmatic Injury (TDI) may occur after both blunt and penetrating injuries involving thorax and abdomen [1,2]. The rate of diaphragmatic rupture is 0.8-1.6% with abdominal injuries and 10-15% with thoracic injuries [3]. TDI is commonly associated with multiple organ injuries. In blunt trauma, diaphragm rupture due to a sudden increase in intraabdominal pressure is mostly left-sided, with the left/right rupture ratio being 3/1 [4].

Penetrating diaphragmatic injury, on the other hand, generally occurs after sharp penetrating object or gunshot injuries and blunt trauma, and particularly affects lower thoracic and upper abdominal regions [3].

The clinical course of TDI is varied by its size, origin, and location. Masking of TDI by other more severe lesions and injuries necessitating urgent care often complicates or delays its diagnosis [5,6].

Small lesions, particularly those that develop secondary to penetrating injuries or those affecting the right hemidiaphragm, may be missed or diagnosed late in the course. Injuries below the intramammarian line or above the umbilicus should raise suspicion of the condition [7].

Clinical presentation may include respiratory difficulty, cardiac signs, trachea deviation, and auscultation of bowel sounds in the thoracic cavity. The most important point to remember is being suspicious of diaphragm injury in trauma patients.

The diagnosis is made by plain chest film, Ultrasonography (USG), Computerized Tomography (CT), fluoroscopy, stomach and colon films, and Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic (VATS). Abdominal herniation aiding in diagnosis may be absent and an initial chest film may be non-diagnostic in some cases [5,8,9].

Rupture must be surgically repaired before complications like incarceration and strangulation develop as soon as a diagnosis is made. Laparotomy is preferred particularly for left-sided lesions.
injuries due to the presence of potential additional intraabdominal organ injuries at the acute stage [10].

Thoracotomy is feasible for right-sided lesions when intraabdominal injury is not considered. Thoracotomy is preferred for delayed ruptures due to presence of adhesions [11]. Here in we aimed to stress the importance and outcomes of diaphragmatic injuries associated with thoracic injuries.

Materials and Methods

We conducted a retrospective cohort study of adult patients with TDIs treated at Bursa Yuksek Ihtisas Training and Research Hospital, Turkey, using its trauma data registry. The study was approved by its ethics committee.

Among 853 patients who presented with blunt or penetrating thoracic injury between January 2010 and December 2016, 22 patients with traumatic diaphragmatic rupture were retrospectively studied for their demographic properties, age and sex, cause of injury, clinical findings, diagnostic modalities, surgical approach and surgical repair type, organs herniated into thoracic cavity, simultaneous organ injuries, and morbidity and mortality rates. The majority of injuries were above the arcus costalis, i.e. the lower thoracoabdominal border. Diagnostic modalities used for diagnosis included plain chest films, CT, (thoracic and lower thoracoabdominal) USG, and imaging studies with contrast. However, VATS was carried out in some suspected cases where definitive diagnosis could not be made.

Diaphragmatic rupture was suspected in case of an inability to distinguish diaphragmatic contours, diaphragm elevation, left lower lobe atelectasis, and appearances of colonic haustrations and auscultation of intestinal sounds in the thoracic cavity.

Surgical intervention was based on the type of occurrence and localization of trauma, and included thoracotomy, laparotomy, and thoracoabdominal intervention. The operation was started with VATS, and when a diaphragm rupture was localized, that defect was repaired. While the defect was repaired with a number 0 nonabsorbable single silk sutures, a polypropylene graft was placed to diaphragma in the case of delayed diagnosis. All patients were applied tube thoracostomy.

Data was analysed using descriptive statistics via IBM SPSS Statistics version 21.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). The parameters of sex and age were compared using the Student’s t test; Fisher’s exact test was used for the comparison of sex and blunt and penetrating trauma; univariate Chi-square test was used to test compare additional injuries and complications. A p value of less than <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Among 853 patients who presented with blunt or penetrating thoracic trauma between January 2010 and December 2016, 22 (16 males and 6 females) patients with TDR had a mean age of 32 (range, 19-67 y). Twenty-one patients were evaluated in the acute setting and 1 in the chronic setting (traffic accident 8 years earlier). The most common complaints and physical examination findings were dyspnea, chest pain, abdominal distention, and loss of breath sounds over the affected hemithorax. The most common findings on plain chest film included loss of diaphragmatic shadow, diaphragm elevation, and visceral organ herniation into the thoracic cavity. The diagnosis was made by a chest film, a thoracic CT, and VATS (Figures 1 and 2).

Figure 1. A): A postero-anterior chest film of a 42 y old female trauma victim shows elevated left hemidiaphragma and gastric herniation into the thoracic cavity; B): postoperative view of the same patient.

Figure 2. A computerized tomography of a 37 y old male victim of a traffic accident shows multiple rib fractures in the left hemithorax and gastric herniation into the thoracic cavity.
TDI involved the left side in 20 (91%) patients and the right side in 2 (9%) patients. Liver laceration was identified by thoracotomy + laparotomy in these patients. Thoracotomy was applied in 18 patients as the surgical approach (Figure 3). In four patients who underwent thoracotomy for active hemorrhage, gastric perforation was identified at the operation and the incision was converted to a thoracoabdominal one. Three patients underwent VATS for diagnostic purposes before thoracotomy. Six patients were operated within the first six hours whereas one patient was diagnosed 8 years later. In the remaining 15 patients, the diagnosis and operations were made in the first 1-2 d. A left-sided diaphragmatic repair was performed in 20 cases and a right-sided one in 2 cases. Diaphragmatic injury was associated with intraabdominal organ injuries, lung injuries, and other systemic injuries in 21 cases. The most commonly herniated organ after blunt and penetrating diaphragm injury was the stomach in 11 patients, followed by omentum in 9 patients, and the stomach, omentum, colon, and spleen combined in 1 patient. As morbidity, pulmonary complications occurred more commonly after blunt trauma (10%). Only one case of death due to acute respiratory failure and hypovolemic shock occurred in a victim of a penetrating trauma (5%). The mean duration of chest drainage tube staying in place was 3 (range, 2-8 d); the mean duration of hospital stay was 6 (range, 4-10 d). The patients were followed for a mean of 18 (range, 3-24 months), and respiratory function tests and plain chest films were taken as the follow-up tests. The patients were evaluated for changes in clinical status, recovery process, and complications. No significant differences were found between the groups with respect to injury type, sex, and age (p>0.05).

Figure 3. The view of a perforated diaphragma in a patient undergoing right thoracotomy.

Discussion

TDI occurs in 0.8-7% of patients with blunt trauma and 10-15% of patients with penetrating trauma [12]. It has been reported that 75% of all diaphragmatic injuries occur by blunt trauma and 25% by penetrating trauma [13]. In our study, 77% of all diaphragmatic injuries were caused by blunt trauma and 23% by sharp object injury. The most common cause was traffic accidents (63.6%).

Penetrating diaphragmatic injuries occur as a result of sharp object or gunshot injuries affecting lower chest or upper abdomen from anterior, posterior, or lateral aspects. Sharp object or gunshot injuries affecting diaphragm may give rise to smaller defects. Injuries associated with sharp object injury tend to be missed at first but they may cause serious complications in the long term [14].

Blunt traumas can cause rupture from the congenitally weak posterior diaphragmatic region. Such injuries develop as a result of pressure changes associated with a sudden increase of intraabdominal pressure. The diaphragm is severely injured by high-energy traumas such as motor vehicle accidents and falls from a height, allowing the diagnosis being timely made by virtue of disrupted respiratory mechanics [1].

Diaphragmatic injuries can be having an insidious asymptomatic course. Diaphragmatic injury is not expected to be clinically apparent in a trauma patient [14]. Hence, TDI may be missed easily at the acute term. The radiological view of herniated organs may be confused with pneumothorax in delayed cases [15].

A person with chest injury having concurrent abdominal symptoms should raise suspicion of the condition. On the other hand, an abdominal injury or injuries sustained from the sides which are associated with thoracic symptoms strongly suggest a diaphragmatic injury. Nevertheless, many patients have no sign of diaphragmatic injury other than the entry hole, which makes the diagnosis only a possibility until the time of exploration. Diaphragmatic injury may be missed altogether when there exists no other indication for exploration for other injuries. The latter condition typically occurs following sharp object injuries since gunshot injuries usually necessitate abdominal and thoracic exploration [16,17].

Diaphragm moves between fourth and eighth ribs with deep expiration and inspiration. Hence, sharp object or gunshot injuries to this region absolutely necessitate the exclusion of diaphragmatic injury. Otherwise, small defects may give rise to serious complications in the long term [18,19].

Sharp object or gunshot injuries affecting diaphragmatic region may form smaller defects. Sharp object injuries tend to have a silent initial course but they also have potential to cause serious complications in the long term, because intraabdominal organs may migrate to thoracic cavity due to a pressure gradient between the positive-pressure abdomen and the negative-pressure thorax, which may lead to colonic, gastric, splenic, and even omental necrosis in the long term [14,18]. This suggests the importance of thoracoscopy and/or laparoscopy.

Miller et al. [20] reported a study on penetrating diaphragmatic injuries affecting 94 patients. They showed that 43% of their patients had normal findings on chest films. Abnormalities noted on chest films may include hemothorax, pneumothorax, hemopneumothorax, abdominal organ herniation, and pneumoperitoneum. A study revealed that radiograms were
negative among 1/3 of 185 patients [16]. Many series published so far have reported that the initial radiological tests produced normal findings in 30-50% of cases, and thus stressed the importance of repeat radiological tests [21,22]. We obtained two-sided chest films and CT for all cases except for those operated on an emergent basis.

Diaphragmatic injury should be suspected for all injuries affecting trunk, with the likelihood being especially raised for injuries from nipples to umbilicus. When there is no doubt about diaphragmatic injury or organ injury, exploration is not essential at the acute stage. However, diaphragmatic tears may be missed after some sharp object injuries [23]. Persistently abnormal findings over the diaphragmatic region or left lower lobe on chest film may necessitate diagnostic laparoscopy or VATS [24,25]. Diagnostic laparoscopy or thoracoscopy should be preferred for penetrating left thoracoabdominal injuries.

Acute diaphragmatic injury may be characterized with shoulder pain, epigastric pain, vomiting, and superficial respiration, which facilitate diagnosis. However, smaller injuries may lack specific signs and symptoms. When strong suspicion remains despite all negative examinations and tests, thoracoscopy and/or laparoscopy should be performed in the same session because this strategy considerably lowers mortality and morbidity [19].

Diaphragmatic injuries, particularly the delayed ones, may cause death. Associated organ injuries cause death at variable rates. The mortality rate in the literature varies between 1% and 28% [13]. Other organ injuries due to multi-trauma are the cause of death in the acute setting whereas it is the pulmonary complications that cause death in delayed cases. In a 480-case series the mortality rate was found 12.5% [26]. While the corresponding figure in our series was 5%.

Symbas et al. [27] reported a mortality rate of 2.2% among 185 patients with penetrating diaphragmatic injuries. Nel and Warren [24] examined 55 patients with diaphragmatic injury using thoracoscopy and reported that the modality was 100% sensitive and 90% specific, and had an accuracy rate of 94%. Some other authors have later confirmed their findings [9,25]. All patients were appropriately managed within 24-48 h. Three cases that remained undiagnosed despite all efforts but still had suspicious signs and symptoms were examined with diagnostic thoracoscopy and diagnosed with diaphragmatic rupture, which was primarily repaired.

Surgical approach to TDI varies by the location of an injury and operative duration. Laparoscopy or laparotomy offers the opportunity for providing the most rapid diaphragmatic repair following trauma. This approach facilitates a detailed intraabdominal examination and abdominal organ reduction [14,28,29].

When intrathoracic organ injury or major bleeding does not exist, an abdominal approach is preferred to detect an asymptomatic abdominal organ injury and to visualize both diaphragms. Thoracotomy does not allow the visualization of both diaphragms. VATS is as effective as laparoscopy for diagnosis and treatment of diaphragmatic injuries [10,30]. In our study, surgical intervention was performed using thoracotomy for cases without abdominal problems and with laparotomy for cases with concurrent abdominal injuries.

In acute injury, a non-absorbable (No. 0 or 1) suture should be used when diaphragmatic integrity is not disrupted. However, prosthetic mesh should be used to reinforce the defect when the latter is large or chronic [4,19].

Our study does have some limitations. First, this is a retrospective study, and cases cannot be randomized. Secondly, autopsy is not popular in our country due to custom. Therefore, we could not discover the missed diagnostic rate precisely.

**Conclusion**

TDI may be easily missed at the acute stage. The radiological appearance of herniated organs may be misdiagnosed as pneumothorax in delayed cases. Delayed traumatic TDI results in high morbidity and mortality. Therefore, in trauma patients, particularly those with lower chest and upper abdominal involvement, it is necessary remember the possibility of TDI, to re-assess patients with radiological diagnostic methods, not to spare thoracoscopy, and to carefully examine diaphragm during laparotomy and thoracotomy performed for other lesions.
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