

## **The impact of osteoporosis on health-related quality of life in elderly women.**

**Hyejin Park\***

Department of International Healthcare Administration, Daegu Catholic University, Republic of Korea

### **Abstract**

**Objective of this study was to assess the impact of osteoporosis on health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in elderly Korean women. Sociodemographic characteristics, medical history of osteoporosis, and the EuroQol-5 dimension questionnaire scores for 1,000 female participants aged 60 years or older were obtained from the 2015 Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). Demographic variables, including age, educational level and income, were significantly associated with HRQoL. Furthermore, osteoporosis had a significant effect on HRQoL in elderly Korean women. In the osteoporosis group, the adjusted odds ratios for disability on the ED-5Q dimensions were 1.92 (95% confidence interval (CI)=1.44-2.58,  $p<0.001$ ) for mobility, 1.90 (95% CI=1.45-2.51,  $p<0.001$ ) for pain/discomfort, and 1.89 (95% CI=1.36-2.61,  $p=0.001$ ) for usual activities. These findings indicate that osteoporosis has a significant impact on HRQoL and suggest that the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis may significantly improve quality of life in elderly women.**

**Keywords:** KNHANES, EuroQol-5 dimension questionnaire, Health-related quality of life, Osteoporosis.

*Accepted on August 24, 2018*

### **Introduction**

Osteoporosis is a skeletal disease characterized by low bone mass, structural deterioration of bone tissue, and increased susceptibility to bone fractures [1,2]. Osteoporosis is one of the most common metabolic bone disorders and a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the elderly [3]. The incidence of hip fractures, the most serious complication of osteoporosis, has been shown to increase exponentially with age in several countries [4]. Furthermore, women are at higher risk of osteoporosis than men because they experience a greater decrease in bone density, particularly during and after menopause [4]. Thus, osteoporosis emerges as a significant health problem in women as they age [5].

Osteoporosis is linked to poor quality of life [6-8]. The condition may cause significant functional impairment leading to prolonged immobilisation and restrictions in activities of daily living resulting in reduced health-related quality of life (HRQoL) [9]. Given that life expectancy and economic status are increasing among elderly adults, the focus of osteoporosis research has shifted from physical aspects of the disease, such as survival, to its impact on HRQoL [10,11]. For example, an investigation of HRQoL in postmenopausal Spanish women with osteoporosis found that the women had a poor quality of life [12]. However, few studies have investigated the association between osteoporosis and quality of life in elderly Korean women. Previous studies have shown that HRQoL is affected by chronic diseases, such as osteoporosis, as well as by demographic factors including sex, age, education, and income [13,14]. Therefore, assessment of the impact of osteoporosis on HRQoL in women is essential for the

improvement of HRQoL through the prevention and management of osteoporosis.

The EuroQol-5 dimension (EQ-5D), Medical Outcome Study Short Form-36, and Health Utility Index Mark 3 are commonly used measures of HRQoL [15]. The EQ-5D is a standardized self-report questionnaire developed in Europe to assess five domains of HRQoL [16]. Because of its high validity and reliability, the EQ-5D is widely used to assess HRQoL in patients with various diseases including osteoporosis.

This study used data acquired from the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) dataset to investigate the association between osteoporosis and HRQoL according to sociodemographic characteristics in women aged 60 y and older and assessed the effect of osteoporosis on the five dimensions of the ED-5Q questionnaire.

### **Methods**

This study was based on data obtained from the KNHANES 2015, which comprises data from surveys on health and nutrition and a medical examination. This study used a stratified multistage cluster-sampling design with proportional allocation to select women  $\geq 60$  y of age from the KNHANES dataset. Participants from selected census blocks were asked their age, educational level, income, and current diagnosis of osteoporosis. The protocol of this study was approved by the Korean Ministry of Health and Welfare and was conducted in accordance with the Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects as defined by the Helsinki Declaration. The study participants provided written informed

consent. Of the selected subjects in the census blocks, 1,000 individuals without missing values for the outcome variables were included in this analysis.

Body mass index (BMI), calculated as weight (kg) divided by height squared ( $m^2$ ), was used to classify participants as underweight ( $BMI < 18.5$ ), normal ( $18.5 \leq BMI < 22.9$ ), overweight ( $23.0 \leq BMI < 24.9$ ), and obese ( $BMI \geq 25.0$ ) according to the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria for Asian populations. The presence of osteoporosis was based on self-report of a current diagnosis of osteoporosis. Education was used as a covariate and categorized as elementary school or less, middle school and high school or above. Income was calculated according to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development method by dividing the square root of the household size by the monthly household income and stratifying it into quartiles according to age group.

HRQoL was assessed using the EQ-5D questionnaire. The EQ-5D is a self-report descriptive system comprising five health dimensions (mobility, self-care, pain/discomfort, usual activities, and anxiety/depression) each divided into three levels of severity: no problems, some/moderate problems, and severe/extreme problems [17]. The ED-5Q scores were used as an overall measure of perceived HRQoL in this study.

The Mantel-Haenszel chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables between groups. The presence of a linear trend was determined by calculating a linear contrast in each of the linear regression models. Logistic regression models were used to estimate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence level (CI) for abnormal (disability) versus normal (no problem) in each ED-5Q health dimension in participants who reported having osteoporosis compared with the reference group (reported not having osteoporosis). All statistical tests were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

## Results

Table 1 shows the prevalence of osteoporosis according to the demographic characteristics (age, BMI, education, and income). The overall prevalence of osteoporosis was 32.3% and ranged from 26.6 to 43.5%. Age and education were significantly associated with the prevalence of osteoporosis ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 1.** Prevalence of osteoporosis in elderly Korean women according to demographic characteristics.

| Variable         | N   | Osteoporosis (%) | $p^a$ |
|------------------|-----|------------------|-------|
| Age (y)          |     |                  |       |
| 60-69            | 499 | 137 (27.5)       | 0.037 |
| 70-79            | 397 | 156 (39.3)       |       |
| $\geq 80$        | 104 | 30 (28.9)        |       |
| BMI ( $kg/m^2$ ) |     |                  |       |
| <18.5            | 23  | 10 (43.5)        | 0.100 |

|                          |     |            |       |
|--------------------------|-----|------------|-------|
| 18.5-22.9                | 303 | 103 (34.0) |       |
| 23.0-24.9                | 267 | 90 (33.7)  |       |
| $\geq 25.0$              | 407 | 120 (29.5) |       |
| Education                |     |            |       |
| $\leq$ Elementary school | 674 | 234 (34.7) | 0.021 |
| Middle school            | 138 | 39 (28.3)  |       |
| $\geq$ High school       | 188 | 50 (26.6)  |       |
| Income                   |     |            |       |
| Quartile 1 (lowest)      | 242 | 83 (34.3)  | 0.822 |
| Quartile 2               | 255 | 71 (27.8)  |       |
| Quartile 3               | 251 | 93 (37.1)  |       |
| Quartile 4 (highest)     | 252 | 76 (30.2)  |       |

$p^a$  determined by Mantel-Haenszel chi-square test.

The mean EQ-5D scores for the demographic characteristics are shown in Table 2. The EQ-5D score decreased as age increased ( $p$  for trend  $< 0.001$ ). Higher educational and income levels were significantly positively associated with higher EQ-5D scores ( $p$  for trend  $< 0.001$  or  $0.001$ ). However, this study found no association between BMI and HRQoL with EQ-5D scores of 0.75, 0.88, 0.89, and 0.84 for underweight, normal, overweight, and obese women, respectively.

**Table 2.** Mean EQ-5D scores according to demographic characteristics in elderly Korean women.

| Variable                 | N   | EQ-5D score     | $p$ for trend |
|--------------------------|-----|-----------------|---------------|
| Age (y)                  |     |                 |               |
| 60-69                    | 499 | 0.90 $\pm$ 0.14 | <0.001        |
| 70-79                    | 397 | 0.82 $\pm$ 0.20 |               |
| $\geq 80$                | 104 | 0.80 $\pm$ 0.22 |               |
| BMI ( $kg/m^2$ )         |     |                 |               |
| <18.5                    | 23  | 0.75 $\pm$ 0.34 | 0.014         |
| 18.5-22.9                | 303 | 0.88 $\pm$ 0.17 |               |
| 23.0-24.9                | 267 | 0.89 $\pm$ 0.14 |               |
| $\geq 25.0$              | 407 | 0.84 $\pm$ 0.19 |               |
| Education                |     |                 |               |
| $\leq$ Elementary school | 674 | 0.83 $\pm$ 0.20 | <0.001        |
| Middle school            | 138 | 0.92 $\pm$ 0.10 |               |
| $\geq$ High school       | 188 | 0.92 $\pm$ 0.11 |               |
| Income                   |     |                 |               |
| Quartile 1 (lowest)      | 242 | 0.83 $\pm$ 0.20 | 0.001         |
| Quartile 2               | 255 | 0.87 $\pm$ 0.17 |               |
| Quartile 3               | 251 | 0.86 $\pm$ 0.17 |               |

|                      |     |             |
|----------------------|-----|-------------|
| Quartile 4 (highest) | 252 | 0.90 ± 0.16 |
|----------------------|-----|-------------|

Table 3 shows the ORs for the level of disability associated with osteoporosis. Compared with the non-osteoporosis reference group, the adjusted ORs for mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression in the osteoporosis group were 1.92 (95% CI: 1.44-2.58), 1.63 (95% CI: 1.09-2.46), 1.89 (95% CI: 1.36-2.61), 1.90 (95% CI: 1.45-2.51), and 1.46 (95% CI: 1.05-2.03), respectively (p<0.01, model 2). After adjusting for age, (Model 1) and all covariates (age, BMI, education, and income; model 2), the order of adjusted ORs for disability was: mobility>pain/discomfort>usual activities>self-care>anxiety/depression.

**Table 3.** Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI) for disability on the ED-5Q dimensions in elderly Korean women with osteoporosis.

|                           | Non-osteoporosis (n=677) | Osteoporosis (n=323) | p      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|
| <b>Mobility</b>           |                          |                      |        |
| Model 1                   | 1.00 (reference)         | 1.92 (1.45-2.54)     | <0.001 |
| Model 2                   | 1.00 (reference)         | 1.92 (1.44-2.58)     | <0.001 |
| <b>Self-care</b>          |                          |                      |        |
| Model 1                   | 1.00 (reference)         | 1.66 (1.11-2.48)     | 0.013  |
| Model 2                   | 1.00 (reference)         | 1.63 (1.09-2.46)     | 0.019  |
| <b>Usual activities</b>   |                          |                      |        |
| Model 1                   | 1.00 (reference)         | 1.86 (1.36-2.54)     | 0.001  |
| Model 2                   | 1.00 (reference)         | 1.89 (1.36-2.61)     | 0.001  |
| <b>Pain/discomfort</b>    |                          |                      |        |
| Model 1                   | 1.00 (reference)         | 1.91 (1.46-2.51)     | <0.001 |
| Model 2                   | 1.00 (reference)         | 1.90 (1.45-2.51)     | <0.001 |
| <b>Anxiety/depression</b> |                          |                      |        |
| Model 1                   | 1.00 (reference)         | 1.47 (1.07-2.03)     | 0.018  |
| Model 2                   | 1.00 (reference)         | 1.46 (1.05-2.03)     | 0.024  |

Model 1 was adjusted for age. Model 2 was adjusted for age, body mass index, education, and income.

## Discussion

This study investigated the association between HRQoL and osteoporosis in elderly women using the EQ-5D questionnaire, which is a reliable measure of HRQoL. The prevalence of osteoporosis is closely related to aging, and osteoporosis has a high morbidity rate in women. Furthermore, osteoporosis has been shown to have an adverse effect on quality of life as well as physical health in women [18]. The overall prevalence of osteoporosis was 32.3% among Korean women aged 60 y and older in this sample. According to the WHO, 30% of women over the age of 50 have osteoporosis [19]. Similarly, a recent study estimated that 29.9% of postmenopausal women in the United States had osteoporosis [20], and a study conducted in

Slovenia found that the prevalence of osteoporosis increased with age from 24.9% in patients aged 60-64 y to 37.4% in patients aged 70-75 y [21]. However, the reported prevalence of osteoporosis in women varies widely across countries with 9% reported in the United Kingdom, 15% in France and Germany, 16% in the United States, and a prevalence of 38% reported in Japan [22]. This disparity may be explained by racial or ethnic differences. Indeed, a previous study found that the age-adjusted prevalence of osteoporosis was higher in non-Hispanic Asian women than in all other race/Hispanic origin groups [23]. Furthermore, the diagnostic criteria and/or definition of osteoporosis may influence the prevalence findings. For example, a previous study of osteoporosis in nursing home residents in the United States found a prevalence of 13.5%; however, when an expanded definition of osteoporosis was used, the prevalence increased to 24.2% [24].

Interestingly, BMI did not have a significant effect on the prevalence of osteoporosis. Although obesity is a major risk factor for osteoarthritis, a degenerative joint disease [25], findings of this study suggest that obesity is not directly associated with the prevalence of osteoporosis in elderly Korean women.

The findings of this study that sociodemographic characteristics, including age, BMI, education, and income, had a significant effect on HRQoL are consistent with those of several previous studies. HRQoL has been shown to decrease with age [26,27], and Kvamme et al. [28] reported that, within the BMI categories, moderately overweight individuals had the highest HRQoL. Furthermore, the finding that higher educational and income levels were associated with a high HRQoL is consistent with that of a previous study in middle-aged and elderly adults showing that individuals in the higher education and income groups had a higher HRQoL than those in the lower education and income groups [29-32].

The findings of this study indicate that osteoporosis has a significant impact on HRQoL in elderly Korean women. The multiple logistic regression analysis revealed that the ORs for disability were significantly increased in all five ED-5Q dimensions. In particular, poor mobility had the greatest impact on HRQoL in this study population. These findings suggest that the preservation of mobility is essential for the improvement of HRQoL in elderly patients with osteoporosis.

This study had several limitations. A major limitation was potential misclassification and reporting bias resulting from the use of self-report information for the presence of osteoporosis. Moreover, the use of a cross-sectional design allowed us to provide associations but not establish causal relationships. Finally, there may have been limitations related to the reliability and objectivity of the EQ-5D as a measure of quality of life.

## Conclusion

This population-based cross-sectional study of elderly women found that HRQoL was associated with several demographic characteristics, including age, educational level, and income.

Furthermore, women in the osteoporosis group reported a significantly higher level of disability in the ED-5Q dimensions than did those in the non-osteoporosis group, particularly in the mobility, pain/discomfort, and usual activities dimensions. The finding of a significant association between osteoporosis and HRQoL in Korean women aged 60 y and older suggests that the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis is essential for good HRQoL in elderly women.

### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (No. NRF-2018R1D1A1B07050009, NRF-2015R1D1A1A01056617).

### Conflict of Interests

Author has none to declare.

### References

1. Damelio P, Spertino PE, Martino F, Isaia GC. Prevalence of postmenopausal osteoporosis in Italy and validation of decision rules for referring women for bone densitometry. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2013; 92: 437-443.
2. Chen SJ, Lin CS, Lin CL, Kao CH. Osteoporosis is associated with high risk for coronary heart disease: a population-based cohort study. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2015; 94: e1146.
3. Bliuc D, Nguyen ND, Alarkawi D, Nguyen TV, Eisman JA, Center JR. Accelerated bone loss and increased post-fracture mortality in elderly women and men. *Osteoporos Int* 2015; 26: 1331-1339.
4. Cummings SR, Melton LJ. Epidemiology and outcomes of osteoporotic fractures. *Lancet* 2002; 359: 1761-1767.
5. Colón-Emeric CS, Saag KG. Osteoporotic fractures in older adults. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2006; 20: 695-706.
6. Borgstrom F, Lekander I, Ivergard M, Strom O, Svedbom A, Alekna V, Bianchi ML, Clark P, Curiel MD, Dimai HP, Jürisson M, Kallikorm R, Lesnyak O, McCloskey E, Nassonov E, Sanders KM, Silverman S, Tamulaitiene M, Thomas T, Tosteson AN, Jönsson B, Kanis JA. The International Costs and Utilities Related to Osteoporotic Fractures Study (ICUROS)-quality of life during the first 4 months after fracture. *Osteoporos Int* 2013; 24: 811-823.
7. Martin AR, Sornay-Rendu E, Chandler JM, Duboeuf F, Girman CJ, Delmas PD. The impact of osteoporosis on quality-of-life: the OFELY cohort. *Bone* 2002; 31: 32-36.
8. Cook DJ, Guyatt GH, Adachi JD, Clifton J, Griffith LE, Epstein RS, Juniper EF. Quality of life issues in women with vertebral fractures due to osteoporosis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1993; 36: 750-756.
9. Raszeja-Wyszomirska J, Kucharski R, Kotarska K, Zalewska M, Miazgowski T. The impact of osteoporosis on health-related quality of life in patients after liver transplantation-a pilot study. *Prz Gastroenterol* 2015; 10: 215-221.
10. Fechtenbaum J, Cropet C, Kolta S, Horlait S, Orcel P, Roux C. The severity of vertebral fractures and health-related quality of life in osteoporotic postmenopausal women. *Osteoporos Int* 2005; 16: 2175-2179.
11. Lips P, van Schoor NM. Quality of life in patients with osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 2005; 16: 447-455.
12. Palacios S, Neyro JL, Fernandez de Cabo S, Chaves J, Rejas J. Impact of osteoporosis and bone fracture on health-related quality of life in postmenopausal women. *Climacteric* 2014; 17: 60-70.
13. Jiang Y, Hesser JE. Associations between health-related quality of life and demographics and health risks. Results from Rhode Islands 2002 behavioral risk factor survey. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2006; 4: 14.
14. Al-Sari UA, Tobias J, Clark E. Health-related quality of life in older people with osteoporotic vertebral fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Osteoporos Int* 2016; 27: 2891-2900.
15. Muraki S, Akune T, Oka H, En-yo Y, Yoshida M, Saika A, Suzuki T, Yoshida H, Ishibashi H, Tokimura F, Yamamoto S, Nakamura K, Kawaguchi H, Yoshimura N. Association of radiographic and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis with health-related quality of life in a population-based cohort study in Japan: the ROAD study. *Osteoarthritis Cartil* 2010; 18: 1227-1234.
16. Søltøft F, Hammer M, Kragh N. The association of body mass index and health-related quality of life in the general population: data from the 2003 Health Survey of England. *Qual Life Res* 2009; 18: 1293-1299.
17. Dritsaki M, Petrou S, Williams M, Lamb SE. An empirical evaluation of the SF-12, SF-6D, EQ-5D and Michigan hand outcome questionnaire in patients with rheumatoid arthritis of the hand. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2017; 15: 20.
18. Gajic-Veljanoski O, Papaioannou A, Kennedy C, Ioannidis G, Berger C, Wong AKO, Rockwood K, Kirkland S, Raina P, Thabane L, Adachi JD, CaMos Research Group. Osteoporotic fractures and obesity affect frailty progression: a longitudinal analysis of the Canadian multicentre osteoporosis study. *BMC Geriatr* 2018; 18: 4.
19. WHO Technical Report Series. Prevention and management of osteoporosis: report of a WHO scientific group. Geneva WHO 2003; 921.
20. Wright NC, Saag KG, Dawson-Hughes B, Khosla S, Siris ES. The impact of the new National Bone Health Alliance (NBHA) diagnostic criteria on the prevalence of osteoporosis in the USA. *Osteoporos Int* 2017; 28: 1225-1232.
21. Franic D, Verdenik I. Risk factors for osteoporosis in postmenopausal women-from the point of view of primary care gynecologist. *Zdr Varst* 2018; 57: 33-38.
22. Wade SW, Strader C, Fitzpatrick LA, Anthony MS, O'Malley CD. Estimating prevalence of osteoporosis:

- examples from industrialized countries. *Arch Osteoporos* 2014; 9: 182.
23. Looker AC, Sarafrazi Isfahani N, Fan B, Shepherd JA. Trends in osteoporosis and low bone mass in older US adults, 2005-2006 through 2013-2014. *Osteoporos Int* 2017; 28: 1979-1988.
  24. Zarowitz BJ, Cheng LI, Allen C, OShea T, Stolshek B. Osteoporosis prevalence and characteristics of treated and untreated nursing home residents with osteoporosis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015; 16: 341-348.
  25. Neogi T, Zhang Y. Osteoarthritis prevention. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2011; 23: 185-191.
  26. Zhang S, Meng L, Qiu F, Yang JD, Sun S. Medication-related risk factors associated with health-related quality of life among community-dwelling elderly in China. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2018; 12: 529-537.
  27. Mun S, Park K, Baek Y, Lee S, Yoo JH. Interrelationships among common symptoms in the elderly and their effects on health-related quality of life: a cross-sectional study in rural Korea. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2016; 14: 146.
  28. Kvamme JM, Olsen JA, Florholmen J, Jacobsen BK. Risk of malnutrition and health-related quality of life in community-living elderly men and women: the Tromsø study. *Qual Life Res* 2011; 20: 575-582.
  29. Rao Y, Xu X, Liu D, Reis C, Newman IM, Qin L, Sharma M, Shen J, Zhao Y. Health-related quality of life in patients with arthritis: a cross-sectional survey among middle-aged adults in Chongqing, China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2018; 15: 768.
  30. Zhang L, Guo X, Zhang J, Chen X, Zhou C, Ge D, Qian Y. Health-related quality of life among adults with and without hypertension: a population-based survey using EQ-5D in Shandong, China. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 14960.
  31. Dotlic J, Kurtagic I, Nurkovic S, Kovacevic N, Radovanovic S, Rancic B, Milosevic B, Terzic M, Gazibara T. Factors associated with general and health-related quality of life in menopausal transition among women from Serbia. *Women Health* 2018; 58: 278-296.
  32. McCaffrey N, Kaambwa B, Currow DC, Ratcliffe J. Health-related quality of life measured using the EQ-5D-5L: South Australian population norms. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2016; 14: 133.

**\*Correspondence to**

Hyejin Park

Department of International Healthcare Administration

Daegu Catholic University

Republic of Korea