Synergistic cytotoxic effect of sodium dichloroacetate combined with chemotherapeutic drugs on B16F10 murine melanoma cell line.
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Abstract

The incidence of skin cancer has increased dramatically in recent decades, particularly melanoma. In this study, the cytotoxic effects of sodium dichloroacetate (DCA) in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs such as doxorubicin (DOX) and cisplatin (CIS) were evaluated. In vitro, B16F10, macrophages, and murine erythrocytes were treated with DCA (ranged from $3.66 \times 10^4$ to $3.66 \times 10^5 \mu$mol/L), DOX ($1.38 \times 10^{-4}$ to $1.38 \times 10^{-3} \mu$mol/L) and CIS (0.16 to 1.28 $\mu$mol/L) alone or in combination and were incubated for 72 h. Cell viability and hemolysis were determined by the MTT method and released hemoglobin, respectively. The results obtained on B16F10 cells indicate that the treatment with DCA alone showed a half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC$_{50}$) of $1.49 \times 10^5 \mu$mol/L, DOX caused an IC$_{50}$ of $1.12 \times 10^{-4} \mu$mol/L and CIS-induced an IC$_{50}$ of 1.14 $\mu$mol/L. Combinations of treatments with IC$_{50}$ of DCA+CIS or DCA+DOX resulted in significantly decreased cell viability by 60 and 95%, respectively. Finally, the treatments alone or in combination did not cause lysis of murine erythrocytes and did not affect the cell viability of macrophages. Our results suggest that DCA enhances cytotoxicity induced by CIS or DOX on B16F10 cells without affecting erythrocytes and macrophages integrity.
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Introduction

In recent years, the incidence of skin cancer has increased considerably, particularly melanoma. Although melanoma is the least frequent skin cancer, accounting for only 1% of all cases, it is highly aggressive and the mortality rate has increased significantly in the last years, due to the occurrence of metastases that resists conventional therapies [1-3]. In this manner, in the United States, melanoma was the third most common type of skin cancer, more than 91,270 new cases were diagnosed, of which 9,320 died and a melanoma rate of 2.6 per 100,000 was reported [4]. The main environmental factor that increases the risk the development of melanoma is the exposure to ultraviolet radiation, which can induce abnormalities in the genetic pathways and cause an unregulated cell growth of melanocytes [5]. Also, it has been mentioned that the origin of cancer cells can be related to metabolic alteration, such as mitochondrial increase of glycolysis, which largely depends on this metabolic pathway needed to convert glucose into pyruvate, for the generation of ATP to meet cancer cell energy needs [6]. Many cancer cell types produce ATP by conversion of glucose to lactate in the presence of oxygen and exhibit lower oxidative phosphorylation. The glycolytic phenotype is known as aerobic glycolysis or the “Warburg effect” [7]. Therefore, the search for new drugs plays an important role in cancer treatment. Sodium dichloroacetate is a pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase inhibitor and is able to reverse the Warburg effect by shifting ATP production back to oxidative phosphorylation, the restoration of the mitochondrial processes consequently induces apoptosis in some cancer cell lines such as breast, prostate, colorectal, lung and endometrial cancers [8,9]. However, a few studies have been conducted on the pharmacological interaction with sodium dichloroacetate and chemotherapeutic drugs on melanoma cells.

Due to this reason, in the present study, we investigated the cytotoxic potential of sodium dichloroacetate in combination with doxorubicin or cisplatin in B16F10 murine melanoma cell line as well as toxicity in normal cells such as peritoneal macrophages and erythrocytes.
Materials and Methods

**Sodium dichloroacetate and chemotherapeutic drugs**

The sodium dichloroacetate (150.92451 M.W.) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), cisplatin (Blastolem RU®) and doxorubicin (Doxolem RU®) were obtained from Medical Pharmacy MD (Monterrey, N.L., Mexico). Subsequently, all drugs were filtered (0.2 μm of diameter, Millipore, USA) and dissolved in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle's medium (DMEM/F-12) (Life Technologies Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA).

**Cell culture**

B16F10 murine melanoma cell line was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and was maintained in DMEM/F-12 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% antibiotic-antimitotic solution (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Cells were exponentially growing at 37°C, and 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

**Murine peritoneal macrophages harvest and culture**

Resident peritoneal cells were obtained from 6-8 weeks old female C57BL/6 mice maintained in a controlled environment at 25°C (12 h light/dark cycles) with free access to food and water. The mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation, and resident peritoneal macrophages were obtained by peritoneal lavage with ice-cold DMEM/F-12 culture medium, according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Ethics Research Committee of Faculty of Chemistry of the Autonomous University of Coahuila with registration number 102/2017.

**Cell viability assessment by MTT assay**

B16F10 cells (5 × 10³ cells/well) and peritoneal macrophages (1 × 10⁶ cells/well) were plated on 96 and 6 flat-bottom well plates, respectively, and incubated overnight at 37°C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere. After incubation, the culture medium was removed, and sodium dichloroacetate was diluted in DMEM/F-12 culture medium, according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Ethics Research Committee of Faculty of Chemistry of the Autonomous University of Coahuila with registration number 102/2017.

The results were used to plot a concentration-response curve by linear regression to determine the DCA, DOX or CIS concentrations that inhibited 50% of the cell population (IC₅₀). Data are presented as the percentage of viability ± standard deviation.

**Effect of DCA with chemotherapeutics drugs in B16F10 cells and murine macrophages**

We first determined the IC₅₀ values of DCA, DOX, and CIS for B16F10 cell line. Drug concentrations ranged from 1.38 × 10⁻⁴ to 3.66 × 10⁻² μmol/L for the single-drug treatment. To determine the pharmacological interaction between the DCA and the chemotherapeutic drugs, the B16F10 cells were seeded as described above, and were added the IC₅₀ of DCA and simultaneously treated with IC₅₀ of DOX or CIS. In the same manner, to determine whether DCA in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs induce cytotoxicity in macrophages, the IC₅₀ of DCA plus IC₅₀ of the chemotherapeutics agents obtained in B16F10 cells assay were applied in peritoneal macrophages culture and incubated for 72 hours. Finally, the MTT assay was performed to evaluate cell viability.

**Optical microscopy**

For morphological analysis, B16F10 and macrophages treated with DCA in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs were directly observed in the culture plates using an inverted optical microscope (Leica DMIL, USA) and photographs were taken with an attached camera.

**In vitro hemolysis assay**

The hemolysis test was performed using blood from C57BL/6 mice. In briefly, blood was collected in EDTA tubes, was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 4 minutes at 4°C. The pellet was washed three times with cold Alsever’s solution. The supernatant was diluted 1:99 with Alsever’s solution. Then, 150 μL of this suspension in Alsever’s buffer and taken for the curve-response experiments. The concentrations of DCA were used in a range from 3.66 × 10⁻⁴ to 3.66 × 10⁻² μmol/L, DOX at 1.38 × 10⁻⁴ to 1.38 × 10⁻³ μmol/L and CIS to a concentration of 0.16 to 1.28 μmol/L. Finally, to evaluate whether DCA in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs induced hemolysis, the IC₅₀ of DCA plus IC₅₀ of the chemotherapeutics agents obtained in B16F10 cells assay were added in murine erythrocytes culture and were incubated for 24 hours. The Alsever's solution and deionized water were used as a negative and positive control, respectively. The samples were centrifugated under 3000 rpm for 4 minutes and free hemoglobin in the supernatant was measured spectrophotometrically at 415 nm (Spectronic, model Genesis 5). The hemolysis percentage was calculated using the following formula (Equation 2):

\[
\text{Hemolysis} \% = \frac{\text{Abs of treated cells} - \text{Abs of negative control}}{\text{Abs of positive control} - \text{Abs of negative control}} \times 100 \text{ (2)}
\]
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Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate and data are representative of three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was obtained using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett’s tests using the GraphPad Prism 5 (San Diego, USA, 2007). The results were considered statistically significant if the *p-value was <0.05.

Results

Single-agent effects on B16F10 and peritoneal macrophages viability

In the study, we showed that treatments with DCA, DOX and CIS significantly affected the viability of B16F10 cell. In this manner, DCA affected the cell viability (1.10 × 10⁵ μmol/L (75.84%), 1.47 × 10⁵ μmol/L (44.70%), 1.83 × 10⁵ μmol/L (34.72%), 2.20 × 10⁵ μmol/L (22.19%), 2.56 × 10⁵ μmol/L (9.22%), 2.93 × 10⁵ μmol/L (5.40%), 3.30 × 10⁵ μmol/L (2.97%)), and DCA caused 100% of toxicity on B16F10 cells at the concentration of 3.66 x 10⁴ μmol/L. However, DCA in concentrations of 3.66 × 10⁴ μmol/L and 7.32 × 10⁴ μmol/L did not significantly affect the viability percentage of the B16F10 cells (93.01% and 93.92%, respectively) (Figure 1A). On the other hand, DOX treatments induced a significant decreased the viability (1.38 × 10⁻⁴ μmol/L (38.70%), 2.76 × 10⁻⁴ μmol/L (33.79%), 4.14 × 10⁻⁴ μmol/L (18.92%), 5.52 × 10⁻⁴ μmol/L (17.04%), 6.90 × 10⁻⁴ μmol/L (17.64%), 8.28 × 10⁻⁴ μmol/L (15.42%), 9.66 × 10⁻⁴ μmol/L (14.77%), 1.10 × 10⁻³ μmol/L (14.67%), 1.24 × 10⁻³ μmol/L (12.84%) and 1.38 × 10⁻³ μmol/L (13.90%) compared to untreated cells (100%)) as shown in Figure 1B. In the case of CIS (Figure 1C), we found concentration-dependent effects (0.16 μmol/L (85.54%), 0.32 μmol/L (81.47%), 0.48 μmol/L (82.92%), 0.64 μmol/L (71.78%), 0.80 μmol/L (59.15%), 0.96 μmol/L (52.47%), 1.12 μmol/L (50.06%) and 1.28 μmol/L (32.63%)). Interestingly, DCA did not affect the viability of peritoneal macrophages (Figure 1A), while DOX and CIS decrease approximately 25% of the viability of murine macrophages (Figures 1B and 1C, respectively).

Effect of DCA combined with chemotherapeutic agents in melanoma cells and murine macrophages

Based on the linear regression equation obtained of the single treatments on B16F10 cells, the IC₅₀ for DCA, DOX and CIS were determined in 1.49 × 10⁵ μmol/L, 1.12 × 10⁻⁴ μmol/L, and 1.14 μmol/L, respectively (Table 1). Then, the combinations with IC₅₀ of the treatments were performed. The results demonstrated that DCA+CIS and DCA+DOX caused a greater decrease in cell viability, maintaining only 38.75% and 4.19% of live cells, respectively, compared to the single treatments. Conversely, macrophages treated with the combination of DCA+CIS showed 87.45% of viable cells, and similarly, DCA+DOX maintains 94.75% of live cells (Figure 2).

Optical microscopy to identify morphological alterations of cells

In the case of untreated B16F10 cells have prolongations and moderate pleomorphism (Figure 3A). Numerous dead B16F10 cells were observed with the treatment of DCA+DOX and DCA+CIS (Figures 3B and 3C, respectively). These results demonstrate that the DCA and the chemotherapeutics used in this research have a synergistic effect. On the other hand, macrophages treated with the combination of DCA and chemotherapeutic drugs did not cause apparent morphological alterations (Figures 3E and 3F, respectively) in comparison with the untreated cells (Figure 3D).
Hemolysis

DCA in the concentrations of $3.66 \times 10^4$ to $3.66 \times 10^5 \mu$mol/L did not cause statistically significant hemolytic effects ($3.66 \times 10^4 \mu$mol/L (0.43%), $1.10 \times 10^5 \mu$mol/L (3.72%), $1.83 \times 10^5 \mu$mol/L (4.44%), and $3.66 \times 10^5 \mu$mol/L (4.70%) (Figure 4A). Similar results were found with DOX ($1.38 \times 10^{-4} \mu$mol/L (5.45%), $4.14 \times 10^{-4} \mu$mol/L (5.60%), $6.90 \times 10^{-4} \mu$mol/L (5.70%), and $1.38 \times 10^{-3} \mu$mol/L (5.85%)) (Figure 4B). On the other hand, CIS induced hemolytic effects only in the concentrations of $0.64 \mu$mol/L (7.04%), and $1.28 \mu$mol/L (8.09%) in comparison to the negative control (Figure 4C).

Effect of DCA in combination with chemotherapeutic agents on murine erythrocytes

Only the combination of DCA+CIS showed significant hemolytic effects (23.73%). On the contrary, the combination of DCA+DOX did not cause significant hemolysis (1.66%) compared to the negative control (Figure 5).

Discussion

Now-a-days, melanoma is responsible for the most deaths of all skin cancer, occurs in young people and adults (aged 15-39 years). It is estimated that annually, $3.3$ billion in costs of skin cancer treatment is attributable to melanoma [10]. One of the main problems of melanoma is the high metastatic potential causing dissemination of tumor cells towards lung, liver, and brain. Although there are several chemotherapeutic agents to fight melanoma, such as DOX and CIS, the effectiveness is limited due to the toxicity to other organs in the body by the interaction of the drug with normal cells such as hair follicle, skin, reproductive, digestive tract and blood cells [11,12]. Side effects of chemotherapy include nausea, vomiting, fatigue, alopecia and cardiac, renal and hematological toxicity [13].

On the other hand, Warburg effect contributing to the invasive properties of cancer cells and resistance to common anticancer agents [14]. DCA, as a pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase inhibitor, can reverse the Warburg effect, some studies have shown anti-cancer properties in several cancer cell lines such as...
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as HepG2, HCC-LM3 and SMMC-7721 (hepatocellular carcinoma line cells) at 20 mmol/L [15,16], RPMI8226, U266, JNJ-3, NHC-H929 and LP-1 (myeloma cells) at 25 mmol/L [17], NTera-2 (testicular cancer), U2OS (osteosarcoma), A549 (lung cancer), MCF-7 (breast cancer) at 20 μmol/L, PC3 and DU145 (prostate cancer) at 5 mmol/L [18], PTJ64 and PTJ86i (paraganglioma cells) at 50 mmol/L. [19]. However, there is limited information about the effect of DCA on melanoma cell lines, for example, Abildgaard et al. showed that DCA at concentrations of 0.5 to 100 mmol/L reduced the cell proliferation of several melanoma cell lines (ED-007, ED-070, ED-179, ED-196, and SK-MEL-28) in a concentration-dependent manner [20]. In addition, Populo et al. reported that DCA induced an IC_{50} values at 33 mmol/L and 53 mmol/L in Mewo and A375 melanoma cells, respectively [21].

Our results demonstrated that the treatment with DCA alone caused a 100% decrease in B16F10 cells with a concentration of 3.66 × 10^{5} μmol/L. Similarly, other investigations have found that DCA at a concentration of 5 μmol/L provokes a reduction of 40% in the HBL human melanoma line [22].

DCA has been extensively studied for the treatment of congenital lactic acidosis in mitochondrial diseases [23,24] and has been demonstrated that DCA is a safe drug with no cardiac, pulmonary, renal, or hematologic toxicity [25]. Similarly, in this work, DCA did not produce cytotoxic effects in murine macrophages and erythrocytes.

Similar to DCA, DOX induces a decrease of 86% in the B16F10 viability at concentrations ranging from 4.14 × 10^{-4} to 1.38 × 10^{-3} μmol/L. Finally, CIS was able to reduce cell viability at ~67%, with a concentration of 1.28 μmol/L. Other investigations have found an IC_{50} value of cisplatin in NTera-2 (0.043 μmol/L), Hela (1.2 μmol/L), and U2OS (3.9 μmol/L) cancer cell lines [15].

One of the main problems with the clinical use of chemotherapeutic agents such as DOX, and CIS is the development of drug resistance due to the repeated administration of increasing doses of these drugs may, therefore, cause therapeutic failure.

For this reason, several works have shown that the combined use of drugs with cytotoxic potential acting on distinct cellular targets to decrease the dose of each individual drug to induce a synergistic or additive effect and enhancing the antitumor activity [26]. Therefore, based on the promising antitumor activity of DCA, we evaluated the combinations of this molecule with chemotherapeutic agents such as DOX, or CIS showed a synergistic effect on cell death in the B16F10 cell line. Similar to our results, a formulation denominated mitaplatin (consisting of DCA+CIS) affected the tumor cells by two action pathways: DCA caused mitochondrial damage, and CIS inhibited DNA synthesis by cross-linking the chains of the genetic material on HeLa and A549 cell lines, cervical and lung cancer, respectively at a concentration of 10 μmol/L [15]. In another case, the combined DCA (5 mmol/L) and CIS (0.13 mmol/L) in PC3 and DU145 cancer cell lines dramatically decreased the cell viability in comparison with single drug treatment [18]. Moreover, Florio et al. showed that the combined treatments with DCA (10 mmol/L) and metformin (15 mmol/L) caused a greater reduction of paraganglioma cell viability as compared to the effect of single agents. In other investigation, DCA (1 mmol/L) and vemurafenib (50 mmol/L), significantly decreased the cellular growth of ED-117 and ED-196 melanoma cell lines [20].

In another case, the combination of DOX and lovastatin showed synergistic cytotoxic effects on human melanoma cells B16F10, B78, A-375 y Hs 294T [27]. Also, the treatment with 0.5 mmol/L DCA plus 300 mmol/L of elesclomol caused a pro-oxidative effect inducing the death of A375 human melanoma cells [22].

Our findings indicate that DCA+CIS or DCA+DOXO combinations did not affect the viability of murine peritoneal macrophages. Similar results have been found with DCA at a concentration of 20 μmol/L in the normal cell line human fetal lung (MRC-5), only induced 1.8% of cell death. However, they found that chemotherapeutic agents caused lymphopenia and a significant decrease in the number of murine peritoneal macrophages [28]. Our results also showed that CIS and DOX affected the cell viability of murine macrophages.

Besides, we evaluated the effect of drugs on erythrocytes, because anticancer drugs are usually administered intravenously. Our results indicate that treatment with alone DCA or DOX did not induce hemolysis in murine erythrocytes, although CIS, with the concentration of 1.28 μmol/L caused significant hemolysis (8%) as compared to the negative control. Similar results have been reported, finding that CIS at 13.33 μmol/L induced hemolysis in isolated chicken erythrocytes (14%) [29]. However, CIS in a concentration of 0.33 μmol/L did not cause damage to human erythrocytes [30].

Conclusion
In conclusion, DCA significantly enhances the DOX and CIS cytotoxicity in melanoma cells, without affectation of murine macrophages and erythrocytes. Our findings suggest that the combination of DCA+DOX could be used to improve the treatment of melanoma. However, more studies in vitro and in vivo are necessary to understand the mechanism of action as well as to evaluate the side effects of combinations of drugs after short and long-term administration and cytotoxic efficacy on melanoma cancer.
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