Short-term outcome of endoscopic versus microscopic pituitary adenoma surgery in a single center.
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Abstract

Pituitary Adenomas (PA) are a group of benign tumors with diverse biological behavior including different hormonal secretion, cavernous sinus invasion and considerable rates of relapse. The aim of the study was to evaluate the benefits of the Endoscopic Endonasal Transsphenoidal (EET) approach for managing hormonal producing Pituitary Adenomas (PA) in terms of achieving clinical remission in comparison to the Microscopic Endonasal Transsphenoidal (MET) approach. During the period 2014 to 2017 a series of 128 patients with PA were operated on via MET (n=62) and EET (n=66) approach. Endocrinological, neuro-ophthalmic and MRI examinations were assessed preoperatively and postoperatively at 3rd and 12th month. Thirty-two patients were diagnosed with growth-hormone secreting adenomas (GH), 25 with Adrenocorticotropic Hormone secreting adenomas (ACTH), 17 with Prolactin-secreting adenomas (Prl) and 54 with non-secreting pituitary adenomas. The endoscopic group had higher levels of remission in comparison to the microscopic group (81.8% vs. 70.9%), however no significant difference was observed (p=0.128). PA with invasiveness to the cavernous sinus from the endoscopic group had significantly better remission rates opposed to the microscopic group (p<0.05). Macroadenomas not exceeding 40 mm in diameter had higher remission rates in the endoscopic group (p<0.05). Examination of postoperative complications showed similar incidence of postoperative diabetes insipidus and CSF leak (p>0.05). The use of endoscopic endonasal transphenoidal approach provides better remission rates in invasive PA compared to the conventional microsurgical approach. A long-term follow-up is still needed for further evaluation of our results.
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Introduction

Pituitary adenomas represent a group of tumors with a diverse biological behavior. Series of studies showed that in around 16% of the population asymptomatic incidental pituitary adenomas are found in autopsy and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) studies. Some of those tumors are symptomatic and those adenomas have been estimated to be 94±19.3 cases/100,000 population [1]. Symptomatic PA are considered to be 7%-17% of all intracranial tumors. Pituitary adenomas arise from adenohypophyseal cells in the anterior pituitary, and despite their benign histologic nature, they may enlarge and invade surrounding structures [1].

In the late 1960s Hardy popularized the use of the operating microscope in transsphenoidal surgery for selective adenomectomy. In the following 30 years, the microscopic transsphenoidal procedure via a sublabial or endonasal approach became the “gold standard” for surgically treating pituitary adenomas [2]. Jankowski introduced for the first time in 1992 a successful endonasal endoscopic adenomectomy in 3 patients [3]. The first clinical series of 50 patients, who underwent a pure endoscopic pituitary surgery for adenomas without the use of a microscope was presented by Jho and Carrau [4] in 1997. Since then, endoscopic pituitary surgery has gained great popularity, and many microscopic pituitary surgeons have transitioned to an endoscope-assisted method or fully endoscopic transphenoidal approach for pituitary adenomas and other parasellar tumors [4].

The endoscope has some advantages over the microscope in pituitary adenoma removal, which are the enhanced visualization and better illumination. The improved panoramic high-resolution view can lead theoretically to better tumor removal in comparison to the tunnel vision and relatively restricted access of the microscope [5].

Material and Methods

During the period 2014 to 2017 a series of 128 patients with PA were operated on via MET (n=62) and EET (n=66) approach by the same surgical team.
Subjects
This prospective study enrolled 128 patients with functioning and non-functioning pituitary adenomas-54 males and 74 females at average age of 48.7 ± 15.2 (20-77 y), referred for pituitary surgery to the Clinic of Neurosurgery of “St. Ivan Rilski” University Hospital in Sofia between 2014 and 2017.

All patients from both groups were additionally divided into smaller subgroups, according to secretion (prolactinomas, somatotropinomas, corticotropinomas and non-secreting adenomas) and according to size of tumor (micro- and macro-adenoma). 14 patients from the EET group and 12 from the MET group were previously operated.

Pre- and post-operative imaging and endocrine evaluation
All patients underwent pituitary MRI with and without gadolinium prior to surgery. Dynamic MRI was performed specifically in the setting of functioning microadenomas with no adenoma visualized on conventional pituitary MRI. Hormonal data included pre- and postoperative levels of serum prolactin, morning serum cortisol and ACTH levels, 24 h urinary free cortisol levels and dexamethasone suppression test (for patients with Cushing disease), GH levels, IGF-1 levels, free or bioavailable testosterone levels, thyroxine levels, and TSH levels. Diagnosis and clinical remission in functioning pituitary adenomas were defined according to latest consensuses and guidelines, combined with the data of the pre- and postoperative MRI imaging at 3rd and 12th month postop.

For non-functioning pituitary adenomas absence of residual tumor on the postoperative imaging studies was assessed as clinical remission. Patients underwent complete neuroophthalmic examination prior to the surgery and postoperatively at 3rd and 12th month.

Surgical methods
Direct microscopic mononastril endonasal transsphenoidal adenectomy: The direct endonasal approach is performed with no septal dissection and the nasal speculum is inserted into the nostril and opened at the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus. After the anterior sphenoidotomy, opening of the sellar floor and dura are done. Bipolar cautery is used to dissect the posterior nasal cavity using a 30- and 45-degree endoscope to visualize compartments outside of the direct line of view. An endoscopic hydroscopy of the resection cavity was also performed. The sellar space was patched either with an autologous fat graft, hemostatic cellulose polymer, dural sealant, fibrin glue and/or nasoseptal flap if needed. Intrasellar hydroscopy was performed in 51 cases of all operated in the EET group.

Statistical analysis
The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows, Version 23.0. (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA). For data analysis, the following statistical methods were used: descriptive statistics for tabular and graphical presentation of results, Chi-square test, Fisher exact test and Mann-Whitney U test. The obtained results were assessed as statistically reliable in threshold level of significance p<0.05.

Results
Study population
There were 62 patients (33 female and 29 male) in the MET group and 66 patients in the EET (41 female and 25 male) group. Average age was 49.44 ± 14.98 and 47.90 ± 15.70 years and mean tumor size was 4248.62 ± 6985.38 mm³ and 4391.04 ± 6400.59 mm³ in MET and EET group respectively. No statistical difference was observed between the 2 groups, particularly with regard to tumor volume, type of adenoma and rate of cavernous sinus invasion (p>0.05).

In the microsurgical group an overall remission of 70.97% was achieved. The subgroups of patients with prolactinomas (n=8), somatotropinomas (n=15), corticotropinomas (n=14) and non-secreting adenomas (n=25) had a remission rate of 75%, 66.6%, 85.7% and 64% respectively. Remission in the subgroups of patients with microadenomas and macroadenomas was 88.46% and 58.3% respectively (Table 1). The mean length of hospital stay was 6.34 ± 2.17 d. The mean duration of the surgeries was 86.85 ± 21.29 min.

The endoscopic group had an overall remission of 81.82%. The subgroups of patients with prolactinomas (n=9), somatotropinomas (n=17), corticotropinomas (n=11) and non-secreting adenomas (n=29) had a remission rate of 88.89%, 81.82%, 82.35% and 79.31% respectively. Remission in the subgroups of patients with microadenomas and macroadenomas was 88% and 78% respectively (Table 1). The mean length of hospital stay was 5.79 ± 1.81 d. The mean duration of the surgeries was 113.48 ± 18.52 min.
Comparison between MET and EET group

There was no statistical difference between the remission rates in both groups in functioning and non-functioning PA, as well as between microadenomas and macroadenomas (p>0.05). Further breakdown of this data in terms of size, revealed that in the subgroup macroadenomas, excluding the giant macroadenomas (macroadenomas above 40 mm), there were significantly better remission rates in the endoscopic group in comparison to the microscopic group (p=0.043). In the MET group there was significant difference between remission rates of microadenomas and macroadenomas (p=0.01). This phenomenon was not observed in the EET group (p>0.05). Further investigation showed that the distribution of tumor volumes across the categories with remission and without remission was the same in the EET group (p>0.05) and was significantly different in the MET group (p=0.030). In invasive PAs (Knosp scale above 0) there were better remission rates in the group, treated trough EET approach (p=0.025).

Complications

CSF leak rates were similar in the two groups. Five patients (8.06%) in the MET group and 7 (10.6%) in the EET group had post-operative CSF leakage (Table 2). Meningitis, diabetes insipidus, postoperative hypopituitarism had similar rates and no statistical difference between both groups was found (p>0.05).

### Table 1. Remission rates in patients in microsurgical (MET) and endoscopic (EET) group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MET remission (n)</th>
<th>MET remission (%)</th>
<th>EET remission (n)</th>
<th>EET remission (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All PAs</td>
<td>44/62</td>
<td>70.97%</td>
<td>54/66</td>
<td>81.82%</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolactinomas</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>88.89%</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticotropinomas</td>
<td>12/14</td>
<td>85.71%</td>
<td>9/11</td>
<td>81.82%</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatotropinomas</td>
<td>10/15</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
<td>14/17</td>
<td>82.35%</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-functioning PAs</td>
<td>16/25</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>23/29</td>
<td>79.31%</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microadenomas</td>
<td>23/26</td>
<td>88.46%</td>
<td>22/25</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroadenomas</td>
<td>21/36</td>
<td>58.33%</td>
<td>32/41</td>
<td>78.05%</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Postoperative complications in MET and EET group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MET (n)</th>
<th>MET (%)</th>
<th>EET (n)</th>
<th>EET (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.06%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.60%</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epistaxis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.60%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitory diabetes insipidus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.12%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18.18%</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent diabetes insipidus</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypopituitarism</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.29%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.12%</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The microscopic transsphenoidal approach has been the most common technique for resecting pituitary lesions over the last 50 years. Endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery for pituitary adenomas was introduced in the 90s and it has been developing rapidly over the last 20 years with introduction of new techniques. There are a couple of meta-analysis and retrospective series suggesting endoscopic techniques are associated with achieving gross total removal in pituitary tumors, especially when these tumors are locally invasive or are not just limited to the sella [8-11]. A recent meta-analysis from Almutairi including over 8000 patients showed evidence for higher gross total resection in patients, operated endoscopically. Endoscopic surgery for non-functioning PA also is associated with higher rates of GTR. However, there is no evidence that functioning PAs benefit from the endoscopic approach, as there is no statistical difference between the two surgical techniques [10].

There are few studies, which perform a volumetric analysis of the surgical results between EET and MET approach. The current shows that remission rates in patients, treated endoscopically, do not decrease with increasing volume of the PA. However increasing tumor volume leads to decreased remission rates in the microscopic group. This observation was further confirmed by statistically significant difference in the microscopic group between remission rates in the subgroups microadenoma and macroadenoma, which was not observed in the endoscopically treated group. All this data could suggest that the EET approach potentially leads to higher remission rates in macroadenomas, especially with suprasellar extension. Messerer et al. [12] also suggest that the endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery leads to better results in terms of gross total resection for macroadenomas.

Dhandapani et al. [13] compared remission rates between MET and EET approach in surgical treatment of invasive PAs to the cavernous sinus. Endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery leads to greater gross total resection in invasive PAs in comparison to the microscopic approach, probably because of the angled endoscopic view of the laterally positioned cavernous sinus. Our study also confirmed this conclusion.
Most of the retrospective studies and meta-analysis found no difference in terms of postoperative complications, which was also observed in our study. Ammirati et al. [14] found higher rate of vascular lacerations of the internal carotid artery in patients treated endoscopically in comparison to the microscopic approach.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the results of our study show no statistical difference between the remission rates in EET and MET groups in functioning and non-functioning PA, as well as between microadenomas and macroadenomas. Endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach is associated with better remission rates in surgical treatment of invasive pituitary adenomas. Both surgical methods offer similar safety profile in terms of operative and postoperative complications.
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