AbSTRACT

Minimally-invasive surgery can improve outcomes in patients with interstitial ectopic pregnancies. However, it remains technically challenging and fertility outcomes following this procedure are not well documented. The aim of this study was to describe a laparoscopic surgical method to treat interstitial ectopic pregnancy using haemostatic sutures and bipolar excision and to assess future pregnancy outcomes in the eighteen women who underwent this operation. In all of the eighteen women, laparoscopic management was successful. Eleven women (61%) reported thirteen subsequent pregnancies with one first trimester miscarriage, one termination of pregnancy, three normal vaginal deliveries and eight caesarean sections. Ten pregnancies (77%) proceeded to term. There was one report of uterine rupture. We conclude that this minimally-invasive surgical method is a safe and effective procedure for the treatment of cornual ectopic pregnancy with preservation of future fertility, however elective caesarean section is recommended in subsequent pregnancies due to the risk of uterine rupture.
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Case Report Series

Materials and methods

The Women's and Children's Hospital serves as a tertiary referral centre that provides advanced obstetrics and gynecology services in South Australia. Catchment area also includes transfers from the Northern Territory and far western New
South Wales and Victoria. The gynaecology unit provides a general gynaecological service for women, but has a focus on advanced endoscopic procedures. There were 18 women who had laparoscopic resection of cornual ectopic pregnancy over a 12 year study period at this hospital (2004-2016). The patients were identified using coding records after ethics approval was granted. There were 29 cases identified by coding ‘interstitial pregnancy’. Those which were managed non-surgically were excluded (9 cases). A further two cases were excluded as the surgical method used was not the procedure described in this paper. Only patients with cornual ectopic pregnancies managed with this specific laparoscopic technique were included in this case series. There were no abandoned cases. Case notes and electronic medical records were reviewed for clinical details, outcome of surgery and future fertility and pregnancy outcomes. The main outcome measure was the success of surgery and future fertility outcome. Given the study design, several limitations exist. The most significant being the small sample size which does not allow for application of statistics and selection bias. Also reliance on correct coding for the cases to be identified during the data collection process.

**Surgical technique**

Cornual ectopic pregnancy is visualized using standard laparoscopic procedure under a general anaesthetic. A disposable uterine manipulator is gently inserted. Procedure is commenced by bipolar coagulation of the round ligament on the side of the cornual ectopic. This opens the two leaves of the broad ligament exposing the ascending branch of the uterine artery, which usually is the principal blood supply to the ectopic pregnancy. Bipolar energy is used to coagulate this vessel. Next step is to coagulate and divide blood vessels in the meso-salpinx tributaries from ovarian vessels. Few (generally two to three) intra-coporeal haemostatic sutures (Vicryl) are then placed on the myometrium medial to the cornual ectopic bulge, which will almost completely de-vascularise the mass. Monopolar cutting current is used to excise the cornual ectopic along with the fallopian tube on that side. Specimen retrieval is done through Nubert Endo bag.

**Case Reports**

Clinical details and outcome for the 18 women who were treated for cornual ectopic pregnancy are summarised in Table 1. The majority of cases presented with pain and/or vaginal bleeding or ultrasound diagnosis of interstitial pregnancy. In three patients the diagnosis of cornual location was made intra-operatively. The sizes of the cornual ectopic pregnancies ranged from 14 mm-54 mm.

The procedure was performed laparoscopically in all cases. Only one patient (Case 3) required blood transfusion postoperatively, in the context of a 2L haemoperitoneum and postoperative haemoglobin 68 g/L. Estimated blood loss was minimal in all cases which had not previously ruptured and the only surgical complication was one accidental perforation of the uterus.

Previous ipsilateral salpingectomy for ectopic pregnancy had been performed in 8 cases (44.4%). One patient (Case 14) previously had a laparotomy for management of a cornual ectopic on the right side. She then experienced recurrence on same side, which was treated according to the described method. Subsequently, she went on to have two vaginal births.

All women were followed up to assess reproductive performance. Of these, seven did not have further pregnancies. There were thirteen pregnancies overall in the patient group, with one first trimester miscarriage, one termination of pregnancy, ten proceeding to term. Three women had normal vaginal deliveries at term. Case 13 had an emergency LSCS for failure to progress in the first stage of labour, but did not have any other complications. Case 8 involved a uterine rupture and is discussed in detail below. Case 17 had an elective caesarean section at 36+5 weeks gestation for myometrial thinning seen on ultrasound.

Case 8 underwent a left salpingectomy in July 2008 and represented in November 2008 with right iliac fossa pain at 6 weeks gestation. Ultrasound demonstrated a live interstitial ectopic pregnancy in the residual stump of the left fallopian tube (CRL 3.4 mm). These findings were confirmed on laparoscopy and the patient underwent a cornual resection without complication. In her subsequent pregnancy, she presented in spontaneous labour at term and complained of constant, severe abdominal pain. On examination she was peritonitic and a foot was palpated through the abdominal wall. There was no fetal distress. Emergency LSCS was performed demonstrating uterine rupture through the previous cornual resection site, with an extra-uterine fetal foot. Midline laparotomy with transverse lower segment incision was performed with delivery of a live male infant in good condition. Repair of the uterine rupture was successful and postoperative period was unremarkable.

The median time interval between the surgery for management of the cornual ectopic pregnancy and subsequent pregnancy (as per urine pregnancy test or ultrasound scan) was 18 (range 7-53 months) months.

**Discussion**

The aim of this case series was to assess the success of laparoscopic resection of interstitial ectopic pregnancy and subsequent reproductive outcome. Laparoscopic resection of interstitial pregnancy requires experience in minimally-invasive techniques. The preservation of future fertility is a key consideration in patient management and this case series has provided evidence that pregnancy outcomes following laparoscopic cornual resection are promising. Despite these reassuring fertility outcomes, elective caesarean section remains the safest mode of delivery given the increased risk of uterine rupture in subsequent labour.

Although interstitial pregnancy is uncommon, occurring in 2%-4% of all ectopic pregnancies [7], it remains an important differential to consider in the context of ectopic pregnancy [15]. Our case series was typical of other reported case series, especially in demonstrating the association between previous salpingectomy and implantation of the pregnancy in the remaining cornual stump [16] and the risk of uterine rupture in future pregnancies [17].

Although medical management with intramuscular single-dose methotrexate has been demonstrated to be safe and effective in the majority of cases [6,7], definitive surgical management may be required in patients who are clinically unstable, or who do not meet institutional criteria regarding size of gestational sac and b-hCG level. Moreover, many cases are diagnosed at the time of...
laparoscopy—three patients on our series. For these reasons, the development of safe, effective, and minimally-invasive surgical procedures for the treatment of interstitial ectopic pregnancy is desirable.

A major surgical consideration in the treatment of interstitial ectopic pregnancy is the use of techniques to achieve haemostasis prior to resection in order to reduce the risk of significant haemorrhage requiring conversion to laparotomy or hysterectomy in severe cases. Dilute vasopressin [18], electrocoagulation or uterine artery embolisation [19] have been used in most reported cases to reduce the risk of significant haemorrhage. The uniqueness of our surgical technique is due to isolation of the feeding branch of the uterine artery and placing haemostatic sutures in the adjacent myometrium prior to cornual resection and sealing the ascending uterine branch on the ipsilateral side. Bleeding from the excised area is negligible with this method. This was demonstrated to be effective even in the setting of acute bleeding due to ruptured cornual ectopic (Case 3). Cucinella, et al. [20] published a systematic review of the literature on surgical approaches to management of interstitial pregnancies. This showed reduced blood loss and operative times when haemostatic techniques were used. Laparoscopic

Table 1. Patient characteristics, operative details and fertility outcome following laparoscopic resection of Cornual ectopic pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>G:P Gravidad</th>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>Prior pregnancies (ectopics excluded)</th>
<th>Prior ectopic</th>
<th>Gestation</th>
<th>Additional surgical findings</th>
<th>Fertility following resection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × NVD</td>
<td>1 × R salpingectomy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × NVD</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7 + 2</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1 × M/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × LSCS</td>
<td>1 × L salpingectomy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ruptured</td>
<td>2L haemoperitoneum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 units PRBC</td>
<td>1 × Elective LSCS (IVF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × forceps</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>L cornual excision with salpingectomy + R sterilisation</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3:0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × M/C</td>
<td>6 + 1</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1 × Elective LSCS (IVF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × NVD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4:2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 × NVD</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3:01</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × ventouse</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1 × Emergency LSCS for uterine rupture (IVF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 + 3</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Accidental perforation</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>7:01</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 × TOP</td>
<td>7 + 6</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>6 + 4</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1 × TOP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2:01</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × NVD</td>
<td>11 + 5</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1 × Emergency LSCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × M/C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7:04</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 × NVD</td>
<td>Uncertain</td>
<td>Recurrence on the same side (R)</td>
<td>2 × NVD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3:01</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × NVD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1 × Elective LSCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3:01</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × LSCS</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1 × Elective LSCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9:01</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × NVD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1 × Elective LSCS (IVF) (myometrial thinning)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 × TOP</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NVD: Normal Vaginal Delivery; LSCS: Lower Segment Caesarean Section; M/C: Miscarriage; TOP: Termination Of Pregnancy; IVF: In vitro Fertilization.
injection of vasopressin into the myometrium below the cornual mass was the preferred method to minimize bleeding. Ansari et al. [21] described temporary occlusion of the confluence of the utero-ovarian blood supply and the ascending branch using laparoscopic vascular clamp which may be quicker and easier, especially for the surgeons who do not perform laparoscopic suturing often. This may be considered to be an advance on the technique described in the current paper. Using temporary occlusive vascular clamps can potentially speed up surgery and further reduce blood loss [22].

Our technique is safe and can be performed with materials available in any theatre set up for standard laparoscopic gynaecological procedures. However, the surgeon should be competent in laparoscopic suturing techniques.

Fertility outcomes following this procedure are promising. Median time interval of a subsequent pregnancy was 18 months, which is comparable with tubal ectopic pregnancies. That information is useful in counseling patients with this uncommon condition. In those cases which proceeded to future pregnancy, two proceeded to normal vaginal delivery and another underwent the first stage of labour but proceeded to emergency caesarean section for failure to progress. All women had been advised that elective caesarean section was the safest mode of delivery for future pregnancies; However, those who laboured were managed according to the vaginal birth after caesarean (VBAC) protocol. Uterine rupture, a known complication of previous cornual surgery. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 2012;52:387-90.
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