Prevalence of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in a teaching hospital in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.
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Abstract

Following the first report in 1961, methicillin–resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) has progressively become a leading cause of nosocomial infections. MRSA infection may have a significant impact on patient morbidity and mortality. Limited number of studies in Saudi Arabia has attempted to investigate infection and risk factors associated with nosocomial acquired MRSA.

This study was designed to estimate the hidden prevalence of MRSA at King Khalid University Hospital (KKUH), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia and identified and described the potential risk factors for MRSA infection.

The study was carried out at KKUH over a period of 12 months. All the cases of hospital acquired MRSA were included. The demographic, clinical and laboratory data of the infected patients were obtained. Isolation and susceptibility of MRSA were performed at KKUH microbiology laboratory. The risk factors for colonization were estimated. Information concerning hospitalization, antibiotic treatment in the previous two months, and the presence of co-morbidities were obtained. The chart of patients were reviewed daily for indication and duration of antimicrobial therapy. The date of discharge and the duration of hospital stay were calculated.

This study revealed an MRSA prevalence of 2 cases per 1000 admission. Diabetes mellitus, multiple co-morbidities, old age, male gender, antibiotics use and I.C.U. admission were significant risk factors associated with increased prevalence of MRSA acquisition. The presently applied infection control policies at KKUH were effective in controlling MRSA infection. However more studies are suggested to further explore means of further reducing MRSA burden on health systems.
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Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus, often referred to simply as “staph”, are commonly carried on the skin or in the nose of healthy people. Occasionally, S. aureus can cause skin infections and most of the times these infections are minor (such as pimples and boils) and can be treated without antibiotics. However, it can also cause serious infections such as surgical wound infections and pneumonia, especially in children and immunocompromised patients [1]. In the past, most of the serious S. aureus infections were treated with penicillin. However, over the past 50 years, treatment of these infections has become more difficult because of the development of resistance in S. aureus to various antibiotics, including the commonly used penicillin-related antibiotics [2]. The term “methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus” (MRSA) refers to those strains of S. aureus that have acquired resistance, whether in the community or in the hospital, to the antibiotics methicillin, oxacillin, nafcillin, cephalosporins, Imipenem, and/or other beta-lactam antibiotics [1,2]. Primarily, MRSA used to be a nosocomial pathogen that is prevalent in most hospitals and endemic at some institutions [1,3]. MRSA is now considered a virulent organism that can
cause significant mortality and morbidity, and although it does not seem to affect healthy individuals, it can be deadly to patients in hospitals [3,4]. The main reason for the increased risk of mortality to patients acquiring MRSA in critical care areas (CCAs) is that these patients are already compromised by other disease processes and are also often immunosuppressed [5,6]. Therefore, considerable emphasis needs to be placed on identifying effective procedures to control the spread of MRSA within hospitals. Studies have shown that, once introduced into a hospital, MRSA is difficult to eradicate, with fewer than 15% of all hospitals that reported outbreaks able to eliminate the organism [7].

Methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* was first reported in 1961 and since then MRSA has gone from being regarded as “not only rare but of doubtful clinical significance” to a major nosocomial pathogen throughout the world [1,3,8,9]. Although Jevons [8] was the first to report MRSA shortly after the introduction of methicillin in 1959, MRSA did not become a problem until the late 1970s when it emerged as a major cause of staphylococcal infections in hospitals worldwide [1,3,6,8,9].

MRSA is a *staphylococcal* that is resistant to methicillin. This implies resistance to all penicillinase-resistant penicillins and essentially, all other ß-lactam antibiotics. In the late 1960s and 1970s, MRSA has also been found to be resistant to other unrelated antibiotics, such as streptomycin, tetracyclines, Chloramphenicol, erythromycin, lincomycin, clindamycin and kanamycin [10]. Therefore, MRSA infections have the serious clinical implications, in that they are difficult to treat and are associated with increased hospital stay and/or death [4,11,12]. Duckworth et al [4] reported a higher incidence of septic shock, bacteremia and three times greater risk of death in patients with MRSA in comparison to patients with Methicillin sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) [4]. Initially, MRSA was thought to be less pathogenic but further findings in both Australia and the USA found MRSA was capable of producing serious diseases such as empyema, pneumonia, osteomyelitis, enterocolitis and septicaemia [10]. The significance for patients with an MRSA infection is that their treatment is now limited to the use of a narrow range of potentially toxic antibiotics such as vancomycin and Teicoplanin [4]. Vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (VRSA) and vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) are now major problems in both hospital and community health care facilities worldwide [13,14].

The development of resistance to these antibiotics is growing at such an alarming rate that current hospital infection control measures may prove ineffective. Since delaying initiation of effective MRSA therapy has been shown to be a significant mortality risk factor, research has begun to focus on developing new antibiotics to manage infections caused by gram positive bacteria, such as MRSA [4]. Linezolid and the combination quinupristin / dalfopristin (Synercid™) are the first of two new series of antibiotics being introduced to help combat the problems of resistance. Currently, these antibiotics are mainly used in the treatment of serious infections in CCAs and immunocompromised patients where resistance to first line antibiotics has been demonstrated [13]. However, to preserve the long-term value of these antibiotics, hospitals must ensure that clear guidelines and policies are in place for the prescribing and use of these new drugs. Once MRSA is established, it often spreads rapidly among the severely ill. Modes of transmission include transient contamination from patient to patient via the unwashed hands of medical staff and nurses, and contact spread from health care workers either asymptomatically colonized in the anterior nares or on their hands [10]. Other modes of transmission are contact with heavily contaminated linen, equipment and environmental surfaces around infected patients. Instruments and equipment (e.g. stethoscopes, writing equipment, ventilators, lifting slings, sphygmomanometers) should be identified and designated for MRSA patients only, and preferable for individuals. If this is not possible and to prevent possible cross contamination, disinfection with an appropriate solution (70% alcohol) should be carried out before using these items on other patients [4]. Patients with MRSA pneumonia or those receiving mechanical ventilation can cause the droplet spread of MRSA during coughing or physiotherapy.

In Saudi Arabia, very few studies have been conducted so far to investigate the incidence or prevalence of MRSA and identification of the risk factors associated with MRSA infection in the community and/or hospital patients [15-26]. The first report came in the international literature in the year 1992 by Al-Masudi et al, in which a comparative study to investigate the sensitivity of MRSA strains isolated from Saudi Arabia and great Britain to antibiotics and biocides was undertaken [15]. They have reported that Saudi and British strains differed in their sensitivity pattern, as none of the Saudi strains showed resistance to propanidide isethionate. Whereas, most of the British Gentamicin-resistant MRSA have been highly resistant to this agent and other nucleic acid binding compounds [15]. In 1993, Haddad et al, documented the first outbreak of MRSA in neonatal intensive care unit at a tertiary care hospital in Riyadh [16]. They reported that all of the MRSA were resistant to gentamicin, erythromycin, tetracycline but sensitive to vancomycin [16] Overcrowding, limited space, and inadequate cleaning were incriminated as the major risk factors [16].

First epidemiological report on MRSA from Saudi Arabia appeared in the year 1994 from the western region (Jeddah) [17]. MRSA comprised about 7.5% of all *S. aureus* isolates studied over a period of 3 years, with 71% of them originating from wound sites [17].
Majority of them were resistant to tetracycline (93%), followed by gentamicin (83%), rifampicin (6%) and ciprofloxacin (1%) [17]. Alghaithy et al have investigated the carrier status and antibiotic resistance among hospital and non-hospital personnel in Abha (Saudi Arabia) and isolated MRSA from 5.1% of the hospital and 18.3% from the non-hospital carriers [19]. However, 44% of the hospital carriers were multiple resistant as compared to 8% of the non-hospital carriers. Moreover, multiple resistance was more common among in-patients (8%) as compared to out-patients (1%) [17]. Madani et al and several other authors [19-25] have shown that prevalence of MRSA at tertiary care hospitals is increasing in the kingdom, and therefore control measures are needed to be enhanced and effectively applied.

Literature survey has shown that no study has been conducted so far to estimate the prevalence of MRSA at KKUH one of the biggest tertiary care health centers in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The objective of this prospective study is to determine the prevalence of MRSA at KKUH and also describe the potential risk factors.

Patients and Methods

a. Patients

This prospective study was carried at the King Khalid University Hospital (KKUH), a 680 beds tertiary-care hospital located in Saudi Arabia, Capital City-Riyadh, of these beds, 62 are intensive care beds, 28 adults and 34 pediatrics, over a period of 12 months from 01 August 2005 to 31 July 2006. Demographic, clinical and laboratory data were prospectively collected, through a structured questionnaire, on all hospital acquired (HA)-MRSA cases, regardless of age, sex, and nationality. MRSA was defined as reported by the microbiology laboratory. Cases were classified as infection according to center of disease control and prevention (CDC) criteria [18]. Cases associated with no clinical infection were considered isolated. Only nosocomially acquired MRSA were included.

b. Clinical definitions

Nosocomial or HA-MRSA was defined as the MRSA acquired after 72-hours of hospitalization or related to an intervention during the hospital stay [23].

The sites of infection: Skin and Soft tissue infection, surgical site infection, Blood stream infection, Urinary tract infection, pneumonia and catheter related infections were defined according to CDC guidelines [18]. Empiric therapy was defined as treatment of a presumed infection for which no pathogen or source could be determined; therapeutic antimicrobial use was defined as treatment of a microbiologically or clinically documented infection; and prophylactic therapy was defined as treatment given to prevent infections in patients undergoing surgical and invasive procedures.

c. Data collection

After obtaining the informed consent of the participants, swabs were obtained from the anterior nares, baseline demographic variables, clinical and laboratory data were recorded through the completion of a well-structured questionnaire (Appendix) at the patient’s bedside. Data of patients found to be newly colonized with MRSA, were collected. Risk factors were extracted from the medical records. These included patient’s underlying conditions, frequency of previous medical consultations, the reason for current admission, history of previous hospital admissions, transfers between wards, antibiotics used, the intensity of the care required during the ongoing episode of admission and the presence of chronic skin ulcers. Repeat and duplicate isolates from the same person during the study period were identified by the patient medical record number and excluded. The risk factors for colonization were estimated by interview and daily chart review. Information was obtained concerning hospitalization in the preceding year, whether the patient was admitted from the community, another hospital or transferred from another ward of the same hospital, antibiotic treatment in the previous 2 months, and the presence of diabetes. The charts of patients were reviewed daily for antibiotic use, including the indication for, and the duration of anti-microbial therapy. The dates of discharge were noted and the duration of hospital stay calculated.

d. Microbiological investigations:

Initial screening and identification of S. aureus were according to standard laboratory protocols. Briefly, specimens were streaked on mannitol salt agar and isolates of S. aureus were tested for methicillin resistance using a standard oxacillin salt agar screening plate procedure and Cefoxitin susceptibility as indicated by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS). A methicillin-resistant strain and a methicillin-sensitive strain were included as controls. Isolation of even one colony of S. aureus on the selective medium was considered indicative of MRSA.

e. Screening and confirmation of methicillin-resistance

Screening of methicillin-resistance were done by inoculating a 10 µL aliquot of a bacterial suspension with 3 X 106 CFU/ml (McFarland turbidity no. 1) on Mueller-Hinton
agar supplement with Ca (50 mg/L), Mg (25 mg/L), NaCl (4%) and oxacillin (4 µg/ml). A growth of at least one well-defined colony on these plates incubated at 30°C for 48h will be suggestive of MRSA.

The methicillin-resistance strain were confirmed by microdilution in Mueller-Hinton broth supplemented with cations and NaCl, using a final inoculation of 7.5 x 10^4 CFU. MRSA was identified with a minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of oxacillin >8 µg/ml, and those with an MIC ≤1µg/ml will be considered MSS. An MIC of oxacillin between >1µg/ml and <8 µg/ml were defined a methicillin-intermediate Staphylococcus (MIS). This was confirmed by cefoxitin susceptibility following CISti recommendation. MICs for vancomycin, gentamicin, erythromycin, penicillin, tetracycline, clindamycin, amikacin, ciprofloxacin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole were carried out according to the recommendations of NCCLS using S. aureus ATCC 29212 as control.

Results

During the period of study 27,655 adult patients were admitted to KKUH and 58 patients developed 68 episodes of MRSA infections. This gives prevalence of 2 cases per 1000 admissions. Twenty-seven patients (46.6%) were above 60 years of age; 16 patients (27.6%) ranged in their age between 31 and 60 years, while 9 patients (15.5%) were at 16-30 years age groups. Lowest MRSA infection occurred at the age less than one year (2 patients, i.e. 3.4%) while 4 patients (6.9%) were in the age range of 1-15 years. Forty three patients (74.1%) were males and 15 were females (25.9%). The majority of patients were Saudi (94.8%). Eleven patients had a hospital stay of <10 days (19%), while the hospital stay of 11-20 days and 21-30 days was spent by 10 patients (17.2%) and 11 patients (19%) respectively. Twenty-six patients (44.8%) stayed for ≥30 days. Twenty-seven patients (46.6%) required admission to intensive care units (I.C.U.) while 31 patients (53.4%) were admitted to general wards. Thirty-four patients (58.6%) received antibiotic treatment for MRSA infection and 24 patients (41.4%) received no antibiotics as they were only colonized, rather than, infected with MRSA.

Twelve patients (20.7%) had 3 co-morbidities, 19 (32.8%) had 2 co-morbidities, 16 (27.5%) had 1 co-morbidity and 11 patients (19%) had no co-morbidities. Twenty-five patients (43.1%) were diabetics and 16 patients (27.6%) had cardiac disease, 5 patients (8.6%) had renal disease. The total number of MRSA category of infections was 68 episodes. The majority occurred in the respiratory system where 30 infection episodes (44.1%) were observed and 10 patients (17.2%) were ventilated, followed by surgical site infection, skin subcutaneous tissue and bacteremia: 16 (23.5%), 12 (17.7%) and 6

| Table I: Demographic, admission, and receiving antibiotic data of 58 MRSA Cases - Year 2006. |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Age                                           | No.     | Percentage |
| < 1 yrs                                        | 2       | 3.4%     |
| 1-15 yrs                                      | 4       | 6.9%     |
| 16-30 yrs                                     | 9       | 15.5%    |
| 31-60 yrs                                     | 16      | 27.6%    |
| > 60 yrs                                      | 27      | 46.6%    |
| Sex                                           | No.     | Percentage |
| Male                                          | 43      | 74.1%    |
| Female                                        | 15      | 25.9%    |
| Nationality                                   | No.     | Percentage |
| Saudi                                         | 55      | 94.8%    |
| Non - saudi                                   | 3       | 5.2%     |
| Hospital stay                                 | No.     | Percentage |
| ≤ 10 days                                     | 11      | 19%      |
| 11 – 20 days                                  | 10      | 17.2%    |
| 21 - 30 days                                  | 11      | 19%      |
| ≥ 30 days                                     | 26      | 44.8%    |
| Intensive care unit                           | No.     | Percentage |
| Yes                                           | 27      | 46.6%    |
| No                                            | 31      | 53.4%    |
| Antibiotic                                    | No.     | Percentage |
| Yes                                           | 34      | 58.6%    |
| No                                            | 24      | 41.4%    |

| Table II: Category and risk factor of infection of 58 MRSA cases - Year 2006 |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Category of infection                         | No.     | Percentage |
| Respiratory                                   | 30      | 44.1%    |
| Surgical site infection                       | 16      | 23.5%    |
| Skin, subcutaneous                            | 12      | 17.7%    |
| Bacteremia                                    | 6       | 8.8%     |
| Catheter related                              | 3       | 4.4%     |
| Urine                                         | 1       | 1.5%     |
| Total                                         | 68      | 100%     |
| Comorbidities                                 | No.     | Percentage |
| No co-morbidity                               | 11      | 19%      |
| 1 comorbidity                                 | 16      | 27.5%    |
| 2 comorbidities                               | 19      | 32.8%    |
| 3 comorbidities                               | 12      | 20.7%    |
| Diseases                                      | No.     | Percentage |
| Diabetes mellitus                             | 25      | 43.1%    |
| Cardiac disease                               | 16      | 27.6%    |
| Renal disease                                 | 5       | 8.6%     |
| Cancer disease                                | 1       | 1.7%     |
| Ventilated patients                           | 10      | 17.2%    |
| Colonization                                  | 13      | 22.4%    |
Table III: Antibiotics susceptibility in patients with 58 MRSA cases - Year 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Sensitive</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Intermediate</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampicin</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotrimoxazole</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>84.5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix 1: MRSA Study

M.R.N. ______ Age ______ Sex ______ Nationality: Saudi ______ Non-Saudi ______

- Date of Admission ________)
- Date of Discharge ________)
- Clinical diagnosis: ________________________________
- Length of stay before MRSA Bacteremia _________________
- Antimicrobial treatment after MRSA Bacteremia __________
- Previous antimicrobial treatment:
  a) Length _________________________________________
  b) Types (List ABX) _________________________________

- MRSA colonization sites:
  - Intravenous device ________________________________
  - Respiratory tract: Sputum, trachea, throat __________
  - Urinary tract ____________________________________
  - Gastrointestinal tract ____________________________
  - Wound __________________________________________
  - Others __________________________________________

- Underlying conditions:
  - Cardiac __________________________________________
  - Pulmonary ________________________________________
  - Gastrointestinal _________________________________
  - Malignancy ______________________________________
  - Trauma __________________________________________
  - Others __________________________________________

- Associated Diseases: Specify
  - DM ______________________________________________
  - R.F. ____________________________________________
  - Steroids ________________________________________
  - Skin lesion ______________________________________

- ICU admission prior to MRSA: _______________________

- Predisposing factors:
  - I.V. Lines: ________________________________
  - Arterial _________________________________
    Venous (peripheral) ______________________

Continue from page 11
• Central venous line
• TPN
• Urinary Catheter
• Hemodialysis
• Peritoneal dialysis
• Neutropenia
• Ventilator use

• Outcome of MRSA Bacteremia
  • Cured
  • Died

• Lab No.
• Antibiotic Sensitivity
  Vancomycin
  Tetracycline
  Rifampicin
  Co-Trimoxazole
  Linezolid
  Ciprofloxacin
  Others
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Figure I. Comparison of MRSA in KKUH in the Years 2003 – 2006.

(8.8%) respectively. Three patients (4.4%) had catheter related MRSA and 1 patient (1.5%) had urinary tract infection with MRSA. All the MRSA isolates were sensitive to vancomycin (100%); susceptibility to Rifampicin was 55.1% while 19.0% were resistant to Rifampicin. Resistance to Cotrimoxazole and Clindamycin were observed in 38% and 88% respectively (See Tables 1-3 & Figure 1).

Discussion

MRSA is a notorious organism causing Infections mainly in Health Care Institutions [1,3-24]. Outbreaks of such infection in hospitals are also accelerated with marked increase in morbidity and mortality specially outbreaks in ICU setting [12,16]. This increase is accompanied with a high economic cost. Efforts are made to control these outbreaks [16].

Many institutions have reported an increase in the incidence of MRSA in recent years [3]; meanwhile other institution reported a variation in the incidence. Zaman and Dibb reported during a 3-year period study the prevalence of MRSA showed only minor variation between 6.5% and 8.9% at King Khalid National Guard Hospital in Jeddah [17].

In our institution, in Riyadh, the trend was toward a decrease in the incidence of MRSA. This is most likely due to the activation of the Infection Control Department implementing a strict surveillance continuous education for the Health Care Workers (HCW). stressing on the important role of handwashing and use of alcohol gel in almost every area in the hospital [7].

Finger printing feedback and active interaction with hospital staff specifically with the treating physician had likely contributed to the decreasing trend noticed at KKUH. The number of MRSA isolate was 461 in 2003 which subsequently dropped to 136 in 2004, 65 in 2005 and was 58 in 2006 during the study year.

The majority of our patients were above 60 years(46.6%). This is in agreement with Madani [20] who reported 36% at King Fahad Hospital (KFH) in Jeddah [20]. In this study, the age group between 31-60 was only (27.6%). Meanwhile, Madani and his co- workers [20] have reported higher number (33 and 46%) at King Abdulaziz University Hospital (KAUH) in Jeddah and KFH in Jeddah respectively.

The gender description was 74.1% male and 25.9% female. A similar sex description was reported from KFH in Jeddah. Bukhari and Abdelhadi [24] found male 63%
and female 37%. Zamann R. reported 69% were male [17] Madani et al have reported 73% male and 27% female [20]. Twenty-eight per cent (28%) of our MRSA isolates were cultured from the skin and subcutaneous tissues. This is in disagreement with other studies, Bukhari et al [23] and Madani et al [20].

The epidemiology of MRSA has continued to evolve since its first appearance in the 1960s. At that time, MRSA were found sporadically and most of MRSA isolates were susceptible to some orally administered antibiotic like clindamycin, macrolides and tetracycline [27]. In this study, 55% of MRSA isolates were susceptible to rifampicin, 38% resistant to cotrimoxazole, 59% were resistant to tetracycline and only 9% were susceptible to clindamycin.

Vancomycin has been the drug of choice for MRSA infections for the past 2 decades [17]. Recent reports, however, have described strains of MRSA that were intermediately resistant to glycopeptide’s (glycopeptide’s-intermediate S. aureus (GISA) and that were associated with therapeutic failure of vancomycin. Such strains have been reported from Japan, the United States, Europe (France, the United Kingdom and Spain), and the Far East (Hongkong and Korea). The isolates from the United States, France, and strain Mu50 from Japan appear to have developed from preexisting MRSA infections. The isolation of such strains from several parts of the world suggests that GISA will continue to emerge worldwide, portending the emergence of MRSA strains for which there will be no effective therapy, a situation similar to that in the pre-antibiotic [19-21] era. Luckily in this study, none of the isolates proved to be a vancomycin resistant strain.

Risk factors that have been associated with MRSA acquisition include older age, prolonged hospitalization, prior antibiotic therapy [15,16-22], more severe underlying disease and degree of disability, surgical procedures, presence in an ICU or burn unit, having a surgical – wound infection, intravascular devices, mechanical ventilation [23,24], tracheostomy, pressure ulcers, or exposure to other infected or colonized individuals. Not only does antibiotic therapy predispose to colonization to MRSA, but it also increases the risk of infection and invasive disease, as demonstrated by this study where significantly more patients with MRSA infection than those with MRSA colonization received antibiotics prior to positive MRSA culture (95.7 % vs 70%; P<001). Other host factors associated with progression from colonization to infection include recent prior hospitalization, preceding surgery or wound debridement, and the number of invasive procedures [28,29].

Approximately three quarters (77.6%) of cases represented with infection and the remainder (22.4%) showed colonization. This high infection-to-colonization ratio has been observed by other authors, for instance, Madani and co-workers reported an infection rate of 73% [20].

In agreement with other authors [30,31], the mortality of patient with MRSA infection was high in this study, particularly in patients with multiple co-morbidity factors. It could be demonstrated that patient with 2 co-morbidities have more chances to acquire MRSA of 53.5% compared to 27.5% of those with only one co-morbidity. Earlier publication pointed to less or at least comparable outcome of patient with MSSA and with MRSA Bacteremia [28,29]. In the last years, however, reports are more and more suggesting that MRSA Bacteremia is associated with significant higher mortality rate than MSSA Bacteremia [32]. In our study, MRSA Bacteremia was found only in six patients and four of them who had other co-morbid condition died. Therefore, it is difficult to postulate a direct relation to a single factor causing this high mortality.
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