Prenatal diagnosis of small supernumerary marker chromosome 15: A case report.
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Abstract

Small Supernumerary Marker Chromosomes (sSMCs) are rare, being present in less than 0.08% of all pregnancies. The precise characterization of marker chromosomes is important for prenatal diagnosis and proper genetic counselling. Here we report a case of prenatally diagnosed de novo sSMC derived from chromosome 15. Our case emphasizes the importance of the modern aspects of array CGH in combination of thorough ultrasound examination to provide precise and rapid prenatal diagnosis.
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FISH image demonstrated three signals for 15q11.1 (red) but two signals for 15q26.3 (green, control). To evaluate the foetal development, we performed a thorough ultrasound examination. It showed no facial dysmorphisms, structural abnormalities or Intrauterine Growth Restrictions (IUGRs). Based on these results, we suggested the couple to continue the pregnancy. At 39 weeks of gestation, a 3150 g female baby with a karyotype of 47, XY, +Mar was delivered. At one year of age, the baby was progressing normally.

**Discussion**

Identification of sSMC is one of the most difficult tasks in prenatal cytogenetics. Conventional karyotype analysis can detect numerical and structural chromosomal abnormalities but cannot determine the origin and genetic content of sSMCs. Traditional approaches for their characterization such as twenty-four color FISH, centromere or sub-centromere-specific multicolor FISH and micro-dissection followed by reverse FISH, are time-consuming and can result in ambiguous classification or misclassification of sSMC [9]. Recently, array CGH analysis has been shown to be highly accurate for rapid detection of chromosomal aneuploidies and sub-microscopic deletions or duplications on foetal DNA samples [10-13]. In this study, we validate that array CGH analysis provides an alternative to telomere FISH and disease-specific FISH in the cytogenetic diagnostic laboratory. Array CGH has the ability to detect DNA dosage imbalance including deletions and duplications in the euchromatic regions and is useful for the characterization of the origin and hereditary effects in the sSMC.

The correct characterization of gene deletions and duplications is a crucial point in order to identify the genotype phenotype correlation. In fact, entire and partial gene deletions/duplications can produce a completely different phenotypic effect. The low copy repeats (LCRs) in chromosome 15q11-q13 have been recognized as Breakpoints (BP) for not only intrachromosomal deletions and duplications but also small supernumerary marker chromosomes 15, sSMC (15) [14]. Most sSMC (15) s take the form of a dicentric inv dup and can be classified into two groups: small sSMC (15) s and large sSMC (15) s. The small sSMC (15) s are metacentric chromosomes without euchromatic material and do not contain the Prader-Willi/Angelman Critic Region (PWACR), which usually clinically irrelevant. In contrast, the large sSMC (15) s are acrocentric chromosomes containing copies of PWACR and are frequently associated with abnormal phenotypes [15,16]. Consistently, in our case, the duplication was limited to 15q11.1-q11.2 and there were only two copies and combined no other aberrations (Figures 2 and 3). It is also important to point out that this duplication is de novo. Both parents have a normal karyotype. Clinical outcome may different between the familial case and the de novo case. From literature, 76% of the familial cases are without clinical signs while 75% of the reported de novo cases show clinical abnormalities. However, from studies performed in new-born cohorts, that de novo sSMC are associated with clinical signs only in 11-30% [17].

**Conclusion**

We conclude that array CGH is a modern and precise diagnostic tool that will complement and enhance current methods of detecting chromosomal imbalances prenatally. In combination of a detailed ultrasound examination and karyotype analysis, it can provide more precise and rapid prenatal diagnosis of sSMC.
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Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and any accompanying images. The study was accepted by the Ethics Committee of the hospital and they encourage publishing the article. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal.
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