PLA2 inhibitory, antioxidant and antibacterial properties of various solvent extracts from *R. frangula* leaves.
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Abstract

The total tannin, flavonoid and phenolic contents of *R. frangula* leaves were determined by colorimetric assay. The antioxidant and antibacterial activities of solvent extracts were investigated using various tests. The anti-PLA2 properties of these extracts were evaluated by measuring their inhibition potency on the human and dromedary pro-inflammatory phospholipase A2-IIA. The data showed that the water extract exhibited the highest amount of tannin compounds, whereas the total phenolic and flavonoid contents were highest in the methanol fraction. The same fraction was the most effective at the minimum inhibitory concentration against all strains tested and exhibited an antioxidant activity. In contrast, the water extract showed the best anti-PLA2-IIA activity, and no effect was recorded on the digestive DrG-IB. The results indicated a strong correlation between the antioxidant capacity, the total phenolic and flavonoid contents. However, no correlation was observed with the inhibitory effect against PLA2, suggesting that the anti-PLA2 molecules were tannin compounds.

Keywords: *R. frangula*, Antioxidant, Antibacterial, Anti-PLA2.

Accepted on September 29, 2016

Introduction

In recent years, increasing interest has been devoted to the research on and application of plants feed and food supplements and drugs [1]. Spices and herbs, which constitute essential parts of the human diet, are a safe and rich source of secondary biomolecules that exhibit significant pharmacological effects [2]. In fact, in addition to their use to improve the aroma, colour, and flavour of foods and in traditional medicine, spices and herbs also play antimicrobial [3], anti-oxidative [4], and preservative [5] roles.

Based on their ability to inhibit lipid peroxidation and scavenge free radicals, antioxidants extracted from plants play a main role in preventing diseases provoked by oxidative stress, like neurodegenerative ones, namely autism spectrum disorders [6] and Alzheimer’s disease [7]. Several reports have shown that plant extracts can be utilized as alternatives to antibiotics thanks to their beneficial effect on the animal intestinal tract besides their antimicrobial properties [8]. Craig [2] demonstrated that herbs and spices may strongly affect the function and reactivity of the farm animals’ immune system. Stability of feed and impact the digestive micro-population is highly enhanced by growth-promoting active feed supplements, essentially by inhibiting the growth of the pathogenic microorganisms. Considering the improved health state of the intestinal system, the farm animals are thus less exposed to the toxins produced by different microorganisms [1]. Windisch et al., [9] reported that herbs and spices amplify the absorption of essential nutrients and exhibit beneficial effects on the stress resistance of animals.

Secretary phospholipases A₂ (sPLA₂; EC 3.1.1.4) constitute a large family of small molecular mass proteins (14-19 kDa) with conserved structures and specifically catalyse the hydrolysis of fatty acid ester linkage to liberate lysophospholipids and free fatty acids [10]. Numerous sPLA₂ have been characterized and classified into 12 different groups based on their structural features, of which group IIA sPLA₂ (sPLA₂-IIA) is the best known [11,12].

sPLA₂-IIA plays a critical role in the initiation and amplification of inflammatory reactions [13]. Several families of eicosanoids (including leukotrienes and prostaglandins) produced from arachidonic acid catabolism by the lipo-oxygenase or cyclo-oxygenase pathways are involved in the inflammatory processes [14,15]. Thus, phospholipid metabolism control through sPLA₂ inhibition presents potential value [16]. However, although several compounds have been suggested as inhibitors of different sPLA₂s, no clinical investigations have attained a therapeutic phase. The present study aimed to examine the efficiency of several solvents to...
extract the main compounds from *Rhamnus frangula* (*R. frangula*) leaves and to evaluate the antioxidant, antimicrobial and PLA2 inhibitory activities of different extracts from *R. frangula* leaves.

### Material and Methods

**Preparation of crude extracts**

The mature fresh leaves of *R. frangula* were collected from the Riyadh region of (Saudi Arabia), thoroughly washed in distilled water air-dried at room temperature and then grounded. 200 g of the obtained powder was extracted by maceration at room temperature for 72 h with ethanol. After that, the slurry was filtered through a Buchner funnel, and the obtained filtrate was centrifuged (15 min at 10,000 rpm and at 4°C), and lyophilized yielding the ethanolic extract. This fraction was then re suspended in water and partitioned successively with various solvents with different polarities (methanol, ethyl acetate, chloroform and butanol). All obtained fractions including the remaining solution which is designated “water extract” were stored at 4°C before analysis.

**Total phenolic content**

Folin-Ciocalteu’s reagent was used to determine the amount of total phenolics in the extracts from *R. frangula* leaves, as previously described by Velioğlu et al., [17]. Briefly, a volume of 0.1 mL of each sample extract (1 mg/mL) was mixed with 0.75 mL of Folin-Ciocâlteu reagent (previously diluted 10-times with deionized water) and incubated for 5 min at 25°C. Then, a volume of 0.75 mL of saturated sodium carbonate solution was added to the mixture. After incubation for 90 min at 25°C, the absorbance was read at 725 nm. The total phenolic compounds concentration was expressed in the different *R. frangula* extracts as mg gallic acid equivalents per gram of dry weight (mg GAE/g DW) using a calibration curve with gallic acid as the reference standard ranging from 0 to 250 µg/mL (r²=0.99).

**Total flavonoid content**

The flavonoid content in the various extracts was determined by the aluminium chloride method based on the formation of a flavonoid-aluminium complex [18]. Briefly, a volume of 1.5 mL of extracts was mixed with equal volumes of 2% aluminium chloride hexahydrate solution, and the obtained mixture was vigorously shaken. After 10 min incubation, the absorbance at 367 nm was read. Using a standard curve of quercetin ranging from 0 to 50 µg/mL (r²=0.99), the total flavonoid content was calculated and expressed as mg quercetin/g dry weight (mg QE/g DW).

**Tannin content**

Tannin content was measured in each extract sample according to the vanillin protocol described by Sun et al., [19]. 50 µL of each suitably diluted sample was mixed with 1.5 mL of concentrated H₂SO₄ and 3 mL of a 4% methanol-vanillin solution and kept for 15 min at 4°C. Then, the absorbance was measured at 500 nm against methanol as a blank. Using a calibration curve of catechin, ranging from 0 to 400 µg/mL (r²=0.99), the amount of total condensed tannin was calculated and expressed as mg catechin/g dry weight (mg CE/g DW).

**DPPH radical scavenging assay**

The antioxidant activity of the *R. frangula* leaves fractions was measured using the 1,1 Diphenyl-2-Picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging method [20]. Briefly, a 0.5 mL volume of each sample concentration was mixed with an equal volume of DPPH ethanolic solution and shaken vigorously. After incubation in darkness for 1 h at room temperature, the absorbance of the residual DPPH radicals was determined at 519 nm and was compared to the control (containing all reagents except the *R. frangula* leaves extract). The scavenging of the DPPH radical was calculated as follows: Scavenging effect (％)=(1-ASample/AControl) × 100, where ASample and AControl are the absorbance of the sample and of the control, respectively. The extract concentration providing 50% inhibition (IC₅₀) was calculated from the plot of the scavenging effect (％) versus the extract concentration.

**Reducing power assay**

The reducing power of the extracts from *R. frangula* leaves was investigated as previously described by Oyaiu [21]. Extracts with variable concentrations ranging from 0.03 to 1 mg/mL were mixed with 1 mL of 1% potassium ferricyanide and 1 mL of 0.2 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.6). After incubation for 20 min at 50°C, 1.25 mL of 20% TCA was added to the obtained mixture and was centrifuged for 10 min at 3000 rpm. Finally, the upper layer solution (1.25 mL) was mixed with an equal volume of deionized water and 0.5 mL of 0.1% fresh ferric chloride. The absorption of the obtained mixture was measured at 700 nm using distilled water as the blank and BHT as a positive control.

**Inhibition of PLA₂ activity**

The inhibitory effect of various extracts was assayed according to the method reported by De Aranjo and Radvany [22] using three secreted phospholipases: Human Group IIA phospholipase A₂ (hG-IIA, 0.02 µg/µL), Dromedary Group IIA phospholipase A₂ (DrG-IIA, 0.02 µg/µL) and Dromedary Group IB phospholipase A₂ (DrG-IB, 0.002 µg/µL). Ten microliters of these sPLA₂ solutions was mixed with 10 µL of each extract and the obtained mixture was incubated at room temperature for 20 min. Finally, 1 mL of the PLA₂ substrate (3.5 mM lecithin suspended in 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM CaCl₂, 3 mM NaTDC and 0.055 mM red phenol, pH 7.6) was added. The hydrolysis kinetics was followed spectrophotometrically at 558 nm for 5 min. The results are reported as the inhibition percentage that was calculated by comparison with a control experiment (absence of extract). The IC₅₀ values were determined from the curve.
Antibacterial activity

The antibacterial activities of the different solvent extracts were determined by the agar diffusion method according to Berghe and Vlietinck [23] using several Gram-negative bacteria: Escherichia coli (ATCC 25966), Klebsiella pneumonia (ATCC 700603), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853), Salmonella enteric (ATCC 43972) and Gram-positive bacteria: Bacillus cereus (ATCC 14579), Bacillus subtilis (ATCC 6633), Enterococcus faecalis (ATCC 29122), Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 25923) and Staphylococcus epidermis (ATCC 14990). The dried extracts were dissolved in 100% DMSO to a final concentration of 10 mg/mL and were filtered through a 0.22 mm Millipore filter. The bacterial strains were cultured for 24 h in a nutrient broth. Two hundred microliters of each bacterial suspension (106 CFU) was spread on Luria broth agar, and pores were then loaded with 10 µl of each sample extract. The plates were incubated overnight at 37°C. Ampicillin (10 µg/well) and DMSO were used as the positive reference standard and the negative control, respectively. The measurement of inhibition zones (in millimetres) on the surface of the top agar was performed three times, and the reported values are the averages of three separate assays.

Determination of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC)

The micro-well dilution method was used for the determination of the MIC values, which represent the lowest plant extract concentration that completely inhibits the growth of microorganisms [24]. Dilution series of each extract sample (dissolved in 100% DMSO) were prepared in a 96-well plate, ranging from 10 µg/mL to 5 mg/mL. Growth medium (40 µl), inoculums (10 µl) and diluted sample extract (50 µl) were mixed in each well and kept at 37°C for 24 h. After the addition of 40 µl of MTT (0.5 mg/mL) to each well, the mixture was incubated for 30 min. The MIC was considered as the well where no change to red colour of MTT was observed. DMSO and ampicillin were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. The MIC values were measured in triplicate.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as the mean value ± SD of at least three replicates for each sample. Microsoft Excel software was used for the statistical analyses. p<0.05 were considered to be significant.

Results and Discussion

Extraction yields, total phenolic, flavonoid and tannin contents and antioxidant activity

R. frangula leaves were extracted using six solvents at different polarities (water, methanol, ethanol, ethyl acetate, chloroform and butanol). The extraction yields, as well as the phenolic, flavonoid and tannin contents, of the extractions from R. frangula leaves are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>Extraction yield (%)</th>
<th>Total phenolics (mg GAE/g DW)</th>
<th>Total flavonoids (mg QE/g DW)</th>
<th>Total tannins (mg CE/g DW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butanol</td>
<td>17.4 ± 0.7 c</td>
<td>19.7 ± 1.1 a</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.08 c</td>
<td>112 ± 3.5 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>42 ± 1.1 e</td>
<td>32.1 ± 1.6 c</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.1 b</td>
<td>147 ± 4.1 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>100 e</td>
<td>56.7 ± 2.8 d</td>
<td>4.97 ± 0.09 f</td>
<td>127 ± 2.8 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylacetate</td>
<td>29.6 ± 1.7 c</td>
<td>23.6 ± 2.1 e</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.1 d</td>
<td>135 ± 2.9 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>59.2 ± 2.5 a</td>
<td>61.2 ± 3.1 f</td>
<td>5.07 ± 0.8 c</td>
<td>209 ± 4.1 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>11.03 ± 0.8 c</td>
<td>9.05 ± 0.4 c</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.04 c</td>
<td>289 ± 3.7 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The extraction yields with ethyl acetate, butanol and water showed the lowest amount of total extractable compounds, whereas the highest yields were observed with ethanol, methanol and chloroform (Table 1). This yield variation could be attributed to the polarities of the different compounds contained in R. frangula leaves.

Several reports demonstrated that phenolic molecules are the most potent antioxidant compounds [25,26] and have antimicrobial properties [27]. Therefore, the antioxidant potential of the various extracts from R. frangula leaves was estimated by determining their total phenolic, flavonoid and tannin contents and their free radical scavenging ability. The results given in Table 1 revealed that there are differences in the total flavonoid, phenolic and tannin contents of the different examined fractions. The amount of phenolic compounds varied from 9.05 ± 0.4 to 61 ± 3.1 mg GAE/g DW of extract. Indeed, the methanol extract showed the highest amount of phenolic compounds (61 ± 3.1 mg GAE/g DW), followed by the ethanol (56.7 ± 2.8 mg GAE/g DW), chloroform (32.1 ± 1.6 mg GAE/g DW), ethyl acetate (23.6 ± 2.1 mg GAE/g DW) and butanol (19.7 ± 1.1 mg GAE/g DW) extracts, whereas the poorest extract was the water extract (9.05 ± 0.4 mg GAE/g DW).

Table 1 also shows that the amount of flavonoid compounds ranged from 0.36 ± 0.04 to 5.07 ± 0.8 mg QE/g DW of extract. The methanol fraction recorded the highest content for flavonoids (5.07 ± 0.8 mg QE/g DW), followed by the ethanol (4.97 ± 0.09 mg QE/g D) and ethyl acetate (3.07 ± 0.1 mg QE/g D) fractions. The chloroform and butanol fractions had approximately the same values of total flavonoids (1.94 ± 0.1 and 1.85 ± 0.08 mg QE/g DW, respectively). The data clearly indicated that the highest content of flavonoids was obtained with a decrease in the polarity of the solvent used. In contrast, the water and methanol fractions displayed the highest tannins contents (289 ± 3.7 mg CE/g DW and 209 ± 4.1 mg CE/g DW, respectively) compared to the chloroform (147 ± 4.1 mg CE/g DW) and ethyl acetate fractions (135 ± 2.9 mg CE/g DW), and the ethanol and butanol fractions were the poorest (127 ± 2.8 mg CE/g DW).
The in vitro free radical scavenging activity of R. frangula leaves extracts was tested through the DPPH method according to Tepe et al., [29]. The results are expressed as the mean of the IC\textsubscript{50} values (mg/mL) and are presented in Table 2.

Our results showed that the antioxidant capacity of the extracts decreased in the order of the methanol extract (IC\textsubscript{50}=0.105 ± 0.01 mg/mL), the ethanol extract (IC\textsubscript{50}=0.147 ± 0.03 mg/mL)>the chloroform extract (IC\textsubscript{50}=0.21 ± 0.01 mg/mL), the ethyl acetate extract (IC\textsubscript{50}=0.221 ± 0.05 mg/mL), the butanol extract (IC\textsubscript{50}=0.52 ± 0.02 mg/mL)>the water extract (IC\textsubscript{50}=0.95 ± 0.02 mg/mL) (Table 2).

The IC\textsubscript{50} value of BHT, which was also investigated for the sake of comparison was 0.052 ± 0.04 mg/mL.

**Table 2.** Antioxidant activities of the different solvent extracts from R. frangula leaves tested with two methods: DPPH radical-scavenging and reducing power activity. IC\textsubscript{50} values on DPPH were calculated from the plot of the scavenging effect against the extract concentration. BHT was used as the standard. Experiments were performed in triplicate and are reported as the mean ± standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>DPPH radical scavenging activity (%) at 1 mg/mL</th>
<th>Reducing power (EC\textsubscript{50} mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butanol</td>
<td>32.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>69.03 ± 0.65</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>85 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.029 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>41.17 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>87.65 ± 0.47</td>
<td>0.025 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>25.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHT</td>
<td>95.02 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.019 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Linear correlation coefficients (r\textsuperscript{2}) for the relationships between the assays for the various extracts from R. frangula leaves.

The in vitro antioxidant studies of the different fractions and the level of DPPH radical scavenging of different concentrations (0.03-1 mg/mL) of R. frangula leaves extracts were performed using BHT as the standard. The scavenging activity of all samples was concentration-dependent. As recorded in Table 2, the maximum activity of the control and the plant extracts at 1 mg/mL was BTH (95.02 ± 0.05%), the methanol fraction (87.65 ± 0.47%), the ethanol fraction (85.02 ± 0.2%), the chloroform fraction (69.03 ± 0.65%), the ethyl acetate fraction (41.17 ± 0.01%), the butanol fraction (32.5 ± 0.5%) and the water fraction (25.1 ± 0.6%).

A strong correlation was obtained between the contents of antioxidant components (total phenolic content, r\textsuperscript{2}=0.906; total flavonoid content, r\textsuperscript{2}=0.725) and the IC\textsubscript{50} values of the DPPH radical-scavenging activity of the different extracts, suggesting a possible biological function in the prevention of lipid membrane oxidation. However, a weak correlation (r\textsuperscript{2}=0.005) was found between the tannin content and the DPPH radical-scavenging activity (Table 3).
Fe$^{3+}$-Fe$^{2+}$ transformation in the presence of various R. frangula leaves extracts and BHT, a widely used commercial antioxidant used as the reference, was carried out to determine the reductive capability, which may serve as a strong indicator of the potential antioxidant activity. Throughout the concentration range (0-1 mg/mL), the methanol (EC$_{50}$=0.025 ± 0.001 mg/mL) and ethanol (EC$_{50}$=0.029 ± 0.002 mg/mL) fractions and the standard (EC$_{50}$=0.019 ± 0.001 mg/mL) showed nearly the same tendency in their reducing power, though all the studied extracts were less effective than the standard. Ethyl acetate (EC$_{50}$=0.1 ± 0.03 mg/mL) and chloroform (EC$_{50}$=0.19 ± 0.01 mg/mL) extracts were better radical reducers compared to the butanol (EC$_{50}$=0.41 ± 0.02 mg/mL) and water (EC$_{50}$=0.59 ± 0.02 mg/mL) extracts (Table 2). The results indicate significant variations (p<0.05) among the examined extracts in the reducing power activity where the antioxidant activity was moderately correlated with the total phenolic (r$^2$=0.327), tannin (r$^2$=0.391) and total flavonoid (r$^2$=0.464) contents (Table 3). The results are in agreement with several previous reports, which demonstrated a significant relationship between the total phenolic contents in plant extracts and their antioxidant power [17,30].

**Evaluation of PLA2 inhibitory effect**

To assess the potential anti-inflammatory activity of R. frangula leaves, preliminary experiments searching for the PLA2 inhibitory activity of various extracts were performed using three sPLA2: DrG-IB, which catalyses the hydrolysis of dietary phospholipids and hG-IIA and DrG-IIA, involved in the process of inflammation. These experiments aimed to select an extract that had no or minimal inhibitory effects on the catalytic activity of both human and dromedary sPLA2-IIA, with an IC$_{50}$ of 0.19 and 0.16 mg/mL, respectively. Interestingly, even at concentrations higher than 5 mg/mL, no inhibition of the sPLA2-IB activity was recorded for the same extract which indicates a selective inhibition of the water extract against both groups of sPLA2. On the other hand, a significant correlation between the IC$_{50}$ measured during DrG-IB inhibition and the total flavonoid (r$^2$=0.654) and phenolic (r$^2$=0.78) compounds was also observed, whereas a very weak correlation was recorded with tannins (r$^2$=0.001). The IC$_{50}$ measured during sPLA2-IIA was only moderately correlated with tannins (hG-IIA: r$^2$=0.2; DrG-IIA: r$^2$=0.32). These results can be explained by the fact that the inhibition of these two groups of sPLA2 is due to different compounds. Furthermore, the inhibition of sPLA2-IIA activity but not that of DrGIB could be attributed to tannins which are present in significant amount in the water extract. Previous studies have suggested that the phenolic molecules could not be the compounds responsible for the anti-inflammatory effect [30-33].

![Image](Image)

**Figure 1.** IC$_{50}$ of the extracts from R. frangula leaves measured during the inhibition of hG-IIA, DrG-IIA and DrG-IB. Experiments were performed in triplicate and are reported as the mean ± standard deviation (p<0.05).

**Antibacterial activity**

The antibacterial activity was checked against Gram-negative, Escherichia coli (ATCC 25966), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853), Klebsiella pneumonia (ATCC 700603), Salmonella enteric (ATCC 43972), and Gram-positive, Staphylococcus epidermidis (ATCC 14990), Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 25923), Enterococcus faecalis (ATCC 29122), Bacillus cereus (ATCC 14579) and Bacillus subtilis (ATCC 6633), strains by measuring the inhibition zone diameter after the inoculation of bacteria with the solvent extracts in Luria broth agar media and MIC values determination (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Antibacterial activity of the extracts from R. Frangula leaves on nine Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria Strain</th>
<th>Inhibition zone (mm)</th>
<th>MIC (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. cereus (ATCC 14579)</td>
<td>20 ± 0.7$^b$</td>
<td>22 ± 0.5$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis (ATCC 6633)</td>
<td>21 ± 0.5$^c$</td>
<td>24 ± 1.5$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. faecalis (ATCC 29122)</td>
<td>17 ± 1.1$^b$</td>
<td>17 ± 1.1$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Table 4 shows that all tested extracts had fluctuating degrees of antibacterial potency against the microorganisms examined, except for the water extract, which had neither bacteriostatic nor bactericidal effect against Gram-negative bacteria. The methanol extract was the most effective and exhibited a large antimicrobial spectrum and displayed potent antibacterial effect against all examined bacteria. The order of sensitivity to the methanol extract was Bacillus cereus->Bacillus subtilis->Staphylococcus aureus->Staphylococcus epidermis->Enterococcus faecalis->Salmonella enterica->Escherichia coli->Klebsiella pneumoniae->Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus cereus were the most sensitive microorganisms, with an MIC value of approximately 78 mg/mL, followed by Bacillus subtilis and Staphylococcus epidermis. These results are of great importance, particularly in the case of Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus subtilis, which are resistant towards some antibiotics and produce several enterotoxins that provoke septicemia and enteritis [34]. The antibacterial activity of the methanol extract from R. frangula leaves could be related in particular to the presence of a high amount of tannins, in addition to the phenolics and flavonoids components. Tannins act as active detoxifying agents by precipitating the bacterial cell wall proteins and inhibiting bacterial growth [27].

**Conclusion**

The present study reported the total phenolic, flavonoid and tannin contents and the antioxidant, antibacterial and anti-PLA2 activities of various solvent extracts of R. frangula leaves. A strong correlation was found between the total flavonoid and phenolic contents and the antioxidant capability whereas no correlation was established with the anti-PLA2, suggesting that tannins molecules could be responsible for the anti-PLA2 effect. Furthermore, R. frangula leaves contain some major bioactive compounds that inhibit the growth of several microorganisms, thereby proving to be effective as an alternative source of antibiotics.
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