

# Growth Standards for Urban Infants in a High Altitude Area of Saudi Arabia

Author(s): Mohammed A. Al-Shehri, Mostafa A. Abolfotouh, Mohammed Yunis Khan, Luke O. Nwoye

Vol. 16, No. 3 (2005-10 - 2005-12)

Biomedical Research 2005; 16 (3): 161-170

.A demmahom\* Al-Shehri, \*\*Mostafa A. Abolfotouh, \*\* Mohammed Yunis Khan, eyowN .O ekuL\*\*\*

iduaS ,ahbA ,ytisrevinU dilahK gniK ,enicideM fo egelloC ,htlaeH dlihC tnemtrapeD\*  
Arabia  
dilahK gniK ,enicideM fo egelloC ,enicideM ytinummoC & ylimaF fo tnemtrapeD\*\*  
University, Abha, Saudi Arabia  
,ahbA ,ytisrevinU dilahK gniK ,enicideM fo egelloC ,ygoloisyhP fo tnemtrapeD\*\*\*  
Saudi Arabia

**Key words:** Infants, high altitude, growth parameters, Abha, Saudi Arabia

Accepted July 27, 2005,

## Abstract

There is a need to establish up-to-date growth standards for use in assessing the adequacy of children's growth in every population, especially those with peculiar environmental challenges.

The purpose of this study is to update growth reference values for the normal population of urban infants (0-24 months) from the high altitude area of Southwestern Saudi Arabia, and to compare these values with the current international standards established by the US National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS).

Anthropometric measurements of weight, length and head circumference were obtained from 5,426 healthy, well-fed infants of both sexes, ages 0 – 24 months, drawn from all socio-economic strata in Abha, Saudi Arabia in a cross-sectional study conducted between March 1998 and December, 2004. Values were corrected for age in the form of centile bands, and compared with the NCHS standards. Then, 5th, 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, 90th and 95th local percentiles were calculated from such values using the frequencies procedure. centile curves of wt/age, length/age and HC/age.

Abha infants of both sexes are significantly lighter ( $p < 0.0001$ ), shorter ( $p < 0.0001$ ) and have smaller head circumferences ( $p < 0.0001$ ) than NCHS reference values. About

77.5%, 54.4% and 73.1% of infants fell below the 10th centile for weight, length and head circumference respectively.

This study establishes current and generally applicable growth reference values for the high altitude urban infants of Aseer region to be used in infant growth monitoring and promotion.

## **Introduction**

The physical growth of children provides an excellent measure of their health and nutrition while the average values of their heights and weights reflect the state of a nation's public health and the average nutritional status of its citizens [1]. Furthermore, growth assessment plays an invaluable role in pediatric practice, not only for epidemiological purposes but also for the follow-up of childhood diseases. A worldwide variation in human growth has been well documented and several studies have shown significant differences in the growth rates of children from different populations due to differences in their health and nutritional status, environmental conditions and genetic makeup [2,3]. It is therefore essential that growth reference values be established for every country. In all populations studied, but especially in developing societies, secular trends in children's growth and development have also been observed i.e. the tendency towards improved growth and earlier maturity in later generations of the same population as health systems nutrition improve [4,5]. Thus, growth standards need to be updated every one or two decades so as to reflect secular trends. Environmental conditions also contribute to differences in human growth characteristics. The growth-retarding effects of high altitude hypoxia are well known with several studies reporting healthy, well-nourished high-altitude children shorter and lighter than their age-matched low altitude counterparts [6,7].

The city of Abha is located in the Aseer mountains of Southwest Saudi Arabia at an altitude of 3133 m above sea level. Previous studies [8,9] have shown that Abha term newborns and healthy, well-nourished infants are lighter and shorter when compared to their age-matched counterparts from Europe and the US and to their Saudi counterparts from the surrounding low-altitude areas. The present study was undertaken to establish current growth reference standards for infants in the high altitude Aseer region of Southwestern Saudi Arabia to determine if a secular trend exists and to compare the local standards to the US NCHS international standards [10].

## **Methods**

The Aseer Region of Saudi Arabia is situated in the south-western corner of the country. The topography of the region varies from altitudes as high as 3200 m in the Aseer mountains to lowland areas of 400 m down to the Red Sea. Abha, the capital city of Aseer region (population 1,200,000 ) in south-western Saudi Arabia, lies about 350 km away from the northern border of the Republic of Yemen at 3133 m above sea level. Abha General Hospital is located in the middle belt region of the city and it is the only

maternity Hospital in Abha City. It has active obstetric and neonatal services. The Neonatal Special care Unit has over 3500 live births annually.

A two-stage stratified random sample of 5,426 infants ages 0-24 months was selected. At the first sampling stage, the 9 primary health care centers (responsible for delivering primary care services for the different catchment areas in the city) were classified into four groups according to geographical location and socioeconomic level based on an expert opinion. Using the equal allocation method of sampling, one centre was randomly selected from each of the four groups and all infants ages 1-24 months who attended the well-baby clinics for vaccination constituted the target group of the present study from March 1998 to December 2004. All term babies ( $\geq 37$ -42 weeks gestation) born at Abha General Hospital during the same period by women residing the catchment areas of the 4 randomly recruited PHCCs within the city were also allocated for the present study.

Thus, a total of 5,426 babies of both sexes, made up of 920 newborns and 4,506 infants from 1 to 24 months of age, were studied. Severely malnourished babies and cases of Down's syndrome, rickets, sickle cell disease and other congenital abnormalities which affect children's growth were excluded from the study. An ethical clearance was obtained from each institute before commencing the study.

All anthropometric measurements were made by trained nurses according to standard procedures [11]. Crown-heel supine length was measured to the nearest 5 mm using infant's board, while weight was measured in the nude to the nearest 10g on infant beam balance. The balance was calibrated before the start of each day's measurements. Head circumference was measured to the nearest mm using a flexible inelastic tape at the level of the maximum occipital protuberance and the frontal eminence. Newborns were measured within a few hours of birth.

Data analysis was done using SPSS software package, version 10. Means and standard deviations of measured and calculated anthropometric parameters were calculated. The 5th, 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, 90th and 95th percentiles for these parameters were calculated for each age using the frequencies procedure and compared with NCHS per-centile values using chi-squared test.

## **Results**

Table 1 shows that Saudi infants are lighter than those of NCHS infants. Only 5.5% fell above the 50th centile, with 77.5% below the 10th,  $p < 0.001$ . Saudi infants were also shorter, with 94.1% below the 50th centile, and 54.4% below the 10th,  $p < 0.001$ . The same finding was evident for head circumference, where 96.3% were below the 50th centile ( $p < 0.001$ ).

Table 2 lists the means and standard deviations (SD) of weight, supine length and head circumference of male and female subjects. The data show marked differences in growth characteristics of infant boys and girls. At birth, boys are significantly heavier ( $p < 0.05$ ), taller ( $p < 0.005$ ) and have larger head circumferences ( $p < 0.05$ ) than girls. Male

newborns were, on the average, 260g heavier, 1cm taller and had head circumferences 1cm larger than those of girls. Similarly, mean head circumference of new born males was significantly larger than that of females ( $p < 0.05$ ) at birth and 1 month but not thereafter. Mean head circumference/body weight ratio for all subjects was 12.5 cm/kg.

Tables 3-5 show reference values for weight, length, head circumference and BMI respectively for the 5th, 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, 90th and 95th percentiles.

Figures 1-6 show the corresponding constructed growth curves for both sexes.

**Table 1. Centile band distribution of weight, recumbent length and head circumference for the study sample**

Centile band	Weight		Length		Head circumference		Expected %
	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	
<10th	77.5	4205	54.4	2950	73.1	3969	10
10-49th	16.9	919	39.7	2155	23.2	1257	40
50-89th	5.2	284	5.7	307	3.1	169	40
≥90th	0.3	18	0.3	14	0.6	31	10
Total		5426		5426		5426	
Chi square		27588.5		12796.0		24349.3	
P value		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001	

htiw derapmoc seulaV\*US NCHS standards using chi square analysis.

**Table 2: Anthropometric parameters of Abha male and female newborn and infants**

	Weight			Length			HC		
	N	Mean ±SD	P value	N	Mean ±SD	P value	N	Mean ±SD	P value
<b>New born</b>			.035			.000			.032
<i>Male</i>	460	2866.7±574.7		460	48.6±1.8		460	34.5±1.5	
<i>Female</i>	460	2608.9±555.8		460	47.5±2.5		460	33.7±1.2	
<b>1 month</b>			.045			.000			.000
<i>Male</i>	251	3496.8±447.1		251	53.7±1.0		251	36.1±0.8	
<i>Female</i>	259	3416.2±457.9		259	53.2±1.3		259	35.6±0.7	
<b>2 months</b>			.868			.740			.971
<i>Male</i>	265	4003.8±539.5		265	55.4±1.5		265	37.5±0.7	
<i>Female</i>	265	3995.8±556.2		265	55.4±1.4		265	37.5±0.7	
<b>3 months</b>			.956			.987			.027
<i>Male</i>	268	4422.8±615.9		268	58.9±2.3		268	38.7±1.0	
<i>Female</i>	267	4390.6±615.1		267	58.9±2.3		267	38.6±0.9	
<b>4 months</b>			1.00			.044			.161
<i>Male</i>	245	4704.5±643.4		245	59.8±1.5		245	39.1±0.9	
<i>Female</i>	245	4704.5±643.4		245	60.0±1.6		245	39.1±0.8	
<b>5 months</b>			.966			.050			.000
<i>Male</i>	273	5373.3±1083.5		273	61.2±2.1		273	39.9±1.2	
<i>Female</i>	274	5363.1±1082.3		274	61.0±1.9		274	39.7±1.0	
<b>6 months</b>			.827			.799			.260
<i>Male</i>	267	5576.8±1087.3		267	61.6±2.2		267	39.9±1.2	
<i>Female</i>	265	5556.6±1071.2		265	61.6±2.1		265	39.8±1.1	
<b>8 months</b>			.757			.987			.699
<i>Male</i>	118	6730.5±851.8		118	67.1±1.4		118	42.2±0.6	
<i>Female</i>	120	6720.0±827.4		120	67.1±1.4		120	42.2±0.6	
<b>10 months</b>			.949			.346			.745
<i>Male</i>	119	7127.7±839.0		119	67.7±1.8		119	42.5±0.8	
<i>Female</i>	118	7094.9±821.1		118	67.6±1.6		118	42.4±0.7	
<b>12 months</b>			.694			.823			.649
<i>Male</i>	129	8487.6±1560.0		129	71.2±2.8		129	43.4±1.1	
<i>Female</i>	132	8419.7±1535.5		132	71.2±2.8		132	43.4±1.1	
<b>16 months</b>			.496			.722			.154
<i>Male</i>	108	8597.2±1715.5		108	73.2±2.7		108	43.8±0.9	
<i>Female</i>	104	8548.1±1642.2		104	73.0±2.6		104	43.9±1.0	
<b>20 months</b>			.515			.161			.275
<i>Male</i>	102	9765.7±2236.9		102	74.8±4.1		102	44.6±1.2	
<i>Female</i>	106	9320.3±2066.3		106	74.1±3.5		106	44.5±1.1	
<b>24 months</b>			.088			.565			.165
<i>Male</i>	100	10951.0±2614.4		100	78.0±3.1		100	45.2±1.1	
<i>Female</i>	106	10358.5±2715.6		106	77.2±3.1		106	45.0±1.2	

(For a larger view of Table 2, click [here](#))

Tables and Figures could be obtained from publisher: info ( at ) biomedres.org

Space for Tables 3 and 4

Infant growth standards

Space for Table 5

Space for Figures 1 and 2

Infant growth standards

Space for Figures 3 and 4

## Discussion

Abha infants in the present study were significantly smaller in all growth parameters than the NCHS reference population [10]. In addition, infants of the present study were shorter, lighter and had smaller head circumferences than those in a previous study in the same high altitude area of Aseer region [8]. The small size of newborns in this study

relative to newborns from lowland areas of Aseer region [9] is easily explained by the well-known growth-retarding effects of high altitude hypoxia [7,12] typified by a sparing of head growth. Newborns in the present study had significantly larger mean head circumference/body weight ratio (12.5 cm/kg) than newborns from low altitude areas of the same region (11.6 cm/kg) [9] suggesting that the small size of the former is a case of secondary or asymmetrical intra-uterine growth retardation due to hypoxia of high altitude [7].

Somewhat surprisingly, Abha newborns and infants in this study are significantly lighter, shorter and have smaller head circumferences than those of a similar study [8] conducted 17 years ago in Khamis Mushyat, a sister city to Abha and located at approximately the same altitude as Abha. The phenomenon of secular trends would lead one to expect the opposite i.e. growth parameters in the present study should be greater than those of the earlier study. A possible explanation for this discrepancy is that the earlier study used babies of a high income group while the present study used a mixed sample of all income groups. The earlier study was carried out in a military hospital catering exclusively to elite military personnel. It is well established that birth weight and children's growth are affected by both high altitude and the economic status of their parents [8]. In a comparative study of growth parameters from low- and high-income groups, previous studies found that high-income population had different growth parameters than low-income population [13,20]. In another comparative study of growth parameters of babies from low- and high-income groups in La Paz (>3500 m above sea level) it was found that high-income's group babies had significantly larger head circumferences than low-income's group babies [7]. Thus, our data are preferable than previously published data for use as reference standards for the high altitude newborns and infants of the region.

We concluded that the use of the NCHS growth standards is not appropriate for the assessment of growth of infants aged 0-24 months in the high altitude area of Abha, Asseer region. This study establishes current and generally applicable growth reference values for the high altitude infants of Asseer region to be used in infant growth monitoring and promotion. There is still a need for further studies to determine the exact impact of high altitude on the growth patterns of infants living in the high altitude area of Abha, Asseer region, Saudi Arabia and whether altitude, climate, or socioeconomic status - or combinations of them - are responsible or not for such pattern of growth parameters values of infants

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors acknowledge, with gratitude the assistance of Directors, Doctors and Nursing staff of primary care centers who participated in this study and the medical and nursing staff of the neonatal intensive care unit of Abha General Hospital for their cooperation and invaluable efforts.

### **References**

1. Tanner JM. Growth and physique in different populations of mankind. In: Baker PT, Weiner JS eds. *The Biology of Human Adaptability*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1966: 45-66.
2. Tanner JM. Population differences in body size, shape and growth rate, a 1976 view. *Arch Dis Child* 1976; 51: 170-179.
3. Eveleth PB, Tanner JM. *Worldwide Variation in Human Growth*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1976.
4. Meredith HV. Findings from Asia, Australia, Europe and North America on secular change in mean height of children, youths and young adults. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 1976; 44: 315-325.
5. Hauspie RC, Vercauteren M, Susanne C. Secular changes in growth and maturation: an update. *Acta Paediatr Suppl* 1997; 423: 20-27.
6. Haas JD, Baker PT, Hunt EE. The effects of high altitude on body size and composition of the newborn infant in southern Peru. *Human Biol* 1977; 49: 611-628.
7. Giussani DA, Phillips PS, Anstee S, Barker DJP. Effects of altitude versus economic status on birth weight and body shape at birth. *Pediatric Research* 2001; 49: 490-494.
8. Attallah NL, Jibrel SO, Campbell JJ. Patterns of growth of Saudi boys and girls 0-24 months, Aseer Region, with a note on their rates of growth: A 1988 view. *Saudi Med J* 1990; 11: 466-476.
9. Al-Shehri MA, Abolfotouh MA, Dalak MA, Nwoye LO. Birth anthropometric parameters in high and low altitude areas of Southwest Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J* 2005; 26: 560-565.
10. Ogden CL, Kuczmarski RJ, Flegal KM, Mei Z, Guo S, Wei R et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2000 growth charts for the United States: Improvements to the 1977 National Center for Health Statistics version. *Pediatrics* 2002; 109: 45-60.
11. Tanner JM, Hevienaux J, Jarman S. Growth and physique studies. In: Weiner J, Lourie J, eds. *Human Biology: A guide to field methods*. IBP Handbook N0. 9, Oxford: Blackwell scientific Publications, 1969; 2-76.
12. Frisancho AR. Human growth and development among high altitude populations. In: Baker PT, ed. *The Biology of High Altitude Peoples*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1978: 117.
13. Barker DJ, Eriksson JG, Forsen T, Osmond C.- Infant growth and income 50 years later. *Arch Dis Child*. 2005; 90: 272-273.
14. Barker DJ, Forsen T, Uutela A, Osmond C, Eriksson JG. Size at birth and resilience to effects of poor living conditions in adult life: longitudinal study. *BMJ*. 2001; 323: 1273-1276.
15. Meyer HE, Selmer R. Income, educational level and body height. *Ann Hum Biol* 1999; 6: 219-227.
16. Fierman AH, Dreyer BP, Quinn L, Shulman S, Courtlandt CD, Guzzo R. Growth delay in homeless children. *Pediatrics*. 1991; 88: 918-925.
17. Engstrom EM, Anjos LA. Stunting in Brazilian children: relationship with social-environmental conditions and maternal nutritional status. *Cad Saude Publica* 1999; 15: 559-567.

18. Meyer HE, Selmer R. Income, educational level and body height. *Ann Hum Biol* 1999; 26: 219-227.
19. Hakeem R. Socio-economic differences in height and body mass index of children and adults living in urban areas of Karachi, Pakistan. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2001; 55: 400-406.
20. Cavelaars AE, Kunst AE, Geurts JJ, Crialesi R, Grotvedt L, Helmert U, Lahelma E, Lundberg O, Mielck A, Rasmussen NK, Regidor E, Spuhler T, Mackenbach JP. Persistent variations in average height between countries and between socio-economic groups: an overview of 10 European countries. *Ann Hum Biol.* 2000 Jul-Aug; 27: 407-421.

Correspondence:

**Mohammed A. Al-Shehri**

Departments of Child Health, College of Medicine, King Khalid University

P.O. Box 641, Abha, Saudi Arabia

Fax: +966 7 2297838

e-mail: fariss2000 ( at ) yahoo.com