Down syndrome constitutes the most common chromosomal abnormality among live births (1 in 730 live births) and most frequent form of intellectual disability. Genetic cause for this syndrome is trisomy of chromosome 21. The cytogenetic profile of Down syndrome includes free trisomy 21, Robertsonian translocations, mosaicism, duplication of the DS critical region and other structural rearrangements involving chromosome 21. Purpose of the study was to confirm the clinical diagnosis of suspected cases of Down syndrome by karyotyping and to evaluate several risk factors associated with trisomy 21 among patients belonging to Lucknow region. Karyotype analysis was done in 46 patients with clinical suspicion of Down syndrome. GTG banding was done according to standard protocols. Findings: out of 46 cases i.e., 43 (93.5%) had abnormal karyogram. All these 43 cases had trisomy 21 (Down’s syndrome). Among patients with Down syndrome, free trisomy (n=40; 93%) was most common followed by Robertsonian translocation (n=2; 4.7%) and mosaic trisomy (n=1; 2.3%) respectively. Majority of patients were males (n=27; 62.7%) and there were 16 (37.3%) females. Male to female ratio of Down syndrome patients was 1.68. 50% of patients with Down syndrome were born to mothers falling in age group of 36-40 y. Identification of various types of chromosomal abnormalities in Down syndrome is very important. It aids in management of these children and to aware the affected families about recurrence risk and options available.
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Introduction

Down Syndrome (DS) is the most common chromosomal disorder in humans. It was first described by John Langdon Down in 1866 [1]. Since it is caused by an extra copy of chromosome 21, it is also called trisomy 21 syndrome [2]. Trisomy 21 constitutes the most frequent form of intellectual disability caused by a chromosomal aberration [3-5]. The cytogenetic profile of down syndrome includes free trisomy 21, Robertsonian translocations, mosaicism, duplication of the DS critical region and other structural rearrangements involving chromosome 21 [6-8]. Most common karyotype encountered in Down syndrome children is of free trisomy 21, while their parents have normal karyotype. This type of trisomy 21 is a result of nondisjunction of homologous chromosomes 21 during gametogenesis or during early embryonic development after fertilization [9]. Analysis of chromosome heteromorphisms and other informative markers of DNA polymorphisms of patients with Down syndrome and their parents revealed that chromosome 21 nondisjunction occurs more often during the gametogenesis in females than in males [10,11]. Investigations revealed that an extra chromosome 21 mainly originates from errors in maternal meiosis in approximately 90% of the Down syndrome cases and associated with maternal age>35 y [12,13]. In 5-10% of Down syndrome cases the extra chromosome 21 originates due to errors in paternal meiosis, while in less than 5% of cases it results from nondisjunction of chromosomes during a postzygotic mitosis in early embryonic development [14,15]. 4% of DS cases involve Robertsonian translocation in which chromosome 21 may be translocated to another acrocentric chromosome. DS due to translocation can be de novo or inherited from a balanced carrier parent [16]. In general, Robertsonian translocations carriers are phenotypically normal. In 50% of these cases of the rearrangements occur de novo [17] and 95% of the de novo cases originate at the time of maternal meiosis. Around 3-5% of DS cases occur due to mosaicism which involves a non-disjunction postzygotic event. Peoples with mosaic Down syndrome have two distinct cell lines with different karyotype. Some cells have a total of 46 chromosomes with normal karyotype, while others have trisomy in chromosome 21 [18]. There are various conserved features occurring in all DS population, including learning disabilities, craniofacial abnormality and hypotonia in early infancy. Some people of DS are affected by variant phenotypes including Atrioventricular Septal Defects (AVSD) in heart, leukemias (both Acute Megakaryoblastic Leukemia (AMKL) and Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL)), AD and HD. DS
individual have variety of physical characteristics like a small chin, slanted eye, poor muscle tone, a flat nasal bridge, a single crease of the palm and a small mouth and large protruding tongue. Other features include big toe, abnormal pattern of fingerprint and short fingers [19].

Confirmation of trisomy syndromes is done by cytogenetic techniques. Cytogenetics is the study of the structure and properties of chromosomes, chromosomal behavior during somatic cell division in growth and development (mitosis) and germ cell division in reproduction (meiosis), chromosomal influence on the phenotype and the factors that cause chromosomal changes [20]. Cytogenetic techniques include karyotyping, fluorescent in situ hybridization techniques and Microarray. For routine analysis, however, the classical karyotyping technique using trypsin and Giemsa became the most accepted worldwide. The banding pattern enabled the detection of various structural aberrations like translocations, inversions, deletions, and duplications in addition to the well-known numerical aberrations [21]. Pinkel et al, 1986 developed a method to visualise chromosomes using fluorescent-labelled probes called Fluorescent in situ Hybridisation (FISH) [22].

The purpose of this study was to carry out a comparative cytogenetic evaluation of suspected cases of Down syndrome in both sexes using classical karyotyping and to report the incidence of Down syndrome and the frequency of the 3 cytogenetic variants of Down syndrome in Lucknow region, as well as to evaluate the effect of maternal age on the prevalence of Down syndrome.

Material and Method

46 suspected cases of Down syndrome were referred to our laboratory for cytogenetic analysis. These patients showed the clinical features consistent with trisomy 21. Cytogenetic analysis was done by classical karyotyping technique.

For classical karyotyping technique Peripheral venous blood of the patient was collected in BD Vacutainer sodium heparin vial. 0.5 ml of blood sample was taken in 5 ml of culture media (PB MAX) in a test tube, under laminar air flow to maintain sterile condition and this culture tube was incubated at 37°C temperature, 85% humidity and 5% concentration of CO₂ for 72 h in slanting position in CO₂ incubator (YORCO). After the incubation 5 drops of karyoMAX colcemid solution (0.1 mg/ml) was added, and test tube was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded by pipetting of media, leaving behind as little medium as possible over the bottom of test tube. The cell button was suspended in 5 ml of fixative (methanol+acetic acid). This process of centrifugation, discarding the supernatant and adding the fixative was repeated 2-3 times until button at the bottom of the test tube became white. Finally, the tube was kept at 2-3°C for 2 h before the harvested blood cells became ready for slide preparation. Slides were prepared by dropping method, and were treated with trypsin to obtain better banding. Adequately aged slides were stained with Giemsa stain. These slides were observed under microscope (Olympus BX51) attached with a computer and fields showing a good spared of metaphase were photographed.

Karyograms were prepared from slides using cytovision software. Analysis for various chromosomal aberrations was done with Karyogram. Karyotyping results were obtained by analyzing 20 metaphase fields for each case and in cases where abnormal karyotypes were suspected, the observation was extended to a total of 30 fields. The karyotypes were reported as per international system for human cytogenetic nomenclature (ISCN, 2013) guidelines.

Result

All the patients suspected to have trisomy 21 had physical features of Down syndrome like epicanthic eye fold, brush field spot, flat nasal bridge, abnormal teeth, furrowed tongue, narrow palate, short neck, short and broad hands, incurved 5th finger, gap between 1st and 2nd toes, murmur, muscular hypotonia, oblique eye fissure, blepharitis, conjunctivitis, nystagmus, mouth permanently open, protruding tongue, high-arched palate, folded ear, loose neck of skin, short 5th finger and transverse palmar crease. All of the children had mental retardation and 25 cases i.e. 54.3% had congenital heart disease. Average age of children suspected of having DS was 5.6 y. Out of 46 suspected cases, 43 cases showed cytogenetic findings consistent with Down syndrome. On classical karyotyping free trisomy (n=40; 93%) was most common followed by Robertsonian translocation (n=2; 4.7%) and Mosaic trisomy (n=1; 2.3%) respectively (Table 1). Among 40 cases with free trisomy, 24 (55.8%) were males (47XY, +21) and 16 (37.2%) were females (47XX, +21) (Figures 1 and 2). Out of 2 cases with Robertsonian translocation 1 (2.3%) case each was of genotype 46, XY, +21, REA (21; 21) (q10; q10) and 46XY, +21, t (14,21) (q10; 10) (Table 2). Both the patients were male (Figures 3 and 4). The single case with mosaicism was also male.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Free trisomy</th>
<th>Robertsonian translocation</th>
<th>Mosaic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male 24</td>
<td>2 (4.65%)</td>
<td>2 (4.65%)</td>
<td>1 (2.32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 16</td>
<td>2 (37.2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Distribution of chromosomal abnormalities according to gender (N=43).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46, XY, +21, REA (21; 21) (q10; q10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>46XY, +21, t (14,21) (q10; 10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Genotype of Down’s syndrome (N=43).
1 Free trisomy
47XY, +21 24
47XY, +21 16
2 Robertsonian translocation
a) 46, XY, +21, rea (21; 21) (q10; q10) 1
b) 46, XY, +21, t (14; 21) (q10; q10) 1
3 Mosaic 47XY, +21/46XY 1

Table 3. Distribution of chromosomal abnormalities according to maternal age at birth (N=43).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (Y)</th>
<th>Free trisomy</th>
<th>Robertsonian translocation</th>
<th>Mosaic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 30 Y</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-35 Y</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-40 Y</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40 Y</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As far as the maternal age was concerned among 40 mothers of trisomy 21 only patients, maximum (n=22; 55%) were aged 36-40 y followed by 31-35 y (30%), <30 y (10%) and >40 y (5%) respectively (Table 3). Among those showing trisomy with Robertsonian translocation—there was 1 (33.3%) mother each in age group <30 years and 31-35 y respectively. In single case of mosaic, the maternal age was in age group 36-40 y.

Discussion

Cytogenetic analysis done in different population worldwide indicate that free trisomy 21 is the most common variant of DS whose incidence varies between 95.51 to 83.82% as reported by various authors [1]. Our reported incidence i.e. 93% also falls in the same range. The difference in percentages may arise due to the number of metaphases analysed. In our study Robertsonian translocations made the second most frequent variant (4.7%) whose incidence falls within the previously reported range (2.66-5.1%). In Robertsonian translocation most frequently the exchange occurs in non-homologous forms involving two different acrocentric chromosomes—either two different D group chromosomes (chromosomes 13-15), two different G group chromosomes (21 and 22), or a D group and a G group chromosome. 50% of these translocations are de novo and 50% are inherited from a balanced carrier parent (usually the mother). The most common translocation involved

Figure 1. Karyogram of trisomy male 47XY, +21.

Figure 2. Karyogram trisomy female 47XX, +21.

Figure 3. Karyogram showing 46, XY, +21, t (14; 21) (q10; q10).

Figure 4. Karyogram showing 46, XY, +21, rea (21; 21) (q10; q10).
in DS is between 14 and 21 which was followed by translocation between two chromosome 21 [24]. In our study we identified 1 case each of rob (14; 21) and rea (21; 21). Our results are also in congruence with reports that identified above mentioned rearrangements as the most frequent translocations associated with trisomy 21. Both the translocations were de-novo as the parents of these patients had a normal karyotype. Mosaic DS followed RT in terms of incidence i.e. 2.3% which also is within the range reported from different parts of the world (1.19-10.78%) [1]. This discrepancy can also be explained by number of metaphases analysed in each study.

Advanced maternal age is one of the most important risk factors contributing to the non-disjunction of chromosome. The current study also demonstrated a very strong association of advanced maternal age with the birth of a DS child. The mean maternal age in our study was 35 ± 4.4 y. 50% DS children were born to mothers above 35 y of age, and among the 40 mothers of trisomy 21 only patients, maximum (55%) were aged 36-40 y. This association between increased maternal age and the risk of having a child with Down syndrome was is approved by various studies [25]. Belmokhtar et al. also show very similar results where 54.5% of all DS belonged to free trisomy DS were born to mothers who were in the advanced age group (≥ 35 y) [26]. Flores et al. also reported that there is an increase in birth of free trisomy DS children to mothers above 35 years of age [2]. A study on the prevalence of DS in Atlanta conducted by Siffel et al. during 1994-1999 revealed that the prevalence of DS was 55.3 per 10,000 for women above 35 years age compared to 8.5 per 10,000 for women below 35 years [27]. A study conducted on 52,965 amniocentesis to determine the maternal age of some major chromosomal aberrations suggested that the rate of trisomy 21 increases from maternal age of 35 years [28]. A study in England and Wales showed that mother’s delayed child-bearing has led to an increase in the prevalence of DS [29]. Even though, the association between increasing maternal age and the conception of trisomies has been recognized since many years, but the underlying mechanism behind the maternal age effect still remains poorly understood. Increasing rate of meiotic errors due to the aging process of the ovary (biological aging) is one of the many hypotheses given [30]. The long arrest of the oocytes in prophase I of meiosis seems to be underlying mechanism. In females, the entry of the oocytes into meiosis starts during fetal life which is then arrested in a prophase stage of meiosis in which they persist from late fetal life until the time of ovulation. The first meiotic division MI is completed in the female just prior to ovulation and the second division MII is completed only if the egg is fertilized. In female the process takes years to complete [30]. Hence studies from various population groups show that risk of non-disjunction increases with advancing maternal age (>35 y).

Two children belonging to Robertsonian translocation group were born to mothers belonging to age group<30 y and 31-35 y. Hulten et al. hypothesized that most females might be having low grade trisomic 21 ovarian mosaics with an average of 0.54% trisomy 21 cells and concluded that this ovarian mosaicism may predispose to trisomy 21 conception and conception of a translocation DS foetus in a mother at a younger age as compared to free trisomic DS [31]. As in children having RT the peripheral blood lymphocytes from both the parents had normal karyotype so we can conclude that the trisomy 21 due to Robertsonian translocation must have arisen either de novo or due to ovarian mosaicism. Hence, this explains the comparatively young maternal age for translocation trisomic children.

Conclusion

In this study we tried to carry out a comparative cytogenetic evaluation of suspected cases of Down syndrome in both sexes using classical karyotyping and Fluorescent in situ Hybridization (FISH) techniques, to report the incidence of Down syndrome and the frequency of the 3 cytogenetic variants of Down syndrome in Lucknow region, as well as to evaluate the effect of maternal age on the prevalence of Down syndrome. Our study concluded that free trisomy made the most common cytogenetic variant followed by Robertsonian translocation and mosaic trisomy. This study also showed that 50% of children with DS were born to mothers above 35 years of age. Cytogenetic analysis is the most important diagnostic tool for DS. Cytogenetic data also provide a basis for the genetic counselling of families into which DS children are born and further management of children with trisomy 21.
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