

Bovine lameness and digital dermatitis in dairy cows: updates on control and diagnostics approaches.

Yasser Mahmmod^{1,2*} and Walid Refaai³

¹Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Frederiksberg C, Denmark

²Infectious Diseases, Department of Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig City, Sharkia Province, Egypt

³Department of Surgery, Anesthesiology, and Radiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig City, Sharkia Province, Egypt

Accepted on October 22, 2017

Editorial

Sound feet and legs of dairy cows are essential for the animal health, productivity, and welfare. Bovine lameness is one of the major causes of economic losses in dairy production systems [1]. It ranks fourth after mastitis, infertility and metabolic diseases [2]. The disease poses a major welfare and economic problem for dairy cattle, which results in reduced milk yield, reduced feed intake, weight loss, high treatment costs, high culling rate, and reduced fertility of affected animals [3,4]. Claw disorders have been found to be the cause of more than 90% of all lameness cases observed in dairy cattle [5]. Digital dermatitis (DD) is an important ulcerative infectious disease affecting the bovine foot worldwide, and may affect over 80% of cows within a herd [6,7]. The prevalence of DD varies from country to another based on the risk factors related to the animal, environment and managerial conditions [6].

We should put in consideration the interrelationship between DD and Interdigital dermatitis (IDD). Although the incidence of IDD may be higher than 80% in farms with poor hygiene, it is usually an incidental finding as it rarely causes lameness [8]. The importance of IDD is the probability that it may play a role in the evolution of other infectious claw disorders such as foot rot, digital dermatitis, and heel erosion [9].

Treponema species-anaerobic fastidious spiral microorganisms-have frequently been found in large numbers, deep inside DD lesions, suggesting its involvement in causing DD [10]. Different species of *Treponema* has been isolated, identified and characterized in many countries [10,11]. *Treponema* species are notoriously difficult to maintain in culture, although some progress has been made in isolation from DD lesions. Furthermore, obtaining a non-contaminated, single spirochete strain cultures is difficult and time-consuming [12,13]. Serology tests such as ELISA, are commonly used for identification of antibodies of *Treponema* in the affected dairy cows [14,15], however, there is a shortage on the diagnostic performance of such test under natural field conditions from dairy cows.

The available diagnostics based on molecular detection techniques for *Treponema* have yet been well developed for screening or diagnostic application because of (a) the aetiology has not yet been completely clarified [16,17], (b) microbiological research of the DD-associated treponemes has

been problematic, and (c) understanding of the immunology is limited. Furthermore, some treponemes-like spirochetes impair the innate immune system as well as wound repair functions, which may explain the persistent nature of the lesions [18].

Recently, pyrosequencing of the hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene revealed the presence of different species and phylotypic groups among *Treponema* isolated from cattle suffering from DD [19,20]. These new methods gave new insights into the etiology of the disease and should allow to specifically targeting specific pathogenic lineages. Furthermore, implementing such techniques in identifying the infection reservoirs of DD treponemes and subsequently, the transmission routes is crucial to minimize the spreading of infections and controlling the DD occurrence effectively. Rapid identification and typing of pathogenic lineages of DD should enhance our understanding for the disease and improve the claw health management in dairy cows.

References

- Hernandez JA, Garbarino EJ, Shearer JK, et al. Comparison of milk yield in dairy cows with different degrees of lameness. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2005;227:1292-6.
- Meimandi-Parizi A, Eskandari A. Prevalence of lameness in dairy and beef cattle of Marvdasht area during the winter. *Vet J.* 1996;35:115-9.
- Green LE, Hedges VJ, Schukken YH, et al. The impact of clinical lameness on the milk yield of dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci.* 2002;85:2250-6.
- Melendez P, Bartolome J, Archbald LF, et al. The association between lameness, ovarian cysts and fertility in lactating dairy cows. *Theriogenology.* 2003;59:927-37.
- Murray RD, Downham DY, Clarkson MJ, et al. Epidemiology of lameness in dairy cattle, description and analysis of foot lesions. *Vet Rec.* 1996;138:586-91.
- Refaai W, VanAert M, Abdel-Aal AM, et al. Infectious diseases causing lameness in cattle with a main emphasis on digital dermatitis (Mortellarodisease). *Livestock Science.* 2013;156:53-63.
- Evans NJ, Murray RD, Carter SD. Bovine digital dermatitis: Current concepts from laboratory to farm. *Vet J.* 2016;211:3-13.

Citation: *Mahmmod Y, Refaai W. Bovine lameness and digital dermatitis in dairy cows: updates on control and diagnostics approaches. Microbiol Curr Res. 2017;1(1):1-2.*

8. Van Amstel SR, Shearer J. Manual for treatment and control of lameness in cattle. Blackwell publishing,UK. 2006;165-80.
9. Greenough PR. Infectious diseases and other conditions affecting the interdigital space. In Bovine laminitis and lameness.Saunders Elsevier. 2007;199-220.
10. Demirkan I, Williams HF, Dhawi A. Characterization of a spirochaete isolated from a case of bovine digital dermatitis. J Appl Microbiol. 2006;101:948-55.
11. Walker RL, Read DH, Loretz KJ, et al. Spirochetes isolated from dairy cattle with papillomatous digital dermatitis and interdigital dermatitis. Vet Microbiol. 1995;47:343-55.
12. Evans NJ, Brown JM, Demirkan I, et al. Three unique groups of spirochetes isolated from digital dermatitis lesions in UK cattle. Vet Microbiol. 2008;130:141-50.
13. Klitgaard K, Boye M, Capion N, et al. Evidence of multiple Treponema phylotypes involved in bovine digital dermatitis as shown by 16S rDNA analysis and fluorescent in situ hybridization. J Clin Microbiol. 2008;46:3012-20.
14. Demirkan I, Walker RL, Murray RD, et al. Serological evidence of spirochaetal infections associated with digital dermatitis in dairy cattle. Vet J 1999;157:69-77.
15. Moe KK, Yano T, Misumi K, et al. Analysis of the IgG immune response to Treponema phagedenis-like spirochetes in individual dairy cattle with papillomatous digital dermatitis. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2010;17:376-83.
16. Schlafer S, Nordhoff M, Wyss C, et al. Involvement of Guggenhei-mella bovis in digital dermatitis lesions of dairy cows. Vet Microbiol. 2008;128:118-25.
17. Yano T, Moe KK, Yamazaki K, et al. Identification of candidate pathogens of papillomatous digital dermatitis in dairy cattle from quantitative 16S rRNA clonal analysis. Vet Microbiol. 2010;143:352-62.
18. Zuerner RL, Heidari M, Elliott MK, et al. Papillomatous digital dermatitis spirochetes suppress the bovine macrophage innate immune response. Vet Microbiol. 2007;125:256-64.
19. Klitgaard K, Foix Bretó A, Boye M, et al. Targeting the treponemal microbiome of digital dermatitis infections by high-resolution phylogenetic analyses and comparison with fluorescent in situ hybridization. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51:2212-19.
20. Klitgaard K, Nielsen MW, Ingerslev HC, et al. Discovery of bovine digital dermatitis-associated Treponema spp. in the dairy herd environment by a targeted deep-sequencing approach. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014;80:4427-32.

***Corresponding author**

Yasser Mahmmod

Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences,

Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences,

University of Copenhagen,

Frederiksberg C, Denmark

Tel: 0045-50312674

E-mail: yasser@sund.ku.dk; yasserpcr@gmail.com